In the Matter of:

Interagency Task Force on Veterans Small Business Development

September 15, 2016 Public Meeting

Condensed Transcript with Word Index



For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

		T	
	1		3
1		1	PROCEEDINGS
2		2	
3		3	MS. CARSON: All right. Welcome to the
4	U.S. SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION	4	September 15th, 2016 Interagency Task Force for Veterans
5		5	Business Development. We will take a quick roll call
6	INTERAGENCY TASK FORCE ON	6	around the room, and do understand that others will be
7	VETERANS SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT	7	joining us as traffic allows.
8	V223410 011121 200111200 221220111211	8	Victor, we'll start with you.
9	PUBLIC MEETING	9	MR. KLINGELHOFER: Victor Klingelhofer
	PUBLIC MEETING	1	
10		10	representing Vietnam Veterans of America.
11		11	MS. BAINTON: Amanda Bainton representing the
12	THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2016	12	Military Officers Association of America.
13	9:00 A.M.	13	MS. CARSON: Barb Carson, SBA's Office of
14		14	Veterans Business Development.
15		15	MR. FULLER: Myron Fuller, Arlington, Texas
16		16	VBOC.
17		17	MR. HEILMAN: Craig Heilman, Office of Veterans
18		18	Business Development.
19		19	MR. WESLEY: Kenyata Wesley, Department of
20		20	Defense.
21		21	MR. METHENY: Bill Metheny with Department of
22		22	Labor, Veterans Employment and Training Service.
23		23	MS. CARSON: In the room, we are still awaiting
24		24	the attendance of Department of Treasury, the Office of
25	Recorded by: Jen Metcalf-Razzino, CER	25	Management and Budget and Department of Veteran Affairs.
23	nootaea 27 oon nootae nabeno, oen	23	nanagement and badget and beparement of vector initiatio.
		_	
	2		1
	2		4
1	CONTENTS	1	\$4\$ And on the phone, we would appreciate it if you
2	CONTENTS	1 2	·
-	_		And on the phone, we would appreciate it if you
2 3 4 5	CONTENTS PAGE: Opening Remarks/Roll Call/ IATF Updates - Barbara Carson, SBA 4	2	And on the phone, we would appreciate it if you could please say your name clearly, and if you represent
2 3 4 5 6	CONTENTS PAGE: Opening Remarks/Roll Call/ IATF Updates - Barbara Carson, SBA 4 - Update on FY14/15 IATF Report 6	2 3	And on the phone, we would appreciate it if you could please say your name clearly, and if you represent an organization, you are welcome to share that with us.
2 3 4 5	CONTENTS PAGE: Opening Remarks/Roll Call/ IATF Updates - Barbara Carson, SBA 4	2 3 4	And on the phone, we would appreciate it if you could please say your name clearly, and if you represent an organization, you are welcome to share that with us. Thanks, go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7	CONTENTS PAGE: Opening Remarks/Roll Call/ IATF Updates - Barbara Carson, SBA 4 - Update on FY14/15 IATF Report 6 - IATF Vacancies 6	2 3 4 5	And on the phone, we would appreciate it if you could please say your name clearly, and if you represent an organization, you are welcome to share that with us. Thanks, go ahead. MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Maggie Bullard Marshall,
2 3 4 5 6 7	CONTENTS PAGE: Opening Remarks/Roll Call/ IATF Updates - Barbara Carson, SBA 4 - Update on FY14/15 IATF Report 6 - IATF Vacancies 6 - IATF Proposed Updates to Recommendations 7	2 3 4 5 6	And on the phone, we would appreciate it if you could please say your name clearly, and if you represent an organization, you are welcome to share that with us. Thanks, go ahead. MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Maggie Bullard Marshall, Aldevra.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CONTENTS PAGE: Opening Remarks/Roll Call/ IATF Updates - Barbara Carson, SBA 4 - Update on FY14/15 IATF Report 6 - IATF Vacancies 6 - IATF Proposed Updates	2 3 4 5 6 7	And on the phone, we would appreciate it if you could please say your name clearly, and if you represent an organization, you are welcome to share that with us. Thanks, go ahead. MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Maggie Bullard Marshall, Aldevra. MS. SAGESTER: Cherylynn Sagester, Veteran
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CONTENTS PAGE: Opening Remarks/Roll Call/ IATF Updates - Barbara Carson, SBA 4 - Update on FY14/15 IATF Report 6 - IATF Vacancies 6 - IATF Proposed Updates to Recommendations 7	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And on the phone, we would appreciate it if you could please say your name clearly, and if you represent an organization, you are welcome to share that with us. Thanks, go ahead. MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Maggie Bullard Marshall, Aldevra. MS. SAGESTER: Cherylynn Sagester, Veteran Business Outreach Center, Old Dominion University.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CONTENTS PAGE: Opening Remarks/Roll Call/ IATF Updates - Barbara Carson, SBA 4 - Update on FY14/15 IATF Report 6 - IATF Vacancies 6 - IATF Proposed Updates to Recommendations 7 Agency/Veteran Service Organizations Reports: - Mentor Protege; Ken Dodds, SBA Office of Government Contracting 45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And on the phone, we would appreciate it if you could please say your name clearly, and if you represent an organization, you are welcome to share that with us. Thanks, go ahead. MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Maggie Bullard Marshall, Aldevra. MS. SAGESTER: Cherylynn Sagester, Veteran Business Outreach Center, Old Dominion University. MR. FRONABARGER: Derek Fronabarger, Student
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CONTENTS PAGE: Opening Remarks/Roll Call/ IATF Updates - Barbara Carson, SBA 4 - Update on FY14/15 IATF Report 6 - IATF Vacancies 6 - IATF Proposed Updates to Recommendations 7 Agency/Veteran Service Organizations Reports: - Mentor Protege; Ken Dodds, SBA Office of Government Contracting 45 - Kingdomware Decision Impact Update;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And on the phone, we would appreciate it if you could please say your name clearly, and if you represent an organization, you are welcome to share that with us. Thanks, go ahead. MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Maggie Bullard Marshall, Aldevra. MS. SAGESTER: Cherylynn Sagester, Veteran Business Outreach Center, Old Dominion University. MR. FRONABARGER: Derek Fronabarger, Student Veterans of America.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CONTENTS PAGE: Opening Remarks/Roll Call/ IATF Updates - Barbara Carson, SBA 4 - Update on FY14/15 IATF Report 6 - IATF Vacancies 6 - IATF Proposed Updates to Recommendations 7 Agency/Veteran Service Organizations Reports: - Mentor Protege; Ken Dodds, SBA Office of Government Contracting 45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And on the phone, we would appreciate it if you could please say your name clearly, and if you represent an organization, you are welcome to share that with us. Thanks, go ahead. MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Maggie Bullard Marshall, Aldevra. MS. SAGESTER: Cherylynn Sagester, Veteran Business Outreach Center, Old Dominion University. MR. FRONABARGER: Derek Fronabarger, Student Veterans of America. MS. KAUFMAN: Julie Kaufman, the COMMIT Foundation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CONTENTS PAGE: Opening Remarks/Roll Call/ IATF Updates - Barbara Carson, SBA 4 - Update on FY14/15 IATF Report 6 - IATF Vacancies 6 - IATF Proposed Updates to Recommendations 7 Agency/Veteran Service Organizations Reports: - Mentor Protege; Ken Dodds, SBA Office of Government Contracting 45 - Kingdomware Decision Impact Update;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And on the phone, we would appreciate it if you could please say your name clearly, and if you represent an organization, you are welcome to share that with us. Thanks, go ahead. MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Maggie Bullard Marshall, Aldevra. MS. SAGESTER: Cherylynn Sagester, Veteran Business Outreach Center, Old Dominion University. MR. FRONABARGER: Derek Fronabarger, Student Veterans of America. MS. KAUFMAN: Julie Kaufman, the COMMIT Foundation. MS. McMAHON: Lee McMahon, Department of Defense
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CONTENTS PAGE: Opening Remarks/Roll Call/ IATF Updates - Barbara Carson, SBA 4 - Update on FY14/15 IATF Report 6 - IATF Vacancies 6 - IATF Proposed Updates to Recommendations 7 Agency/Veteran Service Organizations Reports: - Mentor Protege; Ken Dodds, SBA Office of Government Contracting 45 - Kingdomware Decision Impact Update; Sam Le, SBA General Counsel 57 - DOL, Bill Metheny 78, 110, 122	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And on the phone, we would appreciate it if you could please say your name clearly, and if you represent an organization, you are welcome to share that with us. Thanks, go ahead. MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Maggie Bullard Marshall, Aldevra. MS. SAGESTER: Cherylynn Sagester, Veteran Business Outreach Center, Old Dominion University. MR. FRONABARGER: Derek Fronabarger, Student Veterans of America. MS. KAUFMAN: Julie Kaufman, the COMMIT Foundation. MS. McMAHON: Lee McMahon, Department of Defense Spouse Education and Career Opportunities.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CONTENTS PAGE: Opening Remarks/Roll Call/ IATF Updates - Barbara Carson, SBA 4 - Update on FY14/15 IATF Report 6 - IATF Vacancies 6 - IATF Proposed Updates to Recommendations 7 Agency/Veteran Service Organizations Reports: - Mentor Protege; Ken Dodds, SBA Office of Government Contracting 45 - Kingdomware Decision Impact Update; Sam Le, SBA General Counsel 57	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And on the phone, we would appreciate it if you could please say your name clearly, and if you represent an organization, you are welcome to share that with us. Thanks, go ahead. MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Maggie Bullard Marshall, Aldevra. MS. SAGESTER: Cherylynn Sagester, Veteran Business Outreach Center, Old Dominion University. MR. FRONABARGER: Derek Fronabarger, Student Veterans of America. MS. KAUFMAN: Julie Kaufman, the COMMIT Foundation. MS. McMAHON: Lee McMahon, Department of Defense Spouse Education and Career Opportunities. MR. BENNETT: Jerry Bennett. My apologies.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CONTENTS PAGE: Opening Remarks/Roll Call/ IATF Updates - Barbara Carson, SBA 4 - Update on FY14/15 IATF Report 6 - IATF Vacancies 6 - IATF Proposed Updates to Recommendations 7 Agency/Veteran Service Organizations Reports: - Mentor Protege; Ken Dodds, SBA Office of Government Contracting 45 - Kingdomware Decision Impact Update; Sam Le, SBA General Counsel 57 - DOL, Bill Metheny 78, 110, 122 - DOD, Kenyata Wesley 12, 19, 31, 38, 68 - OMB, Mathew Blum 15, 25, 33, 70, 87,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And on the phone, we would appreciate it if you could please say your name clearly, and if you represent an organization, you are welcome to share that with us. Thanks, go ahead. MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Maggie Bullard Marshall, Aldevra. MS. SAGESTER: Cherylynn Sagester, Veteran Business Outreach Center, Old Dominion University. MR. FRONABARGER: Derek Fronabarger, Student Veterans of America. MS. KAUFMAN: Julie Kaufman, the COMMIT Foundation. MS. McMAHON: Lee McMahon, Department of Defense Spouse Education and Career Opportunities. MR. BENNETT: Jerry Bennett. My apologies. MR. FLETCHER: You're good, go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CONTENTS PAGE: Opening Remarks/Roll Call/ IATF Updates - Barbara Carson, SBA 4 - Update on FY14/15 IATF Report 6 - IATF Vacancies 6 - IATF Proposed Updates to Recommendations 7 Agency/Veteran Service Organizations Reports: - Mentor Protege; Ken Dodds, SBA Office of Government Contracting 45 - Kingdomware Decision Impact Update; Sam Le, SBA General Counsel 57 - DOL, Bill Metheny 78, 110, 122 - DOD, Kenyata Wesley 12, 19, 31, 38, 68 - OMB, Mathew Blum 15, 25, 33, 70, 87, 104, 114, 122	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And on the phone, we would appreciate it if you could please say your name clearly, and if you represent an organization, you are welcome to share that with us. Thanks, go ahead. MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Maggie Bullard Marshall, Aldevra. MS. SAGESTER: Cherylynn Sagester, Veteran Business Outreach Center, Old Dominion University. MR. FRONABARGER: Derek Fronabarger, Student Veterans of America. MS. KAUFMAN: Julie Kaufman, the COMMIT Foundation. MS. McMAHON: Lee McMahon, Department of Defense Spouse Education and Career Opportunities. MR. BENNETT: Jerry Bennett. My apologies. MR. FLETCHER: You're good, go ahead. MR. BENNETT: Jerry Bennett, Privateer IT.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CONTENTS PAGE: Opening Remarks/Roll Call/ IATF Updates - Barbara Carson, SBA 4 - Update on FY14/15 IATF Report 6 - IATF Vacancies 6 - IATF Proposed Updates to Recommendations 7 Agency/Veteran Service Organizations Reports: - Mentor Protege; Ken Dodds, SBA Office of Government Contracting 45 - Kingdomware Decision Impact Update; Sam Le, SBA General Counsel 57 - DOL, Bill Metheny 78, 110, 122 - DOD, Kenyata Wesley 12, 19, 31, 38, 68 - OMB, Mathew Blum 15, 25, 33, 70, 87, 104, 114, 122 - VSOs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And on the phone, we would appreciate it if you could please say your name clearly, and if you represent an organization, you are welcome to share that with us. Thanks, go ahead. MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Maggie Bullard Marshall, Aldevra. MS. SAGESTER: Cherylynn Sagester, Veteran Business Outreach Center, Old Dominion University. MR. FRONABARGER: Derek Fronabarger, Student Veterans of America. MS. KAUFMAN: Julie Kaufman, the COMMIT Foundation. MS. McMAHON: Lee McMahon, Department of Defense Spouse Education and Career Opportunities. MR. BENNETT: Jerry Bennett. My apologies. MR. FLETCHER: You're good, go ahead. MR. BENNETT: Jerry Bennett, Privateer IT. MR. FLETCHER: Jerome Fletcher, associate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CONTENTS PAGE: Opening Remarks/Roll Call/ IATF Updates - Barbara Carson, SBA 4 - Update on FY14/15 IATF Report 6 - IATF Vacancies 6 - IATF Proposed Updates to Recommendations 7 Agency/Veteran Service Organizations Reports: - Mentor Protege; Ken Dodds, SBA Office of Government Contracting 45 - Kingdomware Decision Impact Update; Sam Le, SBA General Counsel 57 - DOL, Bill Metheny 78, 110, 122 - DOD, Kenyata Wesley 12, 19, 31, 38, 68 - OMB, Mathew Blum 15, 25, 33, 70, 87, 104, 114, 122	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And on the phone, we would appreciate it if you could please say your name clearly, and if you represent an organization, you are welcome to share that with us. Thanks, go ahead. MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Maggie Bullard Marshall, Aldevra. MS. SAGESTER: Cherylynn Sagester, Veteran Business Outreach Center, Old Dominion University. MR. FRONABARGER: Derek Fronabarger, Student Veterans of America. MS. KAUFMAN: Julie Kaufman, the COMMIT Foundation. MS. McMAHON: Lee McMahon, Department of Defense Spouse Education and Career Opportunities. MR. BENNETT: Jerry Bennett. My apologies. MR. FLETCHER: You're good, go ahead. MR. BENNETT: Jerry Bennett, Privateer IT. MR. FLETCHER: Jerome Fletcher, associate administrator for the Office of Small Business at GSA.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CONTENTS PAGE: Opening Remarks/Roll Call/ IATF Updates - Barbara Carson, SBA 4 - Update on FY14/15 IATF Report 6 - IATF Vacancies 6 - IATF Proposed Updates to Recommendations 7 Agency/Veteran Service Organizations Reports: - Mentor Protege; Ken Dodds, SBA Office of Government Contracting 45 - Kingdomware Decision Impact Update; Sam Le, SBA General Counsel 57 - DOL, Bill Metheny 78, 110, 122 - DOD, Kenyata Wesley 12, 19, 31, 38, 68 - OMB, Mathew Blum 15, 25, 33, 70, 87, 104, 114, 122 - VSOS - Vietnam Veterans of America, Victor Klingelhofer 16, 35, 53, 84, 94, 100	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And on the phone, we would appreciate it if you could please say your name clearly, and if you represent an organization, you are welcome to share that with us. Thanks, go ahead. MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Maggie Bullard Marshall, Aldevra. MS. SAGESTER: Cherylynn Sagester, Veteran Business Outreach Center, Old Dominion University. MR. FRONABARGER: Derek Fronabarger, Student Veterans of America. MS. KAUFMAN: Julie Kaufman, the COMMIT Foundation. MS. McMAHON: Lee McMahon, Department of Defense Spouse Education and Career Opportunities. MR. BENNETT: Jerry Bennett. My apologies. MR. FLETCHER: You're good, go ahead. MR. BENNETT: Jerry Bennett, Privateer IT. MR. FLETCHER: Jerome Fletcher, associate administrator for the Office of Small Business at GSA. MS. HICKEY: Allison Hickey, All-in Solutions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CONTENTS PAGE: Opening Remarks/Roll Call/ IATF Updates - Barbara Carson, SBA 4 - Update on FY14/15 IATF Report 6 - IATF Vacancies 6 - IATF Proposed Updates to Recommendations 7 Agency/Veteran Service Organizations Reports: - Mentor Protege; Ken Dodds, SBA Office of Government Contracting 45 - Kingdomware Decision Impact Update; Sam Le, SBA General Counsel 57 - DOL, Bill Metheny 78, 110, 122 - DOD, Kenyata Wesley 12, 19, 31, 38, 68 - OMB, Mathew Blum 15, 25, 33, 70, 87, 104, 114, 122 - VSOS - Vietnam Veterans of America, Victor Klingelhofer 16, 35, 53, 84, 94, 100 - Military Officers Association	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And on the phone, we would appreciate it if you could please say your name clearly, and if you represent an organization, you are welcome to share that with us. Thanks, go ahead. MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Maggie Bullard Marshall, Aldevra. MS. SAGESTER: Cherylynn Sagester, Veteran Business Outreach Center, Old Dominion University. MR. FRONABARGER: Derek Fronabarger, Student Veterans of America. MS. KAUFMAN: Julie Kaufman, the COMMIT Foundation. MS. McMAHON: Lee McMahon, Department of Defense Spouse Education and Career Opportunities. MR. BENNETT: Jerry Bennett. My apologies. MR. FLETCHER: You're good, go ahead. MR. BENNETT: Jerry Bennett, Privateer IT. MR. FLETCHER: Jerome Fletcher, associate administrator for the Office of Small Business at GSA.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CONTENTS PAGE: Opening Remarks/Roll Call/ IATF Updates - Barbara Carson, SBA 4 - Update on FY14/15 IATF Report 6 - IATF Vacancies 6 - IATF Proposed Updates to Recommendations 7 Agency/Veteran Service Organizations Reports: - Mentor Protege; Ken Dodds, SBA Office of Government Contracting 45 - Kingdomware Decision Impact Update; Sam Le, SBA General Counsel 57 - DOL, Bill Metheny 78, 110, 122 - DOD, Kenyata Wesley 12, 19, 31, 38, 68 - OMB, Mathew Blum 15, 25, 33, 70, 87, 104, 114, 122 - VSOS - Vietnam Veterans of America, Victor Klingelhofer 16, 35, 53, 84, 94, 100	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And on the phone, we would appreciate it if you could please say your name clearly, and if you represent an organization, you are welcome to share that with us. Thanks, go ahead. MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Maggie Bullard Marshall, Aldevra. MS. SAGESTER: Cherylynn Sagester, Veteran Business Outreach Center, Old Dominion University. MR. FRONABARGER: Derek Fronabarger, Student Veterans of America. MS. KAUFMAN: Julie Kaufman, the COMMIT Foundation. MS. McMAHON: Lee McMahon, Department of Defense Spouse Education and Career Opportunities. MR. BENNETT: Jerry Bennett. My apologies. MR. FLETCHER: You're good, go ahead. MR. BENNETT: Jerry Bennett, Privateer IT. MR. FLETCHER: Jerome Fletcher, associate administrator for the Office of Small Business at GSA. MS. HICKEY: Allison Hickey, All-in Solutions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CONTENTS PAGE: Opening Remarks/Roll Call/ IATF Updates - Barbara Carson, SBA 4 - Update on FY14/15 IATF Report 6 - IATF Vacancies 6 - IATF Proposed Updates to Recommendations 7 Agency/Veteran Service Organizations Reports: - Mentor Protege; Ken Dodds, SBA Office of Government Contracting 45 - Kingdomware Decision Impact Update; Sam Le, SBA General Counsel 57 - DOL, Bill Metheny 78, 110, 122 - DOD, Kenyata Wesley 12, 19, 31, 38, 68 - OMB, Mathew Blum 15, 25, 33, 70, 87, 104, 114, 122 - VSOs - Vietnam Veterans of America, Victor Klingelhofer 16, 35, 53, 84, 94, 100 - Military Officers Association of America, Amanda Bainton 110, 120	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And on the phone, we would appreciate it if you could please say your name clearly, and if you represent an organization, you are welcome to share that with us. Thanks, go ahead. MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Maggie Bullard Marshall, Aldevra. MS. SAGESTER: Cherylynn Sagester, Veteran Business Outreach Center, Old Dominion University. MR. FRONABARGER: Derek Fronabarger, Student Veterans of America. MS. KAUFMAN: Julie Kaufman, the COMMIT Foundation. MS. McMAHON: Lee McMahon, Department of Defense Spouse Education and Career Opportunities. MR. BENNETT: Jerry Bennett. My apologies. MR. FLETCHER: You're good, go ahead. MR. BENNETT: Jerry Bennett, Privateer IT. MR. FLETCHER: Jerome Fletcher, associate administrator for the Office of Small Business at GSA. MS. HICKEY: Allison Hickey, All-in Solutions. MR. GLOWACKI: Edward Glowacki, Veteran Corps of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CONTENTS PAGE: Opening Remarks/Roll Call/ IATF Updates - Barbara Carson, SBA 4 - Update on FY14/15 IATF Report 6 - IATF Vacancies 6 - IATF Proposed Updates to Recommendations 7 Agency/Veteran Service Organizations Reports: - Mentor Protege; Ken Dodds, SBA Office of Government Contracting 45 - Kingdomware Decision Impact Update; Sam Le, SBA General Counsel 57 - DOL, Bill Metheny 78, 110, 122 - DOD, Kenyata Wesley 12, 19, 31, 38, 68 - OMB, Mathew Blum 15, 25, 33, 70, 87, 104, 114, 122 - VSOs - Vietnam Veterans of America, Victor Klingelhofer 16, 35, 53, 84, 94, 100 - Military Officers Association of America, Amanda Bainton 110, 120	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And on the phone, we would appreciate it if you could please say your name clearly, and if you represent an organization, you are welcome to share that with us. Thanks, go ahead. MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Maggie Bullard Marshall, Aldevra. MS. SAGESTER: Cherylynn Sagester, Veteran Business Outreach Center, Old Dominion University. MR. FRONABARGER: Derek Fronabarger, Student Veterans of America. MS. KAUFMAN: Julie Kaufman, the COMMIT Foundation. MS. McMAHON: Lee McMahon, Department of Defense Spouse Education and Career Opportunities. MR. BENNETT: Jerry Bennett. My apologies. MR. FLETCHER: You're good, go ahead. MR. BENNETT: Jerry Bennett, Privateer IT. MR. FLETCHER: Jerome Fletcher, associate administrator for the Office of Small Business at GSA. MS. HICKEY: Allison Hickey, All-in Solutions. MR. GLOWACKI: Edward Glowacki, Veteran Corps of America.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CONTENTS PAGE: Opening Remarks/Roll Call/ IATF Updates - Barbara Carson, SBA 4 - Update on FY14/15 IATF Report 6 - IATF Vacancies 6 - IATF Proposed Updates to Recommendations 7 Agency/Veteran Service Organizations Reports: - Mentor Protege; Ken Dodds, SBA Office of Government Contracting 45 - Kingdomware Decision Impact Update; Sam Le, SBA General Counsel 57 - DOL, Bill Metheny 78, 110, 122 - DOD, Kenyata Wesley 12, 19, 31, 38, 68 - OMB, Mathew Blum 15, 25, 33, 70, 87, 104, 114, 122 - VSOs - Vietnam Veterans of America, Victor Klingelhofer 16, 35, 53, 84, 94, 100 - Military Officers Association of America, Amanda Bainton 110, 120	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And on the phone, we would appreciate it if you could please say your name clearly, and if you represent an organization, you are welcome to share that with us. Thanks, go ahead. MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Maggie Bullard Marshall, Aldevra. MS. SAGESTER: Cherylynn Sagester, Veteran Business Outreach Center, Old Dominion University. MR. FRONABARGER: Derek Fronabarger, Student Veterans of America. MS. KAUFMAN: Julie Kaufman, the COMMIT Foundation. MS. McMAHON: Lee McMahon, Department of Defense Spouse Education and Career Opportunities. MR. BENNETT: Jerry Bennett. My apologies. MR. FLETCHER: You're good, go ahead. MR. BENNETT: Jerry Bennett, Privateer IT. MR. FLETCHER: Jerome Fletcher, associate administrator for the Office of Small Business at GSA. MS. HICKEY: Allison Hickey, All-in Solutions. MR. GLOWACKI: Edward Glowacki, Veteran Corps of America. MR. NIMMO: Brian Nimmo with Pearl Interactive

MS. CARSON: It's a pleasure to hear from many of you who I met at National Veterans Small Business Coalition last evening. We did have a little bit of trouble hearing your names. If you would be so kind so that we can ensure that we do have your name, since this meeting is on public record, please do email your information if you're on the line to veteransbusiness@SBA.gov.

We will have SBA leadership, in addition to myself, joining us shortly, because they wanted to thank us for all the work that you've done to get us to this point. And we will kick off with a short update on that.

You have completed the combined fiscal year '14 and '15 Interagency Task Force Report. Thank you so much. Not only did you report on what you have done, you've continued to work hard to achieve the goals that we've set for ourselves. That is at OMB for final clearance, and we expect that it will be available to the public within a month.

We do have two vacancies on the Interagency Task Force on Veterans Small Business Development and they are both for veterans service organizations. We will be putting out the word to get some applicants who are very interested and able to affect change in this arena. So, Boots to Business, that there's no way he would have been able to start a business.

So, I think that sort of set the tone for her, for her entire tenure when it comes to veterans entrepreneurship issues, and so anyway, that's a long way of saying that she's keenly interested in this. It's also probably the closest that I will ever get to being at a cross-fit gym, as you can probably tell by looking at me.

Anyway, I just want to make a few points to kick things off just very briefly and then let us get to work. First, I just want to thank everybody so much. I know over the past year, particularly with our now former deputy administrator, Doug Kramer, there was a lot of progress made. I don't know, does everybody know that Doug Kramer is no longer the deputy administrator here? He has moved on to greener pastures in San Francisco with seemingly everybody else, or half the rest of the known world.

But, you know, due to your participation effort, this task force has really been revitalized. The initial report, it's a little sad that making progress on an FY '13 report is real progress, but it was stalled and is real progress, and then all of your work on the initial '18 recommendations has been really fantastic.

I thank both the American Legion and the Naval Post-Graduate School for their contributions during their tenure.

I am now pleased to introduce the Small Business Administration's chief of staff, Nicolas Maduros, who is going to join us this morning, as our administrator has an important engagement this morning. Please.

MR. MADUROS: Yes. Thank you, Barb.

Thanks, everybody, for being here. I really apologize that the administrator cannot be here. She planned to be, but then Congress called her up to testify this morning. One of the little known facts of the SBA is that we provide disaster loans to homeowners and businesses, so with the recent flooding in Louisiana, we have been busy, and there is a hearing on Louisiana flood issues this morning.

This is of paramount importance to the administrator, the work that you all have been doing and that Barb and her team do here every day. She often tells the story that the first small business she visited as the SBA administrator was a cross-fit gym here in Washington, that was started by one of the inaugural class members of the Boots to Business program, and the owner of the gym told her that, you know, without -- but for the help of the Government and

1 So, first, thank you.

I think the goal of today is -- and what we would like to see in the coming months to take from the last June meeting, to build on the progress in the last June meeting. I know then there were a number of recommendations that sort of people determined were out of date or no longer relevant. There were new suggestions made. And then thank you to those of you over the past few months who have submitted additional recommendations. So, I'm hoping that today we can figure out exactly, you know, what the recommendations are for FY '17 so we've got a clean slate and can go forward with a common sense of purpose and know exactly where we're going.

And then, lastly, I would just remind everybody that though we did the FY '13 report and the FY '14-'15 report is I think just about done, we still have an FY '16 report coming up and we are hoping that that doesn't become like a three-year late report. So, folks will be reaching out to all of you and you don't even need to wait to be reached out to, if you've -- I am hoping you'll do it proactively, get us the results early by November, by Halloween, for the FY '16 report so that we can get that completed in a timely fashion.

Anyway, with that, just thank you, and that's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

nature.

11

all I've got to say.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CARSON: Thank you so much for joining us. Again, that was the chief of staff for the Small Business Administration Nicolas Maduros. I appreciate your time, and we wish the administrator success in the testimony on SBA's contribution to disaster relief.

MR. MADUROS: Thank you.

MS. CARSON: We are going to start with the hard work first, and that is finding some consensus on where we've been and the decisions we've made and how to move forward from this point. And again, for those who are on the phone, I'm going to read some of the things that are on these slides so that we are all in the same place, and you are welcome to contribute at any time. I do ask that if you're on the phone, if you will just stay muted when you don't have something you would like the whole group to hear. We will let you know if we're hearing something.

So, we are taking a look at the original Interagency Task Force recommendations that were proposed in 2011, and we have been working against them, but some of them were not specific, or they had been addressed and closed, but we continued to share updates in that realm for each one of them.

We have made enough progress that it's time to

help with this one, develop Government-wide tools and information to support buying activities based on market research sources, industry statistics, supplier-based requirements, and success stories. The suggested pivot was development and training on those systems that many of them do exist right now. So, I'm going to return it to comment for those members here in the room.

MR. WESLEY: So, this is Kenyata, DoD, for the folks on the phone. One of the things that we will do within the department, we just developed what we call MRCOE, which is our Market Research Center of Excellence platform. And it is a three to four -- right now it's three, but it will potentially grow to a four, have a fourth section to it, where it has data analytics, data intelligence. It has statistics, and we can actually do a comparison which most people in the department call MaxPrac, for maximum practical opportunities, which when we get to the next bullet, I will talk about that there, too.

But it allows us to kind of define and compare -- so, for example, one of the organizations in my -- under my umbrella within the Department of Defense has an IT main infrastructure. Well, I could turn around and compare that IT small business utilization or in this case veteran or service-disabled veteran

10

say, we can conclusively decide certain ones have been closed because they've been addressed by policy or regulatory change or law, that we have found a barrier to achieving it, it was not achievable in the way it was phrased or put in front of this body. So, on some of those, you will hear us say pivots. It's going to be in the same vein, but it's something we can achieve.

And moving forward, we're looking to find those barriers to entry for veteran entrepreneurs, especially in the Federal space, where this body, the members, remember, are all Federal agencies and four veteran or military service organizations, what can we do?

The ones that we have closed include increase the awareness, access and utilization of microloans in the veteran-owned small business community, and please forgive me, that one is staying. We have more to do on microloans, and those are loans that SBA designs as zero to \$50,000. Many businesses have a small barrier to entry as far as capital is concerned, and we see that those rates of use have maintained or fallen and we believe that we can effect change there. So, forgive me, one will stay.

The next requires a pivot. We recommended closure but got a lively discussion in June, and so we look forward to getting input from the agencies that can

utilization with other like organizations within the Federal Government, so we can find out how we are really doing. So, if we're doing 20 percent, which obviously might not be bad, but at the same time, another organization in the Federal Government is doing 35, I need to figure out what they're doing differently than us, or if it's the fact that they have access to companies we don't have access to. Things of that

So, it allows us to now see what we couldn't see before, and it allows us to make sense of the data in a manner in which has never been seen before by the department. So, we will be willing to -- we actually already are partnering with the Veterans Administration on that, me and Tom Leney. They will have a version of that tool as well.

So, those who couldn't get in to talk to me within the department, as far as from a Federal agency standpoint, can also work with Tom Leney, because he will have his version of the tools come October 1. All right.

MS. CARSON: Thank you, this is Barb from SBA. May I ask as we move these pivots, to try and be specific about what our goal would be, how we measure it and what timeline. So, it sounds like this exists, but

how will we do the outreach to contracting officers and veteran entrepreneurs so they know this resource is out there?

MR. WESLEY: So, this is actually, the way this is phrased is it's really for the buying activities and market research, not necessarily for the veterans group, the way this is phrased, okay? So, what I'm saying is, anyone who wants to learn what we have done within the Federal Government space, whether it be Treasury, Labor, doesn't matter where. I'm open to have a meeting with them, show them the tool, have my team demo it for them, and if they would like to potentially partner and team to use it or have a version of it built for their space, they can -- if they have the funds, they can do it.

Now, I can't give them mine, because it's set up for me, because there is some tailoring to the tool. But at least they can see it, and I can give them access to the company that designed it, built it, and it probably will not be very expensive. I can't give a price, but since they have already built the platform, it's Government-owned. I don't see that it would be probably too expensive to convert it over to any Government agency if they liked it.

So, at least they have some options, or if the SBA wanted to take it and do something Federal-Wide with

MS. CARSON: Thank you. And that was Mathew Blum from Office of Management and Budget who just gave those remarks. Thank you for the idea, I believe that makes sense.

So, we will take that on, and also I will commit that I know I have allies in SBA that this will also go to the Small Business Procurement Advisory Council for consideration, if it has not already.

Are there any other comments on this topic around the room? First? Anyone on the phone? (No response.)

MS. CARSON: Okay, we're going to move on. This is a new proposal that was submitted by a member of the IATF, and that's Vietnam Veterans of America. The proposal is to review the policies of all Federal departments and agencies to ensure that no types or classes of contracts are excluded from the base against which the 3 percent required goal for awarding contracts to service-disabled veteran-owned small businesses is computed.

So, I am going to turn now to our representative from VVA for comment.

MR. KLINGELHOFER: Yes. This is Victor Klingelhofer for VVA. Public Law 106-50 is the Government-wide goal for participation by small business

it, you would have those options as well. All right? And you could do that as immediately as after this meeting. I am fine with the timeline.

MS. CARSON: Thank you. Then what I'm going to take for the record is that I will get that from you, share it with the members of this body, and if I may ask for a presentation from DoD from subject matter expert in our December 2016 meeting?

MR. WESLEY: Consider it done.

MR. BLUM: Barb, this is Mathew Blum from OMB.

And also, as another step, we have a Federal benchmarking initiative where we have developed benchmarks, Government-wide benchmarks in the acquisition space. And what you just described in terms of helping agencies align themselves with other agencies with similar missions and buying practices, I think this tool might be an excellent way to help broaden consideration of small business achievement through the benchmarking initiative.

Though as agencies, if this is demoed and agencies start doing some piloting of it, we might also get some folks that are part of the Federal benchmarking initiative to be -- listen to those conversations and start socializing this more broadly, whether it SBA's lead or somebody else.

concerns owned and controlled by service-disabled veterans shall be established at not less than 3 percent of the total of all prime contract and subcontract awards for each fiscal year. Now, we have heard over the years a great deal of oral speculation concerning exactly what contracts comprise the base on which this 3 percent is awarded. And we've heard, for example, that major weapon systems -- and I don't know if these are accurate or not, these are just things we've heard. Major weapon system procurement from DoD, which obviously can't be awarded as prime contracts to small businesses, are excluded from DoD's base. Or that the VA does not award -- include certain types of awards on medical devices to -- in its base that it computes.

We know that and we've seen that subcontractor awards in the past have not been included in the contract base because those were considered as task orders and not contracts. Well, and the Kingdomware decision from the Supreme Court took care of that by, you know, essentially saying if it looks like a contract and quacks like a contract, it is a contract. No matter what you -- you know, what you say about it.

So, we're still at a quandary as to what exactly is the contract base for each agency, and I don't believe that this is Government-wide, I believe that

some agencies do include all of their contracts, but that's, again, just speculation on my part.

You know, so what exactly, then, is the contract base on which the 3 percent is computed? You know, 106-50 says that it is the total value of all prime contract and subcontract awards. We simply don't know, and I think that if nothing else, if this is pure speculation, we should put that speculation to rest, or if there is accuracy here, then I think that we have a situation that needs investigation.

And therefore, VVA proposes that we collect data from all agencies relating to this issue, and probably by March 2017, collect data, and then evaluate that data over the next quarter until by our June '17 meeting, and then we can come up with recommendations by basically a year from now, or I think the September 2017 meeting.

We think this is an important issue, because we think that agencies, and again, this is speculation, we believe and we've heard that agencies are playing with the 3 percent figure by excluding whole portions of their contract awards from the base on which the 3 percent should be awarded. And again, this may be pure speculation, and, you know, I think that I have heard and we've heard from enough people who are concerned about this that it should be put to rest one way or the

the Veterans Conference for the Army, and one of the, things that came up back then was small businesses, specifically service-disabled veteran and veteran-owned companies didn't have a lot of capital, and they wanted to know exactly what kinds of opportunities were available to them, so they didn't spend their wills and waste resources going down a wrong path when it didn't even exist at all.

I think what you really want us to do is give clear opportunities, or clear classes of contracts that are available for veterans, service-disabled vet and/or small businesses. What we're doing now is dancing around the issue, all right, and it's not how the goal is calculated, it's about what opportunities really, truly exist for veterans and service-disabled veterans. I believe that's the real challenge. How do we make sure that we're communicating well?

I've been around now for over 20 years and it wasn't until the last seven years or so where we've actually gotten to where I believe all the Government agencies are communicating well with all of the veterans groups and service-disabled vet groups. I think what we don't do well is tell our success stories. We don't tell you where the maximum opportunities are and how. All right? And I think that's probably what we really

other. Thank you.

MR. WESLEY: So, Victor, this is Kenyata Wesley again, I'll go first. Because I think -- because the first example you used was actually major weapon systems, which falls in DoD. So, the first thing I will tell you is that is pure speculation. There is no truth to it whatsoever. The only exclusions that we have from the calculation within the Department of Defense are those listed in the FAR.

So, one of those is TRICARE, for example, all right? Whether -- it doesn't matter whether you're a veteran or HUBZone or woman-owned. As of right now, there is no small business anywhere in the world that actually can deliver the insurance for medical insurance for our active duty service members and/or veterans that may qualify for TRICARE utilization.

Immunizations is another. Right now, there's no small business vaccine makers; therefore, vaccines are out. All right? So, what I would caution everyone with this discussion is to be careful what you ask for, because this could lead down a path to where not just veterans are harmed, but also regular small businesses, woman-owned and so forth can be harmed.

I'm going to tell you how. We've had these discussions, and when the late Ms. Penson used to have

should be going after.

So, if I suggested a pivot to this, I would suggest revamping this all together, not because I'm afraid to tell you what's in the -- what's in our exclusion, because it's in the FAR. It's in the FAR, we have the exclusion, the only exclusion -- other exclusions versus what's in the FAR that we have, and I will tell you right now, are contingency environments. So, if it's going down range, they're right now the contracting officer may set that aside. And then, of course, SOFA agreements, because -- status of forces agreements is what SOFA stands for. I don't get a chance to break that work out. If there's a status of force agreement that says a host nation in Iraq or Afghanistan has first right of refusal, we have to wait until they refuse the work. That is the law. I don't get a chance to even have anything to do with that.

But I think what we can do, specifically, is honestly tell you based on our -- for example, the MRCOE tool I was just telling you about. I now can tell you where veterans have been performing well, and what our future opportunities that are coming up, based on our forecasting tool that we just developed as well, called Sapphire, I will now be able to do those things, which we just developed those this year. So, we weren't able

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

to tell you any of this before this year. All right? Thank you.

MS. CARSON: I'm going to ask a clarification question. It's Barb at SBA.

So, thank you for explaining. It sounds as if it is transparent, you need to know where to look. So, when you're, Victor, at VVA saying it's confusing or people believe it doesn't -- it's not clear, that is it an acceptable activity to take on the specific thing that he asked, which was we would provide how, if at all, agencies exclude opportunities from small business in general. If you're -- if you can accept that, sir, I think that would meet the first mark on VVA's request.

MR. WESLEY: Agreed.

MR. KLINGELHOFER: And let me be clear about this. We're not saying that TRICARE awards should be made to SDVO SBCs. What we are saying, though, it is the three -- it is the base on which the 3 percent is calculated that we should look to make sure that that base includes all contracts and subcontracts which is what PO 106-50 requires.

You know, we agree that there are many contracts where there are no small businesses. You know, there are no small business vaccine makers. I agree. You can't award to them, but that doesn't mean that the

go out of business because they are spending in the wrong places, all right?

I truly am one of those folks that believe that I want my industrial base, my small business industrial base to be around. I need them around, because the diverse mission and complex mission of the department is important, and I can't have them not be here. When it comes down to vet and service-disabled vets, I need them more than I need most others, and I admittedly say that publicly on the record.

The reason I say that is because who knows the department mission better than those who have already done it and performed it on active duty? But if I've got them spending money in places to compete in places where they don't even have minimum opportunity, then I'm steering them down the wrong ship and I can't have that. I need them around.

Does that make sense?

MR. KLINGELHOFER: I understand your position and I think that the disagreement, shall we say, or the differences of opinion here are precisely why we need to look at this. And merely because it is a FAR exclusion, you know, that's a regulatory exclusion, and it's our position that that may conflict with the legislative intent.

contract -- total contract value of such awards should not be included in the base on which the 3 percent is computed.

MR. WESLEY: And that's where we kind of have a fundamental disagreement, all right? And the reason I have a fundamental disagreement on it is it should not be included -- and maybe what we really need to do is the next time I'm summoned to the Hill, I need to put it in my testimony, because I'll have to do it soon, but one of the things that I want to make sure that we do is actually put out there that maybe what needs to happen is the PO needs to change, because if it's leading people to believe that it should be on the total base, that's a problem. Because if you are sitting here thinking, in any way, shape or form, that having -- moving the base or the denominator is going to help get opportunities for service-disabled vets, that's not going to happen. That's not how it works.

The way it works is based on the market research that's conducted to see if an opportunity even exists, or the companies exist. And by including numbers that small businesses do not even have the opportunity for, because they don't exist, we are now jading where companies are potentially investing or wasting money to go down. And what we don't want to do is have a company

So, I just want to look at this and come up with some ideas.

MR. BLUM: This is Mathew Blum. I believe that the Government contracts and business development folks here at SBA actually did a comprehensive review of this issue more broadly, not specifically to the statute, but if I recall correctly, in one of the recent NDAAs, I think Congress directed some work in their way that resulted in their counsel's office looking at this. And I think part of that analysis, for example, folks may recall, resulted in a significant change to start including overseas work, OCONUS work in the calculation of the goals.

So, it may be helpful to ask Barb for them to do a presentation. It can either be done in advance or at the next meeting, but I think that would be a helpful first step, because I do agree with Kenyata that this -- it's an important issue, but it does have impact that actually goes beyond just this community. And if we -- whatever change we make here, I think it needs to be thought about for how small business goaling is calculated in the other areas.

And also, if I hear you correctly, in saying that you want to look at the issue, that this -- the way it's currently written in the goal, it reaches a

conclusion, and given that, you know, it's possible that we -- it may be appropriate to have some type of exclusion, but maybe with either greater transparency, or more accountability, whatever the case my be. I think that there needs to be some retooling of the recommendation.

MR. HEILMAN: This is Craig, SBA. So, with respect to retooling a recommendation, I would propose as potentially a compromise on the language that's in front of us here. You know, I guess what I would call denominator discovery, this is kind of the topic, would VVA, and Mr. Wesley, agree to review the policies of all Federal departments and agencies to investigate what is currently excluded from the 3 percent base, and make this more of a -- because to Mr. Wesley's point, there's legitimate reasons why things are in the FAR and excluded. And to add them back could potentially be misleading to small businesses.

So, would that be an acceptable compromise language to say, you know, instead of to ensure that nothing is excluded, to determine what is currently excluded across the Federal Government.

MR. WESLEY: I'm fine with it.

MR. KLINGELHOFER: Yes, we can certainly make a change like that, because that was really the intent.

that it is important to start trying to increase the numbers of more recent veterans. And, you know, speaking from personal knowledge, a lot of the reasons why the younger veterans are not developing their own small business concerns is many of the reasons for which 8(a) companies seek assistance. You know, this is not to support -- meant to change what we have now with regard to the program, it is meant to augment the program by basically helping economically disadvantaged veterans.

Now, having said that, I realize now that this is not an appropriate goal for this task force. You know, this task force is not supposed to recommend legislation, and so I think we need to withdraw this proposed recommendation and instead pursue it on the Hill. Thank you.

MS. CARSON: Any comment within the room? We have one. Just one moment, sir, while we get a microphone for you.

MR. WYNN: Good morning. This is Joe Wynn, VET-Force VVA. I'm going to have to disagree with my colleague of pulling that off the table. Maybe this task force doesn't need to propose legislation, but it definitely needs to support a program for service-disabled vets that will move the needle and get

And I apologize for my wording. You know, I think if we investigate which types are classes of contracts are excluded, which they're excluded, and come up with some possible recommendations, then that would be fine.

MS. CARSON: Thank you. This is Barb at SBA.

So, we will make that modification when the transcript comes out, we will use that and also in a summary to everyone and make that public as well. And we will stick to the timeline that you proposed from VVA for action. And finally, we will have a presentation from the Small Business Administration Government Contracting Development Office on that study and what we learned

Thank you, we're moving on, you seek legislation to create a business development program for economically disadvantaged service-disabled veteran-owned small businesses modeled on the SBA's 8(a) Program. This was proposed by Vietnam Veterans of America. I'll turn it to you, sir, for further discussion.

MR. KLINGELHOFER: As an initial matter, the reason for this is that approximately 70 percent of the SDVO SBCs, or more, actually, I think it's more than 70 percent, are owned by Vietnam-era veterans, and there's nothing wrong with Vietnam-era veterans, but we think

more service-disabled vets opportunities for contracting.

I think most of you are familiar with the -familiar with the 8(a) Program, and how it works. For
quite some time, we have talked about trying to get some
type of vehicle that would allow contracting offers and
agencies to offer more opportunities to service-disabled
vets. This type of program that is being proposed
would, in fact, do that. And, so, you know, to have -and, so, to have, you know, an opportunity to have such
a program that would increase opportunities for
service-disabled vets, I think we need that.

We're not necessarily -- when we had our discussion of this, we weren't talking about -- and this has been talked about before -- trying to get service-disabled vets into the 8(a) Program. That kind of was not, you know, viewed very favorably among many of the veteran-owned businesses, but what we are talking about here is some type of program, some type of vehicle that will offer or an incentive and also authorization to contracting officers to be able to award contracts to service-disabled vets, particularly, as you know, there's a key feature in the 8(a) Program where they can make direct awards to 8(a) contractors. Why not have that same opportunity for service-disabled vets?

1 Thank you.

MS. CARSON: This is Barb from SBA.

I value the intent of this recommendation, but find some barriers and obstacles I would like to discuss. One would be that it would be perhaps worthwhile to look at how much 8(a) is utilized as a tool in contracting right now, and for us to feel that

we have a variety of measures that we can take to ensure
that veteran small business owners are prepared to
compete, have the opportunity, and those may not be
served best by this.

So, there are a couple of things that I broke this down. They do need business development. We are taking action in that arena. One of them is by the expansion of the Veteran Business Outreach Center Program, for example. Another is the increasing attention of our resource partners of SBA on veteran business and training them. We've increased our numbers significantly in how we're reaching people and catching them in the beginning of their business life cycle to make them, as Tom Leney would say, procurement-ready.

Finally, we have made, as an agency, a significant investment in a very successful program specifically for procurement and that's the Veteran Institute for Procurement, where so far, we have almost

legislation. So, it would have to be rewritten, period.

Two, I believe what the service-disabled vet community and the veteran community want is an 8(a) type rule, which also seeks legislative change. We don't have the authority within this body to do that. That is a legislative change. And, so, if that is the end result, which I don't think many people in here probably object to, but if that's the end result, we, this body, isn't the one.

Now, when you say support it -- I'm looking at Wynn -- when you say support it, you've got to have something to support, and I don't think there's anything -- any legislative proposal by your committees or your teams and organizations sitting on the Hill for us to support or disagree with right now. So, I think the leg work right now is on you to give us something to go support. Does that make sense?

Now, when you talk about service-disabled vets not having a sole source provision, we do have one, it's just not -- and the reason you said why isn't it being used? I will tell you why it's not being used in the department, I can't speak for other organizations, but the reason it's not being used widely, that's the key, because it is used periodically. The reason it's not being used widely is because it requires a justification

800 graduates of that program, they're very successful in Federal procurement and they're turning around and serving in their communities to mentor others, in conjunction with our existing resources.

Another measure that we are taking is evaluating why the programs that exist now are not being utilized. Sole source authority, a current 8(a) Program in general, not -- I'm looking at it, you know, what would happen if I tried to get SDB in there, and I'm seeing some challenges that this body can continue to investigate.

My concern, Mr. Wynn, is that we would increase the flow, but haven't changed the success in getting opportunity. And, so, I'm really working on those measures as well. So, if you can help me, I believe we need to take this one back for further action, and I would not believe that we could accept it as it is written at this time, but I'll stand by for comment.

MR. WESLEY: Okay. So, this is Kenyata Wesley. The -- we get down into what are we trying to get? I think that's really the important question.

MS. CARSON: Yes.

MR. WESLEY: The way this is worded right now about going for legislation, I agree with you, that's not the -- that's not what this body is for, to seek

and approval document, and that number counts against competition in a negative manner.

The Congress is telling us, compete, compete, compete. Well, by telling us compete, compete, compete, if you then turn around and do a sole source action that requires a justification and approval, which then counts against the number negatively, that discourages people from using that provision. So, we've got to be very careful that we are all moving towards the same direction. Does that make sense?

MS. CARSON: This is Barb at SBA.

We have two comments. I'm going to go first to Mathew Blum at OMB.

MR. BLUM: Thanks, Barb.

So, I think this has been a very healthy conversation, and one process point, just for future reference, it is awkward, it would be awkward for this board to propose legislation, but there are ways in which this body can be very helpful in doing the leg work that might inform this or the next or a future administration in work.

So, for example, just as a thought, because I heard, Bill, if I heard you correctly, it sounds like your organization will pursue legislation on the Hill, which is certainly your prerogative, and it probably, I

22.

don't want to speak for you, but my guess is that it would be -- you would welcome the opportunity, I'm guessing, or your organization and the other folks here, to use this body to have a conversation to figure out what might make sense in a legislative proposal.

So, one thing, for example, that this body could do in order to have this kind of conversation is to instead of proposing legislation, is to conduct an analysis of the benefits and drawbacks of creating a business development program for economically disadvantaged SDVO SBCs modeled on the SBA's 8(a) Program.

At the last board meeting, I believe that our friend Max Kidalov had done a rather lengthy presentation of not only what Kenyata said, but some other issues with respect to the fact that the lack of business development was a drawback in the use of the tool. I'm not giving it credence, the proper credence. That doesn't mean that there aren't other tools, as you mentioned, Barb, and maybe those tools are, you know, on balance are doing the job and that we should seek to make sure they're working well. And creating a program obviously has a cost and complexity with it.

But just to state, I think, you know, rather than having some members go propose legislation and then related. Just to give you guys an idea, this is about a five-page document where I have gone back to 1974 that talks about creating a business development program for services -- [inaudible portion of proceeding] -- I will give you a short answer, but there have been, for any number of reasons, issues over the last 20-something years that have stopped the service-disabled veteran-owned business development program.

When I started doing this 10 years ago, the Vietnam guys used to come up to me and complain about it, and I had no idea what they were talking about until I kind of -- until I had been involved. So, it's something that's an earned benefit that we definitely need, and actually we're putting together right now in our committee why we think there doesn't need to be too much additional legislation, if any, on how that could be done, and I'm more than happy to share that with this committee, to individual organizations, and the agencies on this committee.

We have a number of really informed law firms that are assisting in this. The reality is, according to max Kidalov's report is that even with all of this additional assistance for service-disabled veterans, their participation in Government contracting is declining. And if that's the case, then we know that

getting frustrated that other members of this board who aren't quite on board, maybe we can try to have a coordinated discussion by developing a White Paper using some of the conversation that this board has already had, building on it. That paper could also include specs for legislation of things that you think, components, you know, that are either built on 8(a) or maybe different from the 8(a) Program and, you know, we could present that paper to the SBA administrator, could be presented to the OMB director, however we wanted to craft that.

So, you know, then a future administration, if they wanted to propose legislation, you would potentially be in sync.

MR. KLINGELHOFER: We certainly would have no objection to changing this recommendation to state conduct an analysis of the benefits and drawbacks, I believe was a term you used, relating to possible creation of the business development program.

MS. CARSON: We have a comment in the room. Go ahead.

MR. PHIPPS: My name is Michael Phipps, I'm with the Advisory Committee on Veteran Business Affairs that meets the day prior to this. I also testified on the Hill about the Kingdomware decision, and all of this is these -- and granted, the work that Barb and Craig are doing has not had a chance to filter through, but we understand that it's a business development issue, and that was a topic of our last committee hearing.

And, so, the lack -- Kenyata hit it on the head with why the sole source isn't being used. There's a number of other reasons. Basically it's equivalent to just a regular small business setaside. The service-disabled language, contracting officers really can't use it, it's not just in DoD, it's Government-wide.

We did a search in FPDS. We found seven when we did our search, and to try to figure out how often it's been used. There may be more, maybe we did our search wrong. Even if we did it wrong, those numbers, it's not going to grow from seven to 10,000. So, that being said, I would really appreciate this body considering revamping that language to keep this agenda item going.

Thank you.

MS. CARSON: This is Barb from SBA.

Mr. Phipps, would you accept the language that was proposed to assess the benefits and drawbacks, and present to this body in December of 2016 with what you've learned at that point?

MR. PHIPPS: What I would suggest is that this

body look at what needs to be done in order to establish that program as opposed to the drawbacks. I think we know the drawbacks, there's plenty of information about the drawbacks, but to examine what would need to be done, and that could include drawbacks for such a program.

MR. WESLEY: Okay. So, given what you have just said, given what both of the veteran groups that are -- or two of the three veterans groups that I represented here, at least in the room, I heard what you said, all right? And I'm going back to what Barb said for those who are on the phone, so I looked at Barb when I said "you."

Barb listed several initiatives that are ongoing. I think the challenge here goes back to what I said the department doesn't do well, which is brag on ourselves, and I think what you haven't done in the SBA is organize it in a manner in which it is set up as a veterans development program, and couch it in the form to be fully transparent with the group as far as that this is our veterans development program. This is what it encompasses, make it -- outline it.

Because I keep hearing it doesn't exist, but you just outlined at least five initiatives that are ongoing. I just think we just haven't put it together,

MR. BLUM: No, I don't mean outreach in terms of a program for veterans, I mean our outreach to basically do messaging, story-telling, to bring these --

MR. WESLEY: Correct.

MR. BLUM: -- because I didn't note of all the pieces that Barb was describing, and maybe we should have a recommendation that makes sure that that happens.

MR. WESLEY: Yeah, because right now it just doesn't seem like people know what you're doing.

MR. BLUM: And that this body is then happy with the, you know, the way that that message is being presented. Because I think that would be a good -- a good function for this board. For this task force.

MS. CARSON: It's Barb at SBA.

Then I commit that in December, I will work with the chair of the Advisory Committee on Veteran Business Affairs to outline what does exist currently, and the -- because I'm afraid that it's not transparent to everybody, not everybody knows as much as you, and I think this would be a valuable learning opportunity to describe what we perceive as the gaps that remain, and whether or not a new program is one that we -- is what we would recommend as a body.

So, again, a presentation of existing, and then recommendations. And the timeline would again be in

put it out on our websites, each of our websites, that says hey, this is the service-disabled veteran or veteran development program, and it encompasses these three footstools, or four footstools, or five, however many it may be, and how each of them work. That way, everyone will know it does exist. That's the first thing.

B, then everybody can actually give comment that says, this is positive, we should tweak this, and then the only thing that I believe would be remaining would be whether or not some type of legislative change would need to be put forth on the changing or tweaking of the sole source provision, but that, of course, wouldn't come from this body.

But I think what the body really should be doing is taking a look at how you have a current program. Because it does exist, it just hasn't been advertised and told the story. And then we go after the sole source thing in a different avenue.

MR. BLUM: So, I'm sorry, Kenyata. So, are you suggesting that we develop some sort of outreach program? Is that what you're describing?

MR. WESLEY: Well, they already have it. You know, there is a veterans outreach program now within the --

1 this coming fiscal year.

MR. WESLEY: I think that's important, because before we go trying to drive a train in a direction, we need to at least know what's out there and have an understanding of what the intent of those things are, not just what we say on a piece of paper, but what the intent is, because I -- I have a feeling that some of the people who are saying that it doesn't exist are getting stories back from their groups that are saying, hey, I tried this, I tried that, I talked to this person, I got nowhere.

But what I found out in my own organization, which is massive, you're only as good as the employee that person saw. All right? And, so, if that employee didn't know the intent, and didn't know the scalability of what could be done, they said no to this veteran person or the veteran organization that came to see them.

So, I think if everyone understands what's being done, what's funded to be done, all right, because if they know what's funded to be done and they have a wish list, it would be also better to know that there's a price tag that comes with the additional wish list, and we have to figure out who's going to fund it. All of that needs to be a part of this discussion.

22.

MR. PHIPPS: This is Michael Phipps again. What Kenyata just said is exactly where our recommendation is going. There are a lot of programs to help service-disabled veteran-owned businesses and veteran-owned businesses. The issue is that it's not under one single business development program that is laid out.

There are disparate assistance programs, and we need to bring it in under one umbrella, exactly like Kenyata is talking about with the legislative change that allows that sole source authority, the direct award authority, similar to what the 8(a) programs have, and I think really what Barb and Craig and their organization is doing, they have the exact -- they have that program, they have it under one roof, it just needs to change into a single program.

MR. HEILMAN: It sounds like there are two things here that are coming out of the conversation. One is kind of the easy one in terms of us coming back and telling you how are these things going to integrate and how could they better integrate if we looked at it a little bit broader. I think the integration of these disparate programs that Mr. Phipps is referring to has been a key part of our strategy, but we may not have amplified that enough to this board, and certainly our

and that was because it was a service-disabled veteran-owned company that had a particular skill set that was needed and they basically wrote the justification around that, you know, requirement.

And, you know, that should -- direct award should not be limited to such situations. And I agree that with the twofold approach.

MS. CARSON: Since we don't have the exact wording done, then in the draft fiscal '16 report that we are going to craft as a body, I will put down the best representation that we can for members, and you represent VVA, you'll go back and make sure that we've got it, and this will be one of the deliverables of our fiscal '16 report is getting these exactly right, but that won't stop us from being accountable for the actions you requested, and we will present on that.

We have completed the discussion on the -- we've bucketed recommendations into different categories. This one was increased growth opportunities for veteran-owned small business. We are going to pause on the recommendation review to turn to two topics that are on top of mind, and we have representatives here from the Small Business Administration to address, and those are the Mentor-Protege Program, and the other is the impact of the Kingdomware decision across Government,

efforts to get that out into the broader community of all of our stakeholders is paramount as well.

The second part of that, though, going back to the original recommendation with respect to trying to study this a little bit further to get at some of the technical things, like what Mr. Wesley said around you do a J&A and you're not -- you don't have competition. And what is, you know, what are the things and, you know, from an OMB perspective that would loosen that a little bit that could potentially make a huge -- a small step that could potentially make a huge difference for all the contracting officers out there that say I really want to do this, but I just can't, because I've got conflicting goals.

And that certainly is what came across loud and clear in the Kidalov report, and when we had the contracting officers from DoD that kind of testified to that. So, potentially, I think that we can do both of those things and leverage the authority of the advisory committee and this board to have SBA go do some more research on the topic.

MR. KLINGELHOFER: Yes. I mean, one of our key goals in doing this is trying to figure out a way to increase the direct awards. I've been doing government contracts for 35 years now. I know of one direct award,

SBA's position, and others who are members of this body.

So, at this time, I would like to turn it over to Ken Dodds for a description of what's ahead in Mentor-Protege. And again, for those of you who are on the phone who joined late, we are looking at presentations, and you, too, if you write to us, via email, and I will send them to you after the meeting. The email address that you should write to is veteransbusiness@SBA.gov, and that veterans is plural.

MR. DODDS: Thank you, Barb.

Let's go to the first slide. Congress created, or we created a Mentor-Protege Program for 8(a) businesses back in the late '90s. In 2010, Congress gave us authority to create one for women-owned small businesses, HUBZones and SDVOs. In 2013, they gave us authority to create one for all small businesses. So, we've been working on that.

We did a proposed rule, issued a final rule, and it was effective August 24th, but we will not begin accepting applications until October 1. We're trying to do this electronically. I'm getting information every hour about how that's going. It looks like it will be definitely available October 1, but some parts of the electronic application will not be available until November 1, and so we may have to adjust or accept paper

applications, thing like that.

So, small businesses out there need to check our website, you know, periodically to see how it's going. You know, they're working on it every day, as we go along, to try to get it up and running.

Some of the things that we've kind of clarified in this rule are, you know, joint venture agreement must be in writing. A joint venture cannot be populated. Under our prior rules you could have employees that are just employees of the joint venture, but it was difficult for us to tell whether the protege was actually doing any of the work or benefitting, so under this new rule, the joint venture may not be populated.

We did clarify in this rule that our setaside programs are available outside the U.S. There's some -- you know, the way the FAR is written right now, some agencies interpret that as they can't do setaside outside the U.S. We've always taken the position that they have the discretion to do it, if they choose to do so, and if it's in the best interest of the Government, that kind of language. And I know that there's a FAR case that's been opened to kind of address this as well.

We are going to require under this, just like we do in 8(a), you're going to have to, as a joint venture, certify to us that you are going to meet the performance

should be developed enough to compete on your own without a mentor.

Next slide. We are not going to be doing a full-blown verification of your size or socioeconomic status. Of course, your size and socioeconomic status of the protege could be protested if you win a contract.

Now, we, of course, have the discretion if we think it's questionable whether you're really small or really HUBZone or whatever, we can always do that, but we're not going to do that in all cases.

We've had issues in the past where a company, a mentor is bought, and, you know, we -- it was unclear whether the new -- the buyer was now the mentor and how that works. So, we clarified that that's allowed.

And you can also, if you're in the 8(a) Program, you can, you know, basically transition out, and continue the relationship as an all-small Mentor-Protege. So, if you get your Mentor-Protege agreement, maybe in year six in the 8(a) Program, you will be able to continue it later outside the 8(a) Program.

We did clarify in this rule that the project manager cannot be a former employee of the mentor, and that's a question that we've been getting a lot. We've

requirement and then report to us on how you're meeting those performance requirements.

Go to the next slide. Because of the way SAM has been developed to help the Government make sure that payments are basically paid to the right parties, you're going to have to, as a joint venture, be an entity in SAM in order to participate in the Mentor-Protege Program. Because we've had issues in the past where the agency didn't know who to pay because the entity wasn't there. They didn't want to pay the mentor, they didn't want to pay the protege, and that's not really the entity, that's the contractor. So, that's a requirement now under this rule.

Mentor-Protege agreements will be approved by the Office of Business Development, which is the same office that currently reviews 8(a).

We have, you know, basically clarified that a mentor can have up to three proteges at a time. A protege can qualify as small, basically under their NAICS, or secondary NAICS, if that's an area we do business in. And then, a protege can have up to two mentors in the existence. So, it could be two in the 8(a) Program, it could be one in the 8(a), one all-small. It's up to the firm to decide, but it's a limit of two throughout their life. At that point, you

got a lot of issues with that, but that's what the rule says right now.

Let's go to the next slide. As I said before, I think it's a three-year relationship, and we can extend it up to three years. Also, we're going to annually review to see whether the protege is actually getting the benefits that the mentor outlined in the agreement that they are going to provide the protege, make sure the protege is actually performing the required amount of work.

So, it is a -- it's a three-year with a three-year extension, but every year we're going to review it, and we may decide to not allow the relationship to continue if the benefits aren't being done properly.

We did clarify in this rule that the past performance and experience of the members of the joint venture should be considered by the CO. We've had situations where the agency wrote the solicitation in such a way that they only wanted to consider the past performance or experience of the joint venture entity itself and not the members that are actually going to be performing, even though the members are the ones who are, you know, going to do the performing and had all the past performance and experience.

And this was supported, actually, by legislation, after we proposed the rule, there was legislation I think in the NDA of 2016 that kind of said this.

And then one of the key things is that at the conclusion of the relationship, we're going to require you to report to us on whether you benefited and how you benefited, because we've been getting, you know, questions from GAO to let us -- you know, what is the benefit of the Mentor-Protege Program, and it's difficult for us to say at this point because we don't have information and data. So, that's one of the new things that we're going to require of all participants.

Same performance requirements, you know, you have to do, as a protege, 40 percent of the work that you're collectively doing as a Mentor-Protege joint venture, and that's at any level. You didn't try to become a -- you know, a mentor can't be a third-tier subcontractor and somehow perform more, it's 40 percent of all that's done in the aggregate.

We changed the HUBZone joint venture rules to make that program like other programs and allow a HUBZone to joint venture with another small business. Up until now, their rules required the HUBZone joint venture to both be HUBZones. And there is a way to

slide. Okay, so there's a citation to the rule. It was effective August 24th. Again, October 1 is when we're going to start with this all-small Mentor-Protege Program that applies to all small businesses.

And the next slide is the other rule -- no, that's not it. Okay, so for other Mentor-Protege programs under this, the law, we have to review them. So, other than DoD, if an agency, like GSA or DHS or whatever, they have their own Mentor-Protege Program, they have to come to us within one year of August 24th, so that would be August of 2017, and kind of tell us why their program should continue, and then we have to make a decision about their continuing.

And now, the next slide, I think is the other rule I wanted to mention. It was a direct final rule, it's effective October 3rd, it's an implementation of the NDA of 2016, and it basically changes the eligibility for HUBZone. It expands the base closure area to areas that are connected to the base, not just the base, basically. It allows, if there's a declared disaster for firms to qualify as HUBZone, and then it also now allows native Hawaiian organizations to own a HUBZone concern.

And I think the next slide is just my contact information. So, are there any questions about

request a reconsideration if you're denied.

Go to the next slide. If a mentor doesn't provide the required assistance, there's a lot of levels that we can apply, you know, from termination of the agreement to not allowing the mentor to ever -- to act as a mentor for two years, all the way to debarment, if we think that's appropriate.

Next slide. Just to be clear, the size, even if you've been approved as an 8(a), a joint venture by the district office BOS, your size can still be protested. And, so, in a formal size determination, they may find that your joint venture agreement was not appropriate.

For all mentor -- for the all-small Mentor-Protege Program, we're not reviewing the joint venture agreement, so that's not going to apply to you. That, of course, can still be protested, so you have to make sure that your joint venture agreement tracks what our regs require specifically, and all the items in there.

There's a lot of other stuff around 8(a) in this rule that I'm not going to go over, but they're in the slides. Let's go to the next slide. A lot of this has to do with entity-owned concerns. Again, I don't think that's really important for this body.

Let's go to the next. Let's go to the next

Mentor-Protege before I turn it over to Sam? Yes, sir?

MR. KLINGELHOFER: One of the points in the Mentor-Protege and basically joint ventures has been a whole matter of discussion at my office for several years now. What is the legal form that a Mentor-Protege or any joint venture, frankly, must establish to meet your requirements? You know, a partnership is a legal entity of itself, an LLC is a legal entity of itself. Should all Mentor-Protege joint ventures be LLCs? Should they be incorporated? You know, it is really unclear as to what the SBA requires for -- with regard to the status of the entity for approval.

MR. DODDS: I mean, from what I've seen, it's usually an LLC. We kind of left that open, but it is a -- it still has to be for a limited purpose, right? A joint venture, usually in the common law, was to do one contract. We created this exception that allows you to go after up to three, you know, get three contract awards in a two-year period to try to ease the burden of having to do a new joint venture agreement every time you did an offer.

So, we were trying to help there, but then it's more of an ongoing entity, but it still can't be perpetual, because then that's no longer a joint venture, that's more of an ongoing business affiliation.

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

So, I think as long as you -- I don't know, Sam is here from the Office of General Counsel, but as long as there's evidence in your organization documents that it's for a limited purpose, that it's a joint venture, I think it could take an LLC, a corporation or any type of form. I don't think we've taken the position that it -- anything is out of bounds.

MR. KLINGELHOFER: Okay.

MS. CARSON: Ken, it's Barb, SBA.

There was lively discussion last evening at a veteran organization centered around Federal procurement, that's why they organized. A number of them are on the line, so I am going to turn it to them in a second, but VA did speak at this event, and mentioned that there was some risk to -- and said that they have been coordinating with SBA on CBE certification and the potential to lose it through some of the provisions of the Mentor-Protege Program.

And I'll also ask at this time, Dave Thomas or Tom Leney, if either of you are on the line at the moment, if you could reframe the question as I understood it. So, I will pause for a second and see if they are on line.

(No response.)

MS. CARSON: Okay, if they join us later, and if

recommendation is they need to sit down with VA and make sure that whatever they plan does not impact negatively their VA verification.

MR. DODDS: Yeah, and I think, you know, I think the VA's rules are similar to ours and they require 51 percent ownership by service-disabled veterans, which means a large business could own up to 40 percent of the firm. Where you get into trouble is where it's just not ownership, but you add all these controls along with your purchase, I get to say this to this and this to that and I have to have approval of this and that. That's when the control will be a problem and you might be found to be ineligible.

There are some minority shareholder protections that are, you know, based on state law that we've said in the past in cases are okay, but a lot of the things we see go far beyond those state law protections, and that's where you could be found to be ineligible. It's not the ownership, really, it's the control that you write into those agreements that may jeopardize your ability.

MR. DENNISON: You're right. Absolutely. Thank you for the correction.

MS. CARSON: Are there any questions in the room before we move to the phones on Mentor-Protege Program,

it doesn't sound familiar, then I'll follow up in writing and share that with those who are attending.

MR. DODDS: Yeah, definitely let me know. I met with --

MR. DENNISON: Hey, Barb? Barb, this is Scott Dennison with National Veterans Small Business Coalition.

MS. CARSON: Go ahead.

MR. DENNISON: Yeah, thank you.

Yeah, the issue -- the reason I raised it last night was yesterday morning I had the opportunity to go to a training program on the Mentor-Protege Program that Blair Omaza [ph.] did, and John Klein from SBA spoke, and one of the issues from a veteran perspective is that the new Mentor-Protege Program allows for the small business to give up to 40 percent interest in its firm to the mentor.

And my concern was that if you are a verified by VA veteran or service-disabled vet and you give up 40 percent of your company, that will probably put your VA verification in jeopardy. So that the issue is that we, from a veteran perspective, we have set of rules for SBA, and for the VA. So, if, in fact, the service-disabled veteran or veteran-owned business is going to get into the SBA mentorship program, the

before we move to Kingdomware?

(No response.)

MS. CARSON: Okay, none in the room. Anyone on the phone have any questions for Mr. Dodds on Mentor-Protege?

(No response.)

MS. CARSON: I'm pleased to introduce Sam Le, who represents Small Business Administration's Office of General Counsel and will address Kingdomware impact.

MR. LE: Thanks very much, Barb.

I'm with the SBA's Office of General Counsel, and I had the pleasure in February of attending the oral argument at the Supreme Court for the Kingdomware Technologies v. United States case. This is one of the first and possibly only pure Federal Government contracting cases that has reached the Supreme Court.

Just to fill you in on what the court was like that day, it was actually the first argument at the Court after Justice Scalia had died, so there was a somber mood at the Court, and Chief Justice Roberts said a few words to remember Justice Scalia. I think the ceremony for Justice Scalia was either the weekend before or the weekend after. And there were also some attorneys that were sworn into the Supreme Court that day, a lot from Utah.

22.

22.

You might have seen a case that came out of the Supreme Court earlier this year about search and seizure rights, where Justice Sotomayor wrote a very long dissent getting into some of the things that have happened across the country, but particularly in Ferguson, Missouri. That case was heard that day as well. So, that was a very busy day at the Court.

You probably already know the outcome of the Kingdomware case. The Government and the Department of Veterans Affairs lost the case, unanimously. The Supreme Court found unanimously, and without Justice Scalia, so being eight to nothing, that the Veterans Benefits Act of 2006 requires the setaside of Federal supply schedule orders for veteran-owned small businesses issued by the Department of Veterans Affairs where the rule of two is satisfied.

The rule of two is the contracting principle that where the agency finds that two or more of the particular categories, in this case, veteran-owned small businesses, are available, responsible, and able to perform the requirement at a fair and reasonable price, then the agency must set that contract or in this case that order, aside for that category. So, in this case, it's VOSBs.

There are two important legal conclusions that

to find that that purposes clause was not a prerequisite, that it was a statement of intent by Congress, and a statement of expectation that the VA would meet the goals, but it did not create a legal prerequisite to the setaside requirement, and therefore, regardless of whether the VA had met the contracting goals, the VA still was required under the statute to set aside a contract for small businesses.

The second issue came up at the first time before the Supreme Court, and you can tell from the decision issued by justice Thomas that the justices were not particularly pleased with the Government for raising a new argument at this point probably three years into the litigation.

The Government argued, for the first time before the Supreme Court, that the term "contract" in the Veterans Benefits Act of 2006 did not encompass Federal supply schedule orders. You may have heard of the GSA schedule or multiple award schedule. All these terms refer to the same thing, GSA schedule, multiple award schedule, Federal supply schedule.

The Government argued that when Congress used the term "contracts" in the statute, stating that the VA shall set aside contracts for veteran-owned small businesses, Congress did not intend to include in that

the court came to in the Kingdomware decision. The first addressed the argument that was raised by the VA and that actually won at the lower court, which was the Court of Federal Claims, and at the appeals court, which was the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. So, if you're keeping track, the VA won twice before getting to the Supreme Court, but then lost unanimously at the Supreme Court.

But in the first two cases, the VA had argued that the structure of the Veterans Benefits Act of 2016 relieved the VA from the requirement to set aside those Federal supply schedule orders, where the VA had met the contracting goals. The statute stated roughly that for the purposes of meeting the goals, the VA shall set aside contracts for veteran-owned small businesses where the rule of two is satisfied.

And the VA argued successfully at those two courts that the purposes portion of that statute was a prerequisite to setting aside the contracts, and therefore the VA had been meeting its goals, and the VA argued that it had been meeting its goals, then the VA was relieved from the setaside responsibility.

The Supreme Court rejected that argument. The Supreme Court relied on earlier cases, and including some cases interestingly involving the second amendment,

term orders that are issued under multiple award contracts such as the GSA schedule.

The Government relied in part on previous interpretations of the Small Business Act, and that's really the reason that I was there that day. SBA has in the statute multiple setasides, as you know, for service-disabled veteran-owned small businesses, HUBZone businesses, 8(a) concerns. The one that the Government focused in on was Section 15(j) of the Small Business Act, which states that the agency shall set aside a contract for small businesses at the dollar level between \$3,500 and \$150,000, so long as the rule of two is satisfied.

And the Government had argued that the FAR when it interpreted this Section 15(j) setaside, and in previous cases, this setaside had been found not to apply to Federal supply schedule orders.

The Government also argued that orders are understood in Federal contracting to be separate and distinct from what might be called a new contract. For example, when an agency goes out on FedBizOpps and says that it has a new requirement and opens it up to either full and open or a subset of that. That's considered a new contract, whereas when an agency issues an order, it goes only to businesses that have an existing contract

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

63

61

with the GSA schedule.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Supreme Court rejected that second argument as well, first stating, and I think criticizing the Government for bringing up this argument for the first time before the Supreme Court, but also finding that the term "contract," both in its dictionary definition, and in its FAR definition, includes orders that are issued off of the GSA schedule.

The Court found, also, that an order on the GSA schedule has the same structure as a contract in that it's an agreement between two parties that has a price that is negotiated. It may have terms and conditions that are not already part of the GSA schedule contract.

The Supreme Court did not say specifically how the VA would implement this new interpretation of contracts also including orders, but I think the Supreme Court made it clear that there's no exception in the Veterans Benefits Act of 2006 that allows the Department of Veterans Affairs to avoid setting aside GSA schedule orders for veteran-owned small businesses, provided that the rule of two is satisfied.

There was one more finding on that second point, which is that the Government had also argued that GSA schedule orders are often small dollar values, specifically the Government said they're often under the policy memo with a very nice flow chart. The memo is fairly long, but there's an easy-to-flow chart that goes along with it that's available on the VA website that you can find.

And that policy, the way that I read it, and again, I'm not with the VA, but the way that I read it, I think the two big elements of that are first the VA is going to refer service-disabled veteran-owned small businesses over veteran-owned small businesses. So, they will look at SDVOSB where going to VOSD. I think that's always been part of their policy.

And then the second part of it is that the VA is still going to try to do setasides using the schedule before going outside of the schedule, where it makes sense to use the schedule.

So, if the market research shows that this requirement can be offered on the schedule, the VA looks like it's going to look at that schedule, see if they're verified SDVOSBs, or verified VOSBs, on that schedule, and potentially issue a setaside on that schedule before going outside of the schedule.

From a looking forward standpoint, I think there are further potentials for litigation that come out of this Kingdomware decision, both as it applies to the VA, and as it might apply outside of the VA. So, within the

62

\$150,000 simplified acquisition threshold. And the Court rejected that argument and criticized it based on

3 the facts of Kingdomware itself. In Kingdomware, the VA

4 had procured an IT system, it was an emergency alert

system, and the Court found, based on that, and some

5 6 other elements of the record, that the VA was using the

GSA schedule for not just small dollar orders, but for

much larger orders as well. And even if the VA were using the GSA schedule for small orders, the Court said

that the GSA schedule has an abbreviated process for orders under the simplified acquisition threshold.

So, really, it was not much more of a burden on the VA to set aside under the GSA schedule under the simplified acquisition threshold.

So, that decision was issued in June. Now, I'm with the SBA, so I can't really get into everything that the VA has done, but one thing I did do was I attended a Senate hearing that was held shortly after the decision was issued, representatives from the VA, Tom Leney was there, and there were representatives from veteran-owned small businesses that attended as well.

The VA and SBA also testified at that hearing. The VA and SBA were asked what their reactions to the decision were, and what the implications of the decision were. Shortly after that hearing, the VA issued its

VA, you'll probably see cases that are brought, and I know of one case already that's been brought in the Court of Federal Claims, to test how far this mandatory setaside goes.

We know now from the Supreme Court that the setaside applies to the GSA schedule. Then the further questions are does this setaside have preference over other contracting priorities? For example, AbilityOne, does the setaside require that the VA look at VOSBs and SDVOSBs before considering AbilityOne?

There is also a case that was brought at GAO last year about the non-manufacture rule applying to this setaside. So, you'll probably see some litigation over what circumstances go into that rule of two determination. Whether the VA is properly applying, say, limitations on subcontracting, particularly for service contracts, or service orders, and the nonrecovery rule for supply contracts and orders.

Then you may see some discussion or litigation brought about what implications the Kingdomware case has outside of the VA. Because remember, the Supreme Court, when it was looking at the issue of whether the order is a contract, turned to the dictionary, and turned to the FAR. So, those are not elements that are particular to the VA, those would apply outside of the VA as well. I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

67

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

65

mean, all you have to do is pick up a dictionary and see what a contract is.

In that regard, GSA issued a memorandum to the acquisition officers in mid-July stating that its policy on setasides outside of the VA was to run unchanged. SBA, for their part, had a case before GAO just very shortly before Kingdomware was heard about whether the set aside in Section 15(j) of the Small Business Act applied to GSA schedule orders between \$3,500 and \$150,000, that threshold in the statute.

GAO found in that case that the mandatory set aside in 15(j) did not apply to GSA schedule orders. Now, we think that it did, we think that GAO was wrong on this and we argued that 15(j) should apply to orders between \$3,500 and \$150,000, and there may be opportunities to relook at that and to discuss that now that Kingdomware has been issued.

Certainly GSA has come down and said that it degrees with the decision by GAO in that case, which was Aldevra, you can probably find it on GAO's website, but that issue may come up again.

You'll probably also see some discussion about what implications that order has or contracts distinctions has in other areas of Federal contracting. The Federal Government has -- at least the OMB has presentation. I'm going to turn in the room and see if there are questions here before we go on the line.

MR. WESLEY: So, I'm not sure if you were here at the last meeting, but when my team came back that was here at the last one, they came back with some messages for me, so I want to clarify. One was I think you touched on it a little bit, but I just want to be very clear. When it comes to how the SBA is interpreting the Kingdomware decision so far, that's the key, so far, is that the things that are in the statute that are vet-first provisions are only applying to the VA right now; however, when it comes down to the orders provision, versus contract, that could be why it's spread over the Federal Government. Is that what I'm hearing?

MR. LE: Well, to clarify, there's been no agency-wide statement of policy on what the Kingdomware case might do for Federal Government contracting or small business contracting as a whole. The only policies that I know of are the VA policy and the GSA policy.

What I was referring to was the legal position that SBA took before Kingdomware came out, before GAO. We said that we thought that that 15(j) setaside applied to orders between \$3,500 and \$150,000, but GAO rejected

66

issued several policies concerning management and strategic sourcing.

There was also discussion at the runup to the Supreme Court hearing about other areas in Federal procurement law that use the term "contracts." I think there's something in the sealed bidding rules about how you're supposed to prefer sealed bidding for contracts and the question will be whether the Kingdomware decision, which defines a contract as including an order, might change the way that the Federal Government applies some of these other external sources of law.

Other than that, you know, I don't think there's -- I don't think there's much more than, you know, seeing what happens out of litigation and seeing what happens with particular contract awards. I don't know other than this Court of Federal Claims case what active litigation is out there on this issue, but I think the fact that the Supreme Court took the case, and it doesn't always need to take cases, as you probably

The fact that the Supreme Court took this case and came down so strongly against the Government suggests that there is a lot to be determined on what the implications of Kingdomware are.

MS. CARSON: Thank you so much, Sam, for the

that argument in the decision. So, I think that's part of the thing that remains to be seen as to whether, you know, SBA is going to follow in the footsteps of VA and GSA in issuing something about the implications of Kingdomware.

MR. WESLEY: And the reason I'm asking the question is because the small business jobs, and Ken and I had discussions a couple of years ago when I first came in the job, the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, in my opinion, actually clarified this decision a long time ago.

So, how this even bubbled up, I don't know, but it says in the Jobs Act of 2010 that contracting officers may apply setasides to the GSA schedule. So, to me, at that point in time, that became clear. The only question I have is are we changing now because of the Kingdomware decision that "may" option to "shall" for regular setasides? Because I know, for example, the Department of Defense doesn't have a vet-first option.

So, I can't go -- even though I personally have been on record saying that I would love a vet-first option, not mandatory, but option, the -- and my boss has agreed with that, we would love to have that tool in the toolbox, it's just not there yet. But since we don't have that option right now, we do not -- we cannot

do a vet-first set aside, so we can do everything in parity per the SBA's previous ruling.

So, I just want to clarify that piece.

22.

MR. DODDS: Yeah, and I think we do plan to issue guidance on what our position is. I understand your position. The opposite of that is that 15(r) applies to above \$150,000, and then 15(j) controls, you know, orders below \$150,000. That's kind of the argument that we'll be making.

But, you know, what we're going to try to tell agencies is that you do market research, and if you -- if small business can do the work, then you use small business. You either do a contract, you do an order, you do an order under one of your contracts, you do an order under someone else's. It doesn't matter. You've done market research and if small business can do it, our guidance is going to be you should use small business.

MR. BLUM: Mathew Blum.

We had an interesting situation a number of years ago, actually in this administration, folks might remember in parity, and there was confusion because I think there was court action that -- a GAO opinion, I think it had to do with how the HUBZone setaside worked, and that the HUBZone preference would trump others, and

Department of Justice, is the fact that the Small Business Act, which actually has a separate provision -- has two provisions dealing with setasides, right, one with contracts, which is 15(j), and one that deals specifically with orders, 15(r), right?

That's different from the statute that the Supreme Court was opining on, which only talked to contracts. And I thought the language said something to the effect of there's nothing in the statute that distinguishes between contracts and orders. That's the VA statute. Which is different from the -- from the Small Business Act.

MR. WESLEY: That was one of my questions.
MR. BLUM: Yes. So, I'm not offering opinion today, but that is just to give -- to flesh it out.
That's the issue, an issue, that will be discussed to -- and that needs to be addressed in order to understand the impact moving forward. As Ken mentioned, it sounds like SBA's view of how to rationalize all of this is to say that the language of 15(j) makes setasides mandatory under \$150,000, or under the simplified acquisition threshold. But that's a matter that needs to be further discussed.

MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: This is Maggie. May I say something? Chairman?

eventually that was fixed by Congress.

But in terms of process that Kenyata was raising, the FAR counsel was also engaged, OMB was engaged, because ultimately, this needs to be clarified, or whatever clarification is needed needs to appear in the FAR, because that's what contracting officers are going to follow.

So, just to go back to the hearing that Sam was mentioning, Ken's boss, John Shoraka, testified that the SBA will be conferring with Justice, the Small Business, become an advisory counsel, GSA and the FAR counsel, to discuss this matter. And as you mentioned, and I think correct me if I'm wrong, but the Kingdomware decision was silent on the interpretation of the Small Business Act, right?

In other words, I know as you -- and please correct me, because all I know is the opinion, and the briefs I guess did speak to the interpretation of the Small Business Act, but the language on the face of the opinion I think only spoke to an interpretation of the VA statute.

So, an open question, and I'm not speaking, you know, for OMB's counsel's office and I'm not offering an opinion, but one question that is likely to be looked at by the FAR counsel attorneys with SBA attorneys and the

MS. CARSON: Go ahead, Maggie. And this is Maggie from Aldevra.

MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Thank you.

So, I know there's all this talk about 15(j) and (r), and whatever else, but just to kind of simplify this. At DoD, they needed to buy an ice machine. The contracting officer was asked, aren't there two or more small businesses who can sell you an ice machine? I don't care, I don't have to go small business, I'm using GSA instead of.

Well, wait a second, I thought there's an act that said shall exclusively reserve opportunities for small business if it's under \$150,000. So, that's really what it's coming down to is, exactly what was said earlier, why wasn't the contracting officer looking to see if there were small businesses who could sell DoD an ice machine before going to GSA?

And further, if the contracting officer wanted to buy ice machines using GSA, he still could have. There are still two or more small businesses that were selling ice machines on GSA, he just refused. And this is part of a bigger issue that between \$3,500 and \$150,000 is supposed to be exclusively reserved for small business concerns, and what we're seeing is within that dollar value, about 40 percent of those

opportunities are going to large business.

22.

Now, of course, that could mean a number of things, the number of small businesses available to do it, et cetera, et cetera. But contracting officers are being taught, use FSA, then you don't have to go small business right now.

Thank you for your time.

MR. WESLEY: So, this is Kenyata at DoD. Since you used me as an example, I'm going to go ahead and just clarify something, all right?

So, there's a couple of things that we need to really think through when we use examples, because I think that we need to be fully transparent with them. With the examples you use, there are certainly rules that kick in with non-manufacturing, and so forth, when it's also distribution. This is a difference between manufacturing and selling an ice machine.

And, so, when the CO sat down to do a review, there were no small business manufacturers of the ice machine which were small business distributors of ice machines. That's a very different discussion.

Two, the law actually in the way the FAR reads does not designate that all small business under the simplified act possession threshold go to small business, and it gives contracting officer discretion, gentleman from OMB indicated. So, stay tuned.

MS. CARSON: Thanks, Maggie. This is Barb, SBA.

We will -- and if there's anyone else on the line who has a question, we probably have about three more minutes to spend on this. We have a really ambitious schedule to get through the recommendations of the Interagency Task Force and retooling those. I do want to respect the rights of the members of the public to get on record, but I don't want you to think that's your only venue. You are welcome to send in a question, and I can provide it to the members and we can provide a response at our next meeting so that we maintain our transparency, but we will run out of time.

And again, on the email, I'm going to say it again, veteransbusiness@SBA.gov. One last question on impact of Kingdomware.

(No response.)

MS. CARSON: Okay. Thanks for participating, and I thank my colleagues from SBA for joining us for this portion.

We're going to return to the recommendations of the Interagency Task Force and the way forward. We have -- I'm going to give you an idea of what's ahead. We have one hour left and we have three bodies of work to go through, and they are to improve and expand

and people often seem to forget that. So, I'm not arguing that they should do market research or not do market research, I'm always of the position that market research rules. But we need to make sure that when we're telling our stories and we use examples, that we tell the full story and cite the regulations as they are written, not part of the regulations, because that is what leads to confuse people on the outside that aren't necessarily experts in the procurement system.

I don't -- this is not to contradict the young lady on the phone, this is just she used us an as an example and I wanted to clarify the rules and the way they were applied.

Now --

MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: And there's no class waiver for ice machines, just FYI.

MR. WESLEY: So, when you sit down to have the discussion, it just needs to be fully vetted and we need to make sure that we clear up and tell the story the way in the full complexity, not just partway. That's my only comment.

MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Yeah, I'm sure there's not time at this meeting to get into all the details, and it sounds like it's way above my head and that of many others to determine what will happen next, as the

assistance, the next is to reduce barriers to growth and improve coordination, and the final is research and policy.

We have lost a few members for just a moment, but I believe these next two recommendations, and they will return, but these next two recommendations I believe are fairly clear-cut, and but we will get your feedback on those.

The first is under Improve and Expand
Assistance, to ease navigation and create or leverage an
existing web portal that allows veterans to access
entrepreneurship resources from across government. The
recommendation for this was to close it or to pivot it
to something that is relevant, because at least part of
this recommendation was addressed by the creation of
businessUSA.gov and other resources. The recommended
pivot was conduct outreach and training and identify new
opportunities for mapping the landscape of state and
Federal programs.

Are there any comments on the proposed change? (No response.)

MS. CARSON: We will accept this one as written.

The next is a new recommendation, and it's coming from the Department of Labor, I will read it. Add to the curricula used in Boots to Business reboot

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

77

79

80

1 and other veteran entrepreneurship training programs 2 that teaches and encourages participants to familiarize 3 themselves with the public workforce system known as 4 AJCs, and others, to use them as their human resources 5 support for staffing. 6 I'll turn it to you, Mr. Metheny. 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. METHENY: Okay, thank you. So, the idea here is as we continue to get information out to veteran entrepreneurs, I know yesterday's advisory committee talked about marketing and business development. Another piece of this is the human resources size of hiring as they grow, and so a great opportunity to find and hire employees, particularly veterans, would be through the job centers that are part of the public workforce system. There are almost 2,500 of them around the country that can help link up these business owners who are now employers with potential employees.

So, the idea behind this is to add a bit of language and information in the different curricula that teach veteran entrepreneurs about this resource that's available to them, as they grow.

MS. CARSON: Anything else?

MR. METHENY: And to get to your point of specificity, I think what we -- and I don't want to sign up the SBA since I don't own the curriculum for these, 1 potential source. Just FYI.

> MR. HEILMAN: So, we can change the language, this is Craig at SBA, and for this last component of it, veteran entrepreneurship training programs such as available through procurement technical assistance centers, and other SBA partners, of which small business development centers would be included.

MR. METHENY: And this is Bill Metheny from DoL, that's -- and then lead agencies, I suspect, would be SBA, DoL, DoD on it, or no?

MS. CARSON: Yes, as a minimum.

12 MR. METHENY: Okay.

> MS. CARSON: Is a representative from GSA is on the line? Do you feel that you have any particular contribution in that, Jerome?

> > (No response.)

MS. CARSON: Okay, we will keep it with us, the three of us. Thank you. And we will modify that.

We're moving on to the third body of work, which is reduce barriers to growth and improve coordination. This recommendation will stay as it was stated, which is find and reduce barriers that impede service-disabled veteran-owned small businesses from contracting with the U.S. Government. I would like to see more specificity in that, because it's really hard to report on and it

78

but I think by our next meeting, we could have some draft language to update a curricula for each of the programs.

MS. CARSON: This is Barb at SBA.

That's a reasonable goal. We are on a cycle on some of those programs either by grant or an interagency effort, where there's a set cycle on when we may change curricula, but we do agree and are already supporting getting the word out on this resource.

We find it valuable not just in our entrepreneurship development programs, but would encourage member agencies that this is something that you would discuss. As people are winning contracts with you, they are going to need to staff them well, and we may have a good resource in the agencies and we would like to reinforce that.

So, I believe the consensus is that we will accept this.

MR. WESLEY: Just one add, it may be beneficial to make sure the PTACs are aware of this. So, as they are helping companies, and not just the PTACs, but the SDVCs are aware of this as a resource, because as they're helping small businesses win contracts and service-disabled vet companies win contracts, they will be able to help them staff up by using this as a

will never be closed the way that it's written, and perhaps that's the intent, but it would be really nice to be able to measure our successes there to Mr. Wesley's point earlier.

So, unless there's a solution in mind, and at hand, we will continue this one as written, but we will look at the end of next fiscal year to find a way to measure this in a more meaningful way.

MR. WESLEY: Do we even have a baseline on where we are with it? Because you can't measure growth or success without knowing a baseline. Do we have a baseline?

MS. CARSON: We have a baseline of perceived problems, but they are not all -- are barriers. And if I can just spend one second on that, that's a great question. Access to capital, the business development opportunities would be two, the current structure of contracting officers perhaps being perceived as having a lot of risk in using the programs that are available to them, for example, sole source.

MR. WESLEY: So, I think maybe if you want to have a measurable outcome, maybe we should just, first we have to say where we are. So, when we say -- you've mentioned contracting officers not using sole source provisions for service-disabled vets that exist. If we

22.

find out where we are, today, then we can set a percentage rate that we are trying to increase for and what we're doing to work towards those increases.

Then we start talking about increased to new technologies. Well, you know, Department of Defense is driving hard in that area right now, where we set up organizations like DIUx and increase the utilization of SBIR, rapid innovation funds. All of those things within the Department of Defense, but what we did is we figured out where we were, and then went to start pushing for a percentage of improvement.

I think if you're trying to do that in here, first establish what the baseline is, then go after an increase of some kind to show that we're heading in the right direction.

MR. HEILMAN: Craig at SBA. I mean, I would just add to Barb's comments that our baseline is certainly our scorecard in terms of what utilization is today, but the stakeholders tell us that we can do more, and the business development discussion has been, you know, the main driver of that.

I think this could tie back to what we decided on 1.4 where we were going to conduct an analysis of the pros and cons for a new business development program, and in so doing that research could include trying to go back and we'll double check to see if it's in that study. If it's in that one, the answer is no, but if it's in one that was previously completed, then yes, I don't mind sharing.

MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Great. I think that would be a great resource for the committee.

MS. CARSON: The proposal is that this would be no longer a stand-alone recommendation, it will become a part of 1.4, which we discussed earlier, the BVA remember recommendation. Is that acceptable? And again, the wording will be provided to you members in the draft fiscal '16 report for your comment.

MR. KLINGELHOFER: This is Victor Klingelhofer. So, you're proposing that 1.4 be amended again to read conduct an analysis of the benefits? I'm trying to remember exactly where we were. Benefits and drawbacks relating to possible creation of a development program for economically disadvantaged SDVO SBCs modeled on the SBA's 8(a) Program and identify --

MR. HEILMAN: So, in terms of precise language, there was a concept, not precise language yet, but that we could include in that analysis trying to determine what a baseline ought to be for 3.1, so that 3.1 could become measurable. And, you know, and then perhaps close that goal until we've got a baseline, if we think

come up with a methodology for a baseline, which the Kidalov study that this body had received sort of was, you know, working towards, and we needed to continue on to that.

So, I think it relates, and we could just add that as part of a 1.4.

MR. WESLEY: I agree, just combine the two together and come up with an outcome.

MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: This is Maggie. I think, correct me if I'm wrong, Kenyata, but maybe Andre Gudger, maybe when you came in that role, I think there was a survey done by DoD about barriers for SDVOSBs. Is that any kind of research that you could share with this team?

MR. WESLEY: There was --

MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: I remember participating in one, but I don't remember -- I don't know what happened to it.

MR. WESLEY: I have to go back and look. I know we've had a series of studies that were done and then there is one that's still pending, and it's one study, but it has seven parts to it, and that's a Hill study.

So, if it's in that one, it's still ongoing. So, I can't release any of it until it's

actually complete. But I'll go back, I'll have Shannon

it doesn't make sense to have something that we can't
 report out on.
 MS. CARSON: What was the wording on that or

MS. CARSON: What was the wording on that one?
MR. KLINGELHOFER: I think we have to identify
the barriers and come up with recommendations on how we
overcome those barriers is probably the easiest way to
handle that.

MR. WESLEY: I think we're all saying the same thing, just a little differently.

MR. KLINGELHOFER: Yes.

MR. WESLEY: We have to come up with a baseline, and to do that, you have to identify the barriers and so forth. So, I think we're all saying the same thing, we're talking around ourselves.

I think Wynn has a question. You have to come to the table, Wynn.

MR. WYNN: Thanks. Just a quick question. I don't understand how 3.1 ties into 1.4. 3.1, find and reduce barriers, versus supporting or looking at 1.4, we're talking about support for a program. So, I don't see how you can define --

MR. WESLEY: They go hand in hand, Wynn, because think about it, in order to actually have a good development program, you need to know what's affecting you. You need to know what barriers exist. Because if

you don't, what you're doing is you're just going to create a development program that has generalities in it, but doesn't address any specificity at all on what people need or what the veteran community needs.

So, going out and identifying the barriers and helping shape the curriculum that would be needed is important.

MR. WYNN: It's important, but I still don't see why we would combine the two.

MR. HEILMAN: Let's go the other direction, perhaps. Perhaps instead of combining 3.1 into 1.4, we reference 1.4 in 3.1. So, in that way, we could say that in order to do a better job at this, that we reference the analysis to be completed in 1.4 to give us a baseline in 3.1. Did you follow that? Is that clarified?

MR. WYNN: If anything, I would combine 3.1 and 3.2, you haven't got to that yet, but when you look at it, if you're going to look at the barriers, you could also look at the regulatory burdens, combine those two.

MS. CARSON: Okay, thanks for the feedback. We're going to keep them separate, but we will refer to 1.4 within recommendation 3.1, which is currently find and reduce barriers that impede service-disabled veteran-owned small businesses from contracting with the

development, using prototypes to demonstrate capabilities, greater use of oral proposals, some of our legislative work, which we're hoping Congress will act on to create a new innovation setaside for new and emerging small businesses to get their feet wet in the Federal marketplace, that having some greater focus on these activities and making sure that our acquisition advocates, innovation advocates and our small business advocates are working together.

I think we have already seen a lot of wins in uses of these flexibilities in making awards to small businesses and thought it might be good to have a greater focus on this, too, to make sure that, you know, we're highlighting these.

MS. CARSON: Thank you for that proposal. A quick comment on this. We only recommended closure or pivot because this is a requirement of agencies anyway, and we -- there were still elements that we could bring forward in the same vein, but were different. So, I don't want anyone to think that agencies will no longer have an eye to regulatory burden specifically. We do have to report out.

MR. BLUM: My sense has been, you know, over the years, that through the good conversations we've had at the task force, that most of the regulatory issues get

U.S. Government. Thank you.

Moving to 3.2, it's going to be pivoted. It was reduce regulatory burdens by offering comment to agencies as they conduct their regulatory lookback analysis under Executive Order 13563, which is improving Federal regulation and regulatory review. Recommended language that came forward was promote the use of innovative practices that reduce the burden for veteran-owned and service-disabled veteran-owned small businesses and increase access to new technologies produced by these entities.

This is a proposal recommended by the Office of Management and Budget. Mathew Blum?

MR. BLUM: And I think, to refresh folks' memories, at the last meeting, we were talking about a number of the initiatives that our office is undertaking to promote more innovative practices that are streamlined and simplified and if you will reduce the tyranny of complexity and geared in many ways so far to be helpful to companies that are providing digital services, products and services to help fight cyber, and many of these companies are small businesses, and we have found in some of their efforts to, for example, in breaking work into more manageable pieces, whether you call that unbundling or agile in terms of software

addressed through some of the other recommendations, since we have so many that are specifically focused on contracting.

I don't have any objection if we wanted to, you know, combine this, you know, and make this a focus or a sub-focus of another recommendation if there was a desire to do so, but on the other hand, I think innovation, you know, is kind of an important pillar of an acquisition and other activity and thought it might be good to try to find a way to elevate it in the work of the task force.

MS. CARSON: Again, we may tie, if you -- if I have your concurrence, that this belongs with how we do outreach, that people may have these tools available to them and not know it.

MR. BLUM: Yes.

MS. CARSON: And, so, we may make a linkage in these recommendations.

MR. BLUM: Great.

MS. CARSON: Okay, we're moving on to one that is going to stay as it is written, it continues, coordinate and centralize information on veteran and service-disabled veteran-owned small business contracting opportunity across the Federal Government. Any comment?

directly to the prime -- directly to smalls as the

89 91 1 (No response.) 1 prime, but what I'm hearing is this needs to be pivoted 2 2 MS. CARSON: Okay, moving on to another that to say targeting into subcontracting opportunity with 3 3 stays as written, produce an agency-led assessment of the large primes, it's written wrong, from what I'm 4 veteran-owned small business achievements and 4 hearing. 5 5 contracting goals to increase veteran-owned small And even then, still, we need to know what 6 business utilization in prime contracting. Any comment? 6 the -- what we're doing. Is it just to try to put 7 MR. WESLEY: Yes. What is it? Because I see 7 together initiatives in order to meet -- help primes 8 DoD is the lead, but I have no idea what this is. Can 8 meet the goal? Is that what we're going after, set some 9 anybody help me? 9 initiatives? Okay, I can set up for that. That's fine. 10 10 MS. CARSON: It's a challenging one. It's been MS. CARSON: Thank you for signing up for that, 11 interpreted a couple of different ways in reports. I am 11 sir, and would you also be willing to propose some 12 going to look to my colleague, Amy Garcia, if you have a 12 language that you think encapsulates that, either in the 13 specific point, as she's done a lot of research and work 13 fiscal '16 report or --14 in the form of report, but it can be elements of the 14 MR. WESLEY: Okay. 15 15 scorecard, for example. MS. CARSON: Thank you. 16 MR. WESLEY: Well, it just concerns me because 16 MR. WESLEY: But the key to this is, and I --17 it says produce an assessment, so that typically means I 17 there's one caveat to this, since we're talking 18 have a task here to give you something, but I have no 18 subcontracting. That data goes into ESRS directly from 19 19 idea what I'm giving you. the primes, and there is no way to validate that data. 20 MS. CARSON: Amy is going to join us for a 20 We've been venting and complaining about that for 15 21 moment. 21 years. 22 22 MS. GARCIA: Amy Garcia with SBA. Just And, so, I know that GSA, and I think my 23 remember, when these original recommendations were put 23 counterpart over at GSA has hung up, but if he is 24 24 together, it was 2010, Small Business Jobs Act was still still -- or dialed back in, he could chime in, but I 25 coming on board, I believe. So, think of all the things 25 know GSA is trying to develop a new subcontracting 90 92 1 1 that have been done between now and then. reporting tool, but I don't know if verification and all 2 Specifically, I don't have my notes in front of 2 those things are a part of it. 3 me, but I know we have a list of accomplishments that 3 So, the data -- the metrics that we do are only we've made toward this goal, certainly. Mathew is 4 as good as the data that's in the system, and we don't 4 5 5 looking at some papers here. control it. So, just FYI. 6 MR. BLUM: I have to grab the report, if that's 6 MS. GARCIA: Thank you. And also noticing that 7 7 helpful. GSA and DoD are working together on this or have been 8 MS. GARCIA: Thank you. 8 assigned to, the reporting element I think is really 9 9 MR. WESLEY: If the outcome was to increase the kind of the focus as well. I know in our office we've 10 10 opportunities of service-disabled vets, as well as talked a little bit more about digging in deeper to some 11 targeting meeting our goal, we've done that the last two 11 GSA FPDS data specifically for veterans. So, maybe -- I don't want to speak, obviously, 12 years and are about to do it a third year. So, I'm just 12 13 trying to figure out what is the outcome. What are we 13 for GSA, but an idea, for example, would be to have some 14 14 standard reporting from FPDS on veterans data that's asking for? 15 MR. WYNN: Again, Joe Wynn. Just a little bit 15 coming out of there. That type of thing as well. 16 of the history on this. Actually, this derived from the 16 MR. WESLEY: So, you have standard data -- you 17 fact that you had a 3 percent mandatory minimum for 17 have standard metrics and reports in FPDS-NG for the 18 Federal agencies, and it would also apply to prime 18 prime numbers. So, I can get veterans numbers, and I 19 contracting. Often times, the primes, there was no --19 can get service-disabled vet numbers. I can even tell 20 no teeth in it to enforce the primes to meet up to the 3 20 if those service-disabled vets or veterans are 21 percent. So, this is why it was focused on primes. 21 minority-owned companies. I can do that. 22. MR. WESLEY: Okay, so that's a different 22 But when we get to subcontracting reporting, 23 23 discussion. So, because this says utilization in prime that's different. That goes in ESRS, and that's where 24 contracting, so that means when the Government contracts 24 the reporting challenges lie. So, the way I'm going to 25

word this for now, it can evolve as we go forward, is

that we're going to produce a -- we're going to create some initiatives to improve subcontract -- the service-disabled vet subcontracting goal with our prime contractors. All right?

And then that's how we'll start it, and then as we kind of figure out what the baseline is, where we are today, we'll come back, report where we are, with some suggested initiatives to you all, in a brief, and then you can say, we're on target of what you're desiring, or we need to tweak it or pivot, as you like to call it. Is that satisfactory?

MR. KLINGELHOFER: Well, isn't one of the real problems here verification? I mean, how are you going to verify -- you know, one of the problems that we've seen over the years is that, you know, prime contractors come up with great subcontracting plans and goals and all of that, and no one enforces them. And, you know, or virtually no one enforces those goals.

MR. WESLEY: Yeah, we can actually talk through enforcement and what we have done this year about that, because we actually are doing enforcement now. Now, the question is, obviously, DoD writes tens of thousands of contracts per year and we don't have the staffing to audit all of them, but we have now stood up a section within DCMA, and each of the small business

provision that was in the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010. You would be surprised to find out that since that act was in -- or since the law was enacted, that we have had very few actual complaints on that. People have screamed about it, but now that we actually have a law that we can use to implement, they're not using it, or the prime straightened up and flew right.

I don't know, but either way to go, we've only had five complaints in the last three years that I'm aware of, that someone has actually come in and filed a review for.

MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: This is Maggie. So, I guess I like the idea of keeping this goal focused on prime contracting, and maybe what Kenyata was referencing earlier with the MRCOE program or whatever, is one way that his agency at least is assessing some of the achievements and successes. Maybe that would count for this. In your report?

MR. WESLEY: The MRCOE tool gets into how we picked -- how we made a procurement decision or an acquisition strategy decision, and it helps us -- it helps us help our contracting officers in making better decisions to make a prime award, and also to help set better subcontracting goals, so it would actually help a little bit with this, but not to the level of detail

professionals within an organization has to do so many compliance reviews per year, which is what we call surveillance.

And, so, we're -- and then we can take them out of cycle. So, meaning they may not have been on a schedule or plan to be audited that year, but if I get wind that something may be awry, or even the prime, and this has happened, when the prime has said, can you come in and survey me to make sure that I'm actually doing what I'm supposed to do, because I'm not even sure what I'm supposed to do.

So, because what you will be surprised to hear is that most of them actually want to do the right thing, they just don't even know what the right thing is, because no one has ever went through and looked at them before. So, we have actually started doing that, and so as a part of our initiatives brief, we could talk through that as well.

MR. KLINGELHOFER: Yeah, I know that one of the complaints I hear from small business contractors are that they're included in the proposal by name, by everything else; once a proposal is awarded, or a contract is awarded, suddenly they're out. And I would be very excited to hear DoD's report.

MR. WESLEY: Yeah, that's the bait and switch

that I think the task force is asking me to go down.

Like, for example, if one of the questions that the task force has is how do we set our goals for subcontracting, well the MRCOE tool helps with that, because we -- with the market research, if we know that small businesses exist, but they only do segments or fractions of the work, and it's underneath a larger contract, if we couldn't break it out, because actually the intent is to use MRCOE to break the work out to go after primes, but if you have so many smalls that do work that they don't want to be the prime contractor, so it stays lumped in as a sub, so that will help us establish realistic and achievable subcontracting goals that these primes can no longer say, well, we don't have smalls to do this. Well, now the MRCOE tool shows us who they are, what they are, and then we're able to go out and point those primes in that direction.

So, as long as they can go out and strike a business deal, they can actually do some help.

MS. CARSON: Thank you for the comments. I think we've got a decent path forward that we can continue the conversation on. I'm still in reducing barriers to growth and improve in coordination. We have about 40 minutes left and a couple of pages to get through and I want to make sure that the members who are

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

97 99 1 here have a chance to report on topics beyond this, if 1 MS. CARSON: Yes. 2 we can at all possible. 2 MR. WESLEY: So, this is one where I'm going to 3 3 The next we'll keep, increased collaboration on ask that DoD not be on this one, all right? 4 4 Federal contracting opportunities between national MS. CARSON: Concur. 5 5 veterans advocacy groups and SBA's Office of Veterans MR. WESLEY: All right? Because this is more --6 Business Development. In 3.6, a recommendation then 6 this is actually the VA swim lane. I don't actually 7 from Vietnam Veterans of America is, it's a closed 7 have any control over veterans data. 8 pivot, I know Mr. Wesley likes that word, so I am going 8 MS. CARSON: Agree. 9 to keep using it. It did say in the past develop a 9 MR. WESLEY: And I have actually said that 10 10 several times. Not for you, but in the Hill, to the streamlined, efficient and clear certification process to be used by veterans and service-disabled veteran-11 11 Hill, because they came back to us and asked us about 12 owned businesses in Federal procurement. 12 this several times, and I -- my boss, as well as me, 13 The pivot is to seek legislation to establish a 13 we've been very honest about this, I don't have a swim 14 14 single Government-wide program to certify SDVOSB status. lane for this one. 15 This goes to our earlier conversation, I'll turn it to 15 MS. CARSON: At the point where this 16 vou. sir. 16 recommendation is going with our stated boundaries of 17 MR. KLINGELHOFER: Yes, I recognize that we 17 investigating and conducting analysis, I concur that DoD 18 18 will be dropped from this effort as we're going to write cannot seek legislation, so instead I would recommend 19 that this be modified to read, conduct an analysis of 19 it. Do you agree, VVA Representative Klingelhofer? 20 the benefits and drawbacks relating to having a single 20 MR. KLINGELHOFER: I'm trying to think whether 21 government-wide program to certify SDVO status and 21 there is anything that -- it seems to me, though, that 22 recommendations on how such could be established. 22 there disability status questions that are answered for 23 MS. CARSON: If the assessment led one to the 23 disability retired -- medically retired service people 24 conclusion that that should occur. 24 that the DoD has within its records, specifically. Are 25 MR. KLINGELHOFER: Yes. Yes. 25 those completely open to the SBA or the VA network? 100 98 1 1 MS. CARSON: Would you include -- because I know MR. WESLEY: Anyone who is a certified 2 this has also come up, are you also asking for a 2 veteran-owned company, that goes all to the VA. We 3 3 comparison of any elements of the self-certify compared don't have anything to do with that. And anyone who is 4 an employee, meaning that that's not -- they're not 4 to the --5 5 MR. KLINGELHOFER: I think that's certainly part under this act, that would really be separate and that 6 of it. I mean, because, yeah, if you establish a 6 would go through OMB and OPM, not through us. 7 7 Government-wide certification program, then the MR. KLINGELHOFER: No, I didn't mean veteran 8 self-certification would be out. So, I think 8 company, I meant with regard to the base for being a 9 9 necessarily, that is part of -- already part of this. service-disabled veteran-owned business. 10 10 MS. CARSON: To work towards what we had MR. WESLEY: Yeah, that's not established by us. 11 discussed, which is getting specific, measurable and a 11 MR. KLINGELHOFER: Is that you have to be a 12 time frame for doing it, may I ask for further VVA 12 service-disabled veteran who was disabled in the line of 13 comment that we could include in the fiscal '16 report 13 duty, et cetera, et cetera. on what elements we should include? It doesn't have to 14 14 MR. WESLEY: Correct, but that's determined by 15 the VA. The VA makes that assessment. So, for example, 15 be now, I'm asking for when you receive your draft of 16 the report, can we --16 once a medical board -- and I know this because I am 17 MR. KLINGELHOFER: Yes, I'll revise this to 17 one, so I can speak of it. The process is once you go 18 include dates and try to break it down a little further. 18 through your medical review and you are either medically 19 MS. CARSON: And elements that you would want to 19 discharged, or medically retired, your records are 20 20 see in the analysis. transferred over to the VA, they do an assessment and 21 MR. KLINGELHOFER: Yes. 21 they will rule you a service-disabled vet by a letter 22. MS. CARSON: Okay. Thank you. Any comments? 22 that you receive. 23 23 MR. WESLEY: We are just talking 3.6 right now? It's actually nothing that the department does, 24 That's what we're on, 3.6? 24 even your DD-214, even though it may say medical issues, 25 25 MR. KLINGELHOFER: Yes. it does not automatically qualify you for VA

compensation, it has to still be reviewed, a medical exam conducted by the VA, so that is a VA determination. Wynn?

MR. WYNN: Just one comment. This really is -- came about because of the CBE, you know, verification program at the VA. The reason why DoD, your predecessor previously had sounded like they supported some discussion on this in looking into a Government-wide program is because even though there has been issues over the years with people not feeling like that CBE verification program is a benefit to all veterans, when we look at the stats of the program, the Vets First Program, we have to admit, they have surpassed every percentage above every agency in the Federal Government.

So, the idea here is this task force support looking at an agency -- a Federal Government-wide program that would increase opportunities for service-disabled vets across the Federal Government. That's the only thing this is really looking at.

MR. WESLEY: But that's not what it says. This is talking about a Government-wide approval process, all right? Certification process.

MR. WYNN: Well, you've got to be certified by somebody.

MR. WESLEY: Right, but the certification

of the Interagency Task Force and will have a voice and obligation to contribute some input after we have done the analysis. Okay, thank you.

We have a new one proposed by the Office of Management and Budget, and it is help veteran-owned and service-disabled veteran-owned small business understand and meet existing and emerging requirements for cyber security protections in Federal acquisitions.

MR. BLUM: Thank you, Barb.

At the last task force meeting, Deputy Administrator Kramer expressed an interest in having some relatively narrow tailored recommendations to address some specific emerging issues, and we talked a little about cyber, and this is the thought here is actually relatively soft, but at least as a -- as one step, you know, recognizing that the -- you know, the acquisition spaces is complicated by these emerging requirements for cyber security protections, and they're going to be more requirements on all of the acquisition community, not -- this isn't just for small business, but the -- some of you may be aware that there's been a fair amount of work on the controlled unclassified information that has been led by NARA in accordance with executive -- an executive order and is designed to try to make sure that when agencies have similar types of

process should be -- and actually, so, in writing, we have turned this in as public record, and I've been down there on public record several times. We support the certification process, it just needs to be with the VA and we have said openly the VA needs to be funded and staffed before they -- the Government does that. Because what you don't want to have is a backlog of veterans' applications because we go -- it says DoD, Department of Treasury, Department of Labor, no one can award a service-disabled vet contract before these guys are certified and then there's a backlog to get them certified. That's a problem.

MS. CARSON: Can I take a moment? It's Barb from SBA.

Your points are valid, but again, we are not able to do this as the proposal is written to propose legislation. I believe it's reasonable, and not -- it's the right amount of ambition to investigate and compare what, to your point, how has it been successful and what was it, because there was certification that they've been successful, compared to then the rest of Government, which is self-certification. And what would the elements be going forward?

So, I propose that we stick to the investigation that DoD does not have a part in that, they are a member

information, PII, personally identifiable information, that the level of security as a general matter is being handled in a similar manner, unless there's some specific reasons for doing otherwise.

So, this rulemaking will have ramifications in the way that agencies instruct contractors to a certain extent, so that's going to require some regulatory change by the FAR counsel. OMB will soon be issuing a guidance document that's expected to address a variety of issues in a contract clause that the FAR will develop on information security controls, building off of work that NIST has done, incident reporting, the timing that, you know, the responsibilities on contractors to identify incidents, and to recognize, by the way, that the fact that you're reporting a breach has occurred doesn't mean that you are acknowledging culpability, something important for contractors, because first and foremost, the Government needs to know of problems. And information security continuous monitoring requirement.

And then, thirdly, in the privacy space, there are also some additional steps that our office of -- our privacy office, and now that we have the counsel of privacy officers, are taking to seek further clarification on some of those responsibilities.

So, given all of that, we thought it would be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

105

107

108

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

4

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

helpful to make sure, and this task force, I think, has been very helpful in making sure that the appropriate agencies are paying attention to small business impact, and in this instance, at least as one step, making sure that, you know, things as simple as a fact sheet that would -- that could be easily digested by the small business community and kind of deconflicting some of this information as it gets finalized.

We can build on this recommendation as we move forward, but it wasn't immediately apparent to me in terms of, you know, I think it's premature to talk about what exceptions and whatnot. I don't know if, you know, how that plays, but, you know, clearly as a first step, just making sure they understand that responsibilities would be helpful.

MR. WESLEY: Hey, Mathew, we at DoD can sign up to help you. We actually already completed this task under the leadership of Dr. Galvin, who normally participates in this task force. He already put a pamphlet together, has some quick points of reference, it even talks about reporting, just everything you just discussed, we've already completed it and got a series of handouts, and there's actually one on the DoD small business website currently.

So, feel free to take that, use it, if you want

MR. WESLEY: He's been voluntold.

MS. CARSON: Yes, voluntold. That's right.

We are moving to our final bucket of recommendations, and that's in the research and policy realm. We have four of them, two are Department of Labor, so I know we will -- we will make it through these a little faster. As I said, it's important that the members get a chance to speak. So, we will get through them.

The American Legion's representative has taken a new position, so he is no longer here, but before he did leave or vacate his position, he provided input to 4.1, and that one is sustained, that's their proposal, to best the GI Bill benefit as a property right, allowing veterans to use funds both for education and small business creation activities, such as counseling and business financing.

They are not here to provide further context. I do have those in writing. We will provide them in the fiscal '16 report. Are there any comments?

MR. WESLEY: That's another one that has DoD listed. If you want us to play a part of it, somebody needs to just tell us what role, but I don't see a role for us there either.

MS. CARSON: I agree, you did not manage the GI

106

to tab in or ask us any questions. Ours are specific to

DoD as well as normal, because we have some DFAR clauses

3 that, of course, affects how you do business with

Department of Defense, but we are willing to share that

5 with any of the Federal agencies that would like it. 6

And for any of the veterans groups, it's right on our

website, if you have any companies that are doing

business with the department, I suggest they get it,

because it is extremely important that they understand

10 how to protect their data and their information.

> It's not just protecting the department that matters, because you are a part of our industrial base and supply chain. You may be a sub to a large prime, but the -- and what I will say to you is, it's not just the primes that people are going after, they're going after our supply chain, because if it goes -- if you go into one, it's a way in, an avenue into something else.

So, just keep that in mind. So, I actually strongly concur with Mathew's recommendation, and we will give any of the information we have to the group.

MS. CARSON: Thank you. Thank you. We will have perhaps a minor edit to provide veteran business owners rather than help, and may I ask if we can put

Dr. Galvin on the spot to provide an update as a baseline in December, and then we can iterate from that? 1 Bill benefit, you create the veteran. So, we will 2 remove DoD from 4.1 and sustain it.

> MR. WYNN: I had just a quick comment. Just keep in mind, again, this is one of those type of recommendations that to change this definitely would take an act of Congress, just like when we were talking about 1.4. So, I'm just saying that to say that this body can make recommendations with regard to support of programs that would help the veteran business community, it doesn't mean that we are saying we're going to change, you know, the legislation, but even though it would have to be. And there has been, as you know, you've had someone here talking about legislation to actually make this happen.

MR. HEILMAN: So, I think that's an excellent point to clarify, Joe. It's Craig at SBA, and it goes back to the conversation we had earlier today around we can't propose, but if there's something out there that would need our insight in terms of an interagency place to discuss to then respond to legislation that it could be appropriate for us to then keep that on our agenda.

And, so, in this case, there is proposed legislation, and thus there has been a lot of activity around it, and requests for comment, and feedback. And, so, I think that's a little bit of -- puts it in a

little bit of a different place than what we were talking about earlier.

22.

MS. BAINTON: Amanda from MOAA. Just a question for the group, and this is just because I feel like the language is very vague here, is there a need or do you think we should add something that specifies that there should be some requirements met prior to awarding or transferring these GI Bill benefits? Should we be successful with that?

MS. CARSON: Yes. This feels good. I know how Mr. Wesley feels when you feel like you've done the work already to provide some clarity on that. So, our office with great presidential management fellows and others on the team have come up with some criteria for how this might look if it were enacted, and we would be happy to present on that at any time.

All right, we're going to move to two others that Department of Labor will comment on, and these were recommended to change slightly. First was research and direct policy effort around current veteran macroeconomic issues by conducting more in-depth analysis of veteran unemployment, employment and self employment. The change requested by DoL would be explore the availability of data from BLS, Bureau of Labor Statistics, that may address the data gaps, and

although it says DoD, it's not necessarily your office, it's through the Transition Assistance Program where we're looking at economic opportunity more broadly.

MR. WESLEY: Okay. I think it just needs to -- I think we just need to kind of clarify some of that. You know, if it's an office underneath the department, so that way since I'm the rep here, if I need to go back and let them know they've been tasked, because hopefully somebody is talking to them, because, you know, in all due respect, it's a very large organization, and I'm finding out that people will come back and the messages don't always get relayed.

So, typically, Shannon or Lydia will be with me and we make sure that those get tasked back.

MS. CARSON: I will close the loop. Thank you. Comment here in the room?

MR. ZAKRCHLCHEA: Yes, Mike Zacchea from Econ EBV and the Connecticut Veterans Chamber of Commerce.

For several years I've been working on developing a veterans economic attainment index, which is an index of indices from various data sources, both public and academic. I really think that -- and just yesterday the Census Bureau released the report, annual report on income and did not mention veterans' income attainment at all.

where BLS is not able to provide data, seek other data sources.

Go ahead, Labor.

MR. METHENY: This is Bill Metheny.

So, I think we just needed some clarity on the wording here, and so what I would propose is that within the next few weeks I set up a coordination conference call with representatives from Bureau of Labor Statistics to have a conversation about what it is that we're trying to answer, and why. And then ask the question about whether they have the data available to answer those, or if they're aware of other sources to get at that.

And then based on what we come out of that conversation with, in December, we can provide back what the questions are, what will be looked at and where we need to go further to get at this. And part of this, too, is the macroeconomic issues will be great, obviously at a broad scale, but what we find is a lot of these issues are very local and regional focused. So, that might be something that shapes our conversation as well

MS. CARSON: Thank you. And SBA would like to contribute what we have been learning about potential research opportunities with Census and DoD as well. So,

I would like to be part of the solution, or at least the -- whatever work group comes about measuring veteran macroeonomic attainment, because I find that that -- the data that's available is fragmented, and I'm interested in bringing this into a single cohesive data packet that would measure economic attainment for this population.

MS. CARSON: And Mike also serves on the Advisory Committee for Veteran Business Affairs, it would be a very nice collaboration to have between these two thoughtful committees.

Moving to the other Department of Labor recommendation, it was explore revision unemployment compensation for ex-service members to support small business creation. The proposed modification would be develop unemployment insurance outreach collateral that addresses existing support at the state level.

Go ahead.

MR. METHENY: In our last meeting, we talked about the fact that there are already a number of options and best practices being used around the country with state programs and how they implement Federal programs. So, what I would propose is that by the December meeting, I provide an information paper of some sort that lays out some of those practices and options

that we can then review and then distribute across the country to allow states to learn from each other as a community practice. Where they can make changes, who can make those changes, and where it fits into Federal process.

MS. CARSON: Thank you. Any comments in the room?

MR. WESLEY: Again, you have the DoD listed again.

MS. CARSON: Thank you. Appreciate that. And, finally, I'm going to check in again and see if Department of Veteran Affairs has a representative on the line.

(No response.)

22.

MS. CARSON: Okay, we may save this one. The existing recommendation was examine ways to further increase attention given to employment of veterans by Federal contractors. VA did have a proposed new recommendation, unless there's anyone who is comfortable briefing at this point.

MR. BLUM: Sorry. This is Mathew Blum.

I think actually the original proposal was different. It was the -- to -- I think it was the creation of a new small business procurement initiative for firms whose total work force is comprised of at know, success of that -- what that looks like, and I think we talked a little bit about maybe sharing that information to see if it makes sense, and it's something that other agencies might want to look into.

The second piece of this was that just to remind folks that the FAR counsel opened up a case in the context of past performance to start evaluating compliance, contractor compliance with various laws that are incorporated into their contracts, which would include, you know, small business requirements, including Aldevra, for example, and the affirmative responsibility that they have there to create affirmative hiring plans.

So, at this point, we didn't -- OMB didn't have the specific position other than I thought based on some of what we were informing the public through our reports, that, you know, there may be some interest in continuing the conversation and pivoting to something like this as opposed to just eliminating the recommendation all together.

MR. WESLEY: Just a question. So, you said a lot there. So, are we -- are we actually considering or has it been proposed by whether by the VA or whoever, that we alter the service-disabled vet, the procurement side of this, to include a number of employee

least 35 percent or more veterans. That was the original proposal. This was the alternative of a strawman just being floated for consideration.

And just to refresh people's memories, there was a fair amount of research done, and I think the conclusion was reached that we would need legislation in order to, you know, have any sort of requirement that would -- could exclude entities based on the fact that they didn't meet that 35 percent threshold. In other words, if you want a program that looks like the HUBZone program, you're going to need Congress to endorse that.

So, in the course of conversation, I think at the last meeting, and it's actually also in the draft -- most recent draft report to Congress, Tom and his team, Leney, from VA, discussed a criteria factor that they put in -- an unemployment criteria factor that they put into their Transformation Twenty-One Total Technology Next Generation contracts, T4NG, where it requires offerors, regardless of size status, to include a veterans employment and vet certification report identifying the total number of veterans employed with their firm, along with the total number of employees working at the firm at the time of the proposal.

And he was going to report back or give us an update on, you know, what the impact of that -- you

1 requirements, like a 35 percent requirement?

MR. BLUM: Sorry, just to be clear, my understanding, and I'm sorry that VA is not available, or not in our group, because they could speak to this more definitively. The original one would have said if you don't have 35 percent -- the original recommendation was explore creating a floor, and if you don't have at least 35 percent, then you wouldn't qualify for the procurement. What I think -- right -- and we said that would need legislation and that's a larger conversation.

MR. WESLEY: Oh, I'll tell you now, just for the record, the public record, I know it's on there, if they did that, at least while I'm in this seat, and I'm pretty sure my current boss would agree with me, we would not support that. Because now you're talking about potentially eliminating a services vet -- service-disabled vet-owned company from qualifying for a procurement. And we don't want to eliminate a small business owner that's a veteran from competing if they qualify.

MR. BLUM: So, I think what -- again, Barb got it right, that's why she is sitting at the head of the table, in terms of deferring this until VA can be on part of the conversation. But I think to avoid that specific, you know, unintended and potentially bad

consequence, is to instead make it a -- make a criteria to say how many people are you employing, so that it becomes an evaluation factor, not an elimination factor. So, it would be -- and that also may be something that --

MR. WESLEY: Yeah, just -- I got you, because I'm not shooting the messenger, so don't think that.

MR. BLUM: You can.

22.

MR. WESLEY: Even if you make it an evaluation criteria, that means we would be giving up preference, which could potentially eliminate a veteran company who might be highly technically qualified, and I, for one, would not want to have a potential mediocre successor or awardee versus a highly qualified one, if technical was more important.

So, I just want to make sure we are thinking through this, these suggestions, as we do them, because the last thing I need is to be standing out in front of whatever conference I'm at and I've got a bunch of veterans screaming like they used to, because I mean, we've come a long way, and everybody keeps forgetting how far we have come. I remember when the veteran goal first was proposed and we were at like 0.5.

MR. BLUM: Right.

MR. WESLEY: 0.5, all right, and now we're above

really quick to go around the table and get feedback, input, comments from our members. So, I'll start with Vietnam Veterans of America.

MR. KLINGELHOFER: I have nothing at this point. I already said enough.

MS. BAINTON: Amanda with MOAA.

I am not going to talk a whole lot. A lot has already been said, and it's very encouraging. Something that our organization is really focused on now, not me, because I'm not a lobbyist, but is preserving the GI Bill transferability, which directly affects what we're doing here, and specifically recommendation 4.1. So, that's something that we will continue to pursue and obviously you'll hear all the updates.

The other thing that I just want to mention, as a service organization, sometimes what we're finding is that people have a really hard time figuring out what benefits are available, and I've mentioned this many times before, you all have different initiatives and different programs. There's websites, there's tools, and I know we've had many discussions about bringing it all together, but that is something that we're focused on as an organization, is really being able to provide these resources to not only our members, but everyone who is serving.

3 percent and rocking the -- and we're continuing to improve every year. Do you want to put something forward that will now make us digress back that doesn't give you an actual true improvement to what you are working on? Because what you're working on now are procurements. If you want to now make it an actual veterans hiring initiative, that's a separate discussion that we should have, but I don't think you want to now take away from your current opportunities that you've been successful building. That's all I'm saying.

There's another way to do this that I don't think you want to take away from what you currently have been successful building as a group or as a team.

MS. CARSON: Thank you, those are good comments. It's Barb at SBA.

I would like to continue the conversation. First it will be in writing, in the fiscal '16 report, and we can get clarity on exactly what is proposed, and it will come back at the December meeting, unless there are any other comments.

(No response.)

MS. CARSON: Okay. Thank you. That closes out what we were charged to do today, and I'm grateful for the effort that was put into it. That doesn't mean we can put all the papers away. I do want to give a chance

So, that is one initiative we have. So, anything that you all have that you want to send to us that you want us to promote to our members, that would benefit not just our members, but anyone in the military community, I would gladly take it and do what I can to promote it to help the greater good. And that's all.

MS. CARSON: And I want to thank MOAA for their partnership. This is one we've strengthened our collaboration, and an example was the Air and Space Museum where you did offer transitioning service members and spouses opportunities to learn what about was available in education, employment and entrepreneurship. You've been an incredibly helpful connection of resources.

And I cringe, I try not to do it too publicly. When we try and put things in one place, not everybody goes to one place, they want to find the right thing for them wherever they may touch, which is hard. Which is why collaborative relationships are important. We're in one wheel and we've got spokes, and they do cross and we refer to each other, if we've got something valuable to offer, I should be talking about what Labor is doing for entrepreneurship, right?

And, so, that's where we see the most room for growth. And on a personal note, I want to thank the

transition event, because you helped my husband active duty find what is next for him. So, I personally found the work that you're doing incredibly valuable. Thanks for that

MS. BAINTON: And thank you, and we actually set our date for next year's, and it's going to be in October, and I invite all of you to attend. We would love to bring all the resources together in one room, along with other organizations who provide things. The whole point is we don't want to re-invent the wheel here, we want to just bring the best opportunities.

I am very happy to hear that and we are very grateful to SBA for your support with all that.

MS. CARSON: Thank you. I'll turn to Mathew Blum.

MR. BLUM: Thanks, Barb.

in providing use of -- expansion of simplified

Just very briefly. Just an update on our legislative initiatives on simplification. We are still working with the NDAA conferees and keeping our fingers crossed that they will adopt some of the proposals that we recommended, including the innovation setaside for new and emerging businesses so that new entrants can get their feet wet in the Federal space. And also potential increase in the simplified acquisition threshold to help

Workshop Handbook in an e-book, and it's on Amazon, and you can get it in a variety of formats, and it's for free. So, that way, folks can get it whenever, wherever they want it, and take it down on a submarine while they want to study.

And lastly, as we're trying to continue those relationships that Barb referred to, both at the national level, all the way down to the local level, some examples of where interagencies are partnering from roundtable discussions on a recurring basis that include state and Federal labor agencies, the small business teams that are in the states, and the Federal representatives. Those are continued on.

And VA is not here, but I will give a plug for one of the efforts they're leading that are through the MyVA community, and the community veterans engagement boards that are tying in all the different players around 100 cities and growing that pulls in the public workforce systems, and whatever shape that community wants to take a look at and focus on. So, a lot of good things happening up and down across the country.

Thank you.

MS. CARSON: Thank you. That's outstanding. And I think it would be meaningful if we could talk about in a future meeting, probably December is already

procedures and reduced compliance burdens for the many small businesses that compete and get work between that \$150,000 and \$500,000 space.

MS. CARSON: Thank you. I am going to start on this side of the table with Bill Metheny, Department of Labor. Any updates, please?

MR. METHENY: You probably all saw the great news for the August unemployment numbers, the veteran unemployment rate is 4.3 percent, maintaining a lower level than overall unemployment rate again. It continues at a 24-month trend where there was only one exception that was off by 0.1 percent. So, continued great news there.

Additionally, unemployment rate for 18 to 24-year-olds is 6.5 percent, which is significantly lower from a year ago when it was 9.2. So, good news on the unemployment numbers.

We continue to focus on the transition assistance program, and our Department of Labor Employment Workshop, the new curriculum is out on the street and being used, with focus areas on especially extra time on resume writing, interviews and consultation to help folks adjust in the workplace.

Along those lines, Amazon has offered, and we have taken them up on their offer, to put the Employment

packed, but March, the collaboration between agencies not only in D.C., but locally, I think we have some great examples of how that's happening.

Wesley? Nothing for the record, okay.

I'm going to turn to on the line, do we have anyone from GSA, Veterans Affairs, or Treasury?

(No response)

(No response.)

MS. CARSON: Okay. Then I'm going to speak like an auctioneer and just give a few quick SBA updates, because I'm not going to get all the way through, but I do want you to know that they will be a part of the public record, because I'm about to post them on your screen so you can look at them, and if you have questions, you can come back to them later.

So, Jerry, if you wouldn't mind, can you go to the one that looks like this. Thanks.

And for those, if you have to leave, I've got maybe six minutes of your time, maximum. I want you to know that Amy and I will be reaching out to members on September 26th for the first phone call on what we're doing with the fiscal 2016 report. I know it's ambitious, but we would at least like to frame it for you. Not asking for any deliverables until about Halloween, because we know it takes time to get that end-of-year data on the recommendations.

And, so, top line, National Vets Small Business week, and I will get to that side last, it's okay, is going to be kicking off on Halloween, and it will conclude on 4 November, because there is a Presidential

election happening, let's get focused on the vets fully the week prior.

There will be a social media kit and more coming to you. The theme is veteran entrepreneurs success across generations. We want to talk about the resources that were available after World War II, we've got some great exemplars of entrepreneurs then and some of the opportunities and challenges in legislation and groups, what have made veteran entrepreneurs successful.

We'll be kicking off with a policy breakfast with DoD and others on, you know, what are some of the challenges that are out there for service members as they look to entrepreneurship as the next step.

Real quick, Veteran Business Outreach Centers. We increased those by seven since we were last together. So, we're a total of 20, a map is included in the brief on where they are. We've just concluded a training event, to ensure that we have consistency across the network of resources available, especially to the transitioning service member population.

Boots to Business, the bumper sticker here is

that they have ever provided, and there are more developments to come on that.

I had hoped I would be able to announce publicly that SBA has -- is going to award four service-disabled veteran entrepreneurial development training grants. They have been recommended to the administrator and approved, but we need to finalize those awards before I can name them, but I can tell you they're incredible and we will spend more time on that topic in December.

Veteran Institute for Procurement, the next upcoming classes, and the focus, all listed there. Barbara Ashe, thanks for joining us, if anyone has questions on that. How to get procurement ready. She's the person that we truly count on for a lot of feedback.

Next slide. A first for us. SBA accelerators that are focused on -- they won awards from SBA, but they're accelerators focused on veterans. Our office put in money to ensure that we would get such people competing and winning, and we are -- have awarded to Bunker Labs in Chicago, New York University's Tandon School of Engineering in Brooklyn, and the Rosie Network in San Diego, which serves not only service members and veterans, but also military spouses.

In contracting, you've received an update on Mentor-Protege. I hope you're satisfied with that, as

over 48,000 service members and spouses have attended since January of 2013, and we're getting indications already that their business starts are happening, and it's at a decent rate, and we look forward to reporting in more detail on that.

I do want this body to know that the cooperative agreements that make it possible to deliver Boots to Business will be recompeted. The program announcement will likely go out right around the next time that we meet.

Boots to Business reboot is the same similar curriculum but provided to those who didn't have a chance to use TAP, or our veteran of a different era, and military spouses, Guard and Reserve, that is continuing as a grant relationship, closing out the co-sponsorship. We are grateful to private sector making it happen. Institute for Vet and Military Families, First Data and Marcus Foundation, but now it will be fully a grant. Women veteran entrepreneurial training, next events are in Savannah and Phoenix, November and January.

For military spouses, DoD and SBA have worked together to provide monthly webinars. We're going to continue those in the coming year. They were the most popular online source for entrepreneurship information

well as the decision on Kingdomware and its impact.

For capital, there is a change ahead, and this one is really hard to do in two minutes or less, but I'm going to try real fast. Last year, a law was enacted that allowed -- it provides for permanent fee relief to veterans for loans under \$350,000 as part of the SBA Express program. Make sure I get that contract. Yes.

That will continue by statute, you know, unless SBA does not remain subsidy neutral. We can have a different discussion on what that means, but generally one sentence would be the fees that people pay up front in order to have that extra backing of the Government that we're going to cover them if they default, those fees are what keep us at neutral subsidy. If too many people default, that uses up the money.

So, the provision in the legislation said we had to remain subsidy neutral in order to have that provision continue. In order to get that to continue in fiscal '17, we had to reduce the fee relief that we were providing on loans to veterans. So, it had been up to \$5 million, it's now up to \$500,000. This was -- it's the administrator's prerogative for anything above \$350,000, so we still have something above and beyond what the law provides for and that is because the administrator really values what veteran entrepreneurs

	129		131
1	can bring to the American economy.	1	Development is on December 8, also here.
2	So, fee relief does continue from \$350,000 to	2	With that, we're complete. Thank you very much.
3	\$500,000, and more details are on the slide there. If	3	(Whereupon, at 12:10 p.m., the conference was
4	there's more to talk about, I will do that in December.	4	adjourned.)
5	Next slide. This is work we've already	5	adjourned.)
6	completed. So, I want to thank you for a really	6	
7	meaningful convention today, and for the input of those	7	
8	that are in the room and who joined us on the phone.	8	
9	Any questions, final comments?	9	
10	* *	10	
10	MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Barb, this is Maggie. Just a final comment, I know Tom wasn't able to	11	
12	· ·		
	make it from the VA, but the VA conference is coming up	12	
13	in November, and it's a wonderful opportunity to achieve	13	
14	many of the goals this task force is working on. So,	14	
15	encourage attendance and participation.	15	
16	MS. CARSON: Thanks for the reminder. That's	16	
17	November 1 to 3 in Minneapolis, Minnesota. The National	17	
18	Veterans Small Business Engagement, hosted by the	18	
19	Department of Veteran Affairs.	19	
20	One more comment from Mr. Phipps.	20	
21	MR. PHIPPS: Quick comment. The ACVBA, the	21	
22	committee that we sit on, is an independent body that	22	
23	makes policy recommendations and we can make legislation	23	
24	recommendations to Congress, the White House and to the	24	
25	SBA. We're an independent body. We submit those	25	
	130		132
1	recommendations directly to Congress, the White House	1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	and the SBA without any influence from many agencies.	2	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	As I've noticed today, a lot of these	3	
4	recommendations have been changed because you guys have	4	I, Jen Metcalf-Razzino, do hereby certify that
5	said you cannot make legislative changes. You could	5	The foregoing proceedings were recorded by me and
6	make suggestions to our committee to make legislative		Reduced to typewriting under the supervision of For The
7	changes. So, anything I would just like to open the	6 7	Record, Inc.; that I am neither counsel for, related
8	floor up for you guys, or open that dialogue up, that if	8	To, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in
9	you do have recommendations that you think could be	9	Which these proceedings were transcribed; and further,
10	legislative changes, we would be more than happy to take	10	That I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or
11	those on as we have a lot of commonality in what we're	11	Counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially
12	doing in terms of the veteran business space.	12	Or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.
13	Our report is due in December, and there's I'd	13	of otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.
14	say a number of topics that you guys are addressing that	14	
15	we are going to be making legislative recommendations	15	
16	for. So, I just wanted to put that out to your	16	
17	committee.	17	
18	MS. CARSON: Thank you for that. It's Barb.	18	JEN METCALF-RAZZINO, CER
19	I would make one clarification, the members who	19	JEN METCALI-KAZZINO, CER
20	could most efficiently do that would be our military	20	
21	organizations, VSOs. Thank you for that.	21	
22	The next meeting of the Advisory Committee on	22	
23	Veteran Business Affairs is December 7, here in SBA	23	
24	headquarters, and the next meeting of this body, the	23	
25	Interagency Task Force for Veteran Small Business	25	

A
A.M 1:13
abbreviated 62:10
ability 55:21
AbilityOne 64:8,10
able 5:25 7:2 20:24
20:25 28:21 47:21
57:20 78:25 80:3
96:16 102:16
110:1 119:23
127:3 129:11
Absolutely 55:22
academic 111:22 accelerators 127:15
127:17
accept 21:12 30:17
36:21 44:25 76:22
78:18
acceptable 21:9
25:19 83:10
accepting 44:20
access 10:14 12:7,8
13:17 76:11 80:16
86:10
accomplishments
90:3
accountability 25:4
accountable 43:15
accuracy 17:9 accurate 16:9
achievable 10:4
96:13
achieve 5:17 10:7
129:13
achievement 14:18
achievements 89:4
95:17
achieving 10:4
acknowledging
104:16
acquisition 14:14
62:1,11,14 65:4
71:21 87:7 88:9
95:21 103:17,19 121:24
acquisitions 103:8
act 50:5 57:13 58:10
59:17 60:4,10
27.17 00.1,10

61:18 65:8 68:9,13
70:15,19 71:2,12
72:11 73:24 87:3
89:24 95:1,3 100:5
108:6
action 26:10 29:14
30:16 32:5 69:23
132:8,12
actions 43:16
active 18:15 23:13
66:17 121:1
activities 11:2 13:5
87:7 107:16
activity 21:9 88:9
108:23
actual 95:4 118:4,6
ACVBA 129:21
add 25:17 55:9
76:25 77:18 78:19
81:17 82:5 109:6
addition 5:9
additional 8:9 35:16
35:23 40:23 104:21
Additionally 122:14
address 43:23 44:8
45:22 56:9 85:3
103:13 104:9
109:25
addressed 9:23 10:2
58:2 71:17 76:15
88:1
addresses 112:17
addressing 130:14
adjourned 131:4
adjust 44:25 122:23
administration 1:4
9:4 12:14 26:11
32:21 34:12 43:23
69:21
Administration's
6:5 56:8
administrator 4:19
6:6,10,18,21 7:14
7:16 9:5 34:9
103:11 127:6
128:25

administrator's

128:22
admit 101:13
admittedly 23:9
adopt 121:20
advance 24:15
advertised 38:17
advisory 15:7 34:23
39:16 42:19 70:11
77:9 112:9 130:22
advocacy 97:5
advocates 87:8,8,9
Affairs 3:25 34:23
39:17 57:10,15
61:19 112:9
113:12 124:6
129:19 130:23
affect 5:25
affiliation 52:25
affirmative 115:11
115:13
Afghanistan 20:15
afraid 20:4 39:18
agencies 10:11,25
14:15,15,20,21
15:16 17:1,12,18
17:19 19:21 21:11
25:13 28:7 35:18
45:17 69:11 78:12
78:15 79:9 86:4
87:17,20 90:18
103:25 104:6
105:3 106:5 115:4
123:11 124:1
130:2
agency 12:18 13:23
16:24 29:22 46:9
48:19 51:8 57:18
57:22 60:10,21,24
95:16 101:14,16
agency-led 89:3
agency-wide 67:17
Agency/Veteran 2:9
agenda 36:18
108:21
aggregate 49:20
agile 86:25
ago 35:9 68:8,11
69:21 122:16
09.41 144.10

```
agree 21:22,24
 24:17 25:12 30:24
 43:6 78:8 82:7
 99:8,19 107:25
 116:14
agreed 21:14 68:23
agreement 20:14
 45:7 47:20 48:7
 50:5,12,15,17
 52:20 61:11
agreements 20:11
 20:12 46:14 55:20
 126:7
ahead 4:4,16 34:21
 44:3 54:8 72:1
 73:9 75:23 110:3
 112:18 128:2
Air 120:9
AJCs 77:4
Aldevra 4:6 65:20
 72:2 115:11
alert 62:4
align 14:15
All-in 4:20
all-small 46:24
 47:18 50:13 51:3
allies 15:6
Allison 4:20
allow 28:6 47:11
 48:13 49:22 113:2
allowed 47:15 128:5
allowing 50:5
 107:14
allows 3:7 11:20
 12:10,11 41:11
 51:20,22 52:17
 54:15 61:18 76:11
alter 115:24
alternative 114:2
Amanda 2:20 3:11
 109:3 119:6
Amazon 122:24
 123:1
ambition 102:18
ambitious 75:6
 124:22
amended 83:14
amendment 58:25
```

```
America 2:18,20
 3:10,12 4:10,22
 15:14 26:19 97:7
 119:3
American 6:1
 107:10 129:1
amount 48:9 102:18
 103:22 114:5
amplified 41:25
Amy 89:12,20,22
 124:19
analysis 24:10 33:9
 34:17 81:23 83:15
 83:22 85:14 86:5
 97:19 98:20 99:17
 103:3 109:22
analytics 11:14
and/or 18:15 19:11
Andre 82:10
announce 127:3
announcement
 126:8
annual 111:23
annually 48:5
answer 35:5 83:2
 110:10,12
answered 99:22
anybody 89:9
anyway 7:5,10 8:25
 87:17
apologies 4:15
apologize 6:10 26:1
apparent 105:10
appeals 58:4,5
appear 70:5
applicants 5:24
application 44:24
applications 44:20
 45:1 102:8
applied 65:9 67:24
 74:13
applies 51:4 63:24
 64:6 66:11 69:7
apply 50:4,15 60:17
 63:25 64:25 65:12
 65:14 68:14 90:18
applying 64:12,15
 67:11
```

appreciate 4:1 9:4
36:17 113:10
approach 43:7
appropriate 25:2
27:12 50:7,12
105:2 108:21
approval 32:1,6
52:12 55:11
101:21
approved 46:14
50:9 127:7
approximately
26:22
area 46:20 51:19
81:6
areas 24:22 51:19
65:24 66:4 122:21
arena 5:25 29:14
argued 58:9,17,21
59:15,22 60:14,18
61:23 65:14
arguing 74:2
argument 56:13,18
58:2,23 59:13 61:2
61:4 62:2 68:1
69:9
Arlington 3:15
Army 19:1
Ashe 127:12
aside 20:10 57:23
58:11,15,19 59:8
59:24 60:10 61:19
62:13 65:8,12 69:1
asked 21:10 62:23
72:7 99:11
asking 68:6 90:14
96:1 98:2,15
124:23
assess 36:22
assessing 95:16
assessment 89:3,17
97:23 100:15,20
assigned 92:8
assistance 27:6
35:23 41:8 50:3
76:1,10 79:5 111:2
122:19
assisting 35:21
assisting JJ.21

associate 4:18	17:22 94:22,23
Association 2:19	127:19
3:12	awardee 117:14
attainment 111:20	awardee 117.14 awarding 15:18
111:25 112:3,6	109:7
attend 121:7	awards 16:4,13,16
attendance 3:24	17:6,21 21:16 22:
129:15	28:24 42:24 52:19
attended 62:17,21	66:15 87:11 127:7
126:1	127:16
attending 54:2	aware 78:20,22
56:12	95:10 103:21
attention 29:17	110:12
105:3 113:17	awareness 10:14
attorney 132:10	awkward 32:17,17
attorneys 56:24	awry 94:7
70:25,25	
auctioneer 124:9	B
audit 93:24	B 38:8
audited 94:6	back 19:2 25:17
augment 27:8	30:16 35:2 37:11
August 44:19 51:2	37:15 40:9 41:19
51:10,11 122:8	42:3 43:12 44:13
authority 30:7 31:5	67:4,5 70:8 81:22
41:11,12 42:19	82:19,25 83:1
44:14,16	91:24 93:7 99:11
authorization 28:20	108:17 110:15
automatically	111:7,11,14
100:25	114:24 118:3,19
availability 109:24	124:14
available 5:19 19:6	backing 128:12
19:11 44:23,24	backlog 102:7,11
45:15 57:20 63:3	bad 12:4 116:25
73:3 77:21 79:5	Bainton 2:20 3:11
80:19 88:14	3:11 109:3 119:6
110:11 112:4	121:5
116:3 119:18	bait 94:25
120:12 125:10,23	balance 33:21
avenue 38:19	Barb 3:13 4:24 6:8
106:17	6:19 12:22 14:10
avoid 61:19 116:24	21:4 24:14 26:5
awaiting 3:23	29:2 32:11,14
award 16:13 21:25	33:20 36:1,20
28:21 41:11 42:25	37:11,12,14 39:6
43:5 59:19,20 60:1	39:14 41:13 44:10
95:23 102:10	53:9 54:5,5 56:10
127:4	75:2 78:4 102:13 103:9 116:21
awarded 16:7,11	103.9 110.21

17:22 94:22,23
127:19
awardee 117:14
awarding 15:18
109:7
awards 16:4,13,16
17:6,21 21:16 22:1
28:24 42:24 52:19
66:15 87:11 127:7
127:16
aware 78:20,22 95:10 103:21
110:12
awareness 10:14
awkward 32:17,17
awry 94:7
B
B 38:8 back 19:2 25:17
30:16 35:2 37:11
37:15 40:9 41:19
42:3 43:12 44:13
67:4,5 70:8 81:22
82:19,25 83:1
91:24 93:7 99:11
108:17 110:15
111:7,11,14
114:24 118:3,19
124:14
backing 128:12
backlog 102:7,11
bad 12:4 116:25 Bainton 2:20 3:11
3:11 109:3 119:6

	1
118:15 121:16	
123:7 129:10	١.
130:18	b
Barb's 81:17	b
Barbara 2:5,21	
127:12	
barrier 10:3,18 barriers 10:9 29:4	
76:1 79:20,22	
80:14 82:12 84:5,6	b
84:12,19,25 85:5	B
85:19,24 96:23	
base 15:17 16:6,12	b
16:14,17,24 17:4	
17:21 21:18,20	
22:2,13,16 23:4,5	b
25:14 51:18,19,20	
100:8 106:12	
based 11:2 20:19,22	b
22:19 55:15 62:2,5	
110:14 114:8	b
115:15	b
baseline 80:9,11,12	b B
80:13 81:13,17 82:1 83:23,25	В
84:11 85:15 93:6	
106:25	
basically 17:15 27:9	b
36:7 39:2 43:3	~
46:5,17,19 47:17	
51:17,20 52:3	
basis 123:10	
beginning 29:20	В
believe 10:21 15:3	B
16:25,25 17:19	B
19:16,20 21:8	
22:13 23:3 24:3	
30:15,17 31:2 33:13 34:18 38:10	
76:5,7 78:17 89:25	
102:17	
belongs 88:13	
benchmarking	
14:12,19,22	
benchmarks 14:13	b
14:13	
beneficial 78:19	
benefit 35:13 49:10	

```
101:11 107:14
108:1 120:4
enefited 49:7,8
enefits 33:9 34:17
36:22 48:7,14
57:13 58:10 59:17
61:18 83:15,16
97:20 109:8
119:18
enefitting 45:12
ennett 4:15,15,17
4:17
est 29:11 43:11
45:20 107:14
112:21 121:11
etter 23:12 40:22
41:21 85:13 95:22
95:24
eyond 24:19 55:17
97:1 128:23
idding 66:6,7
ig 63:7
igger 72:22
3:21 3:21 32:23
79:8 107:14 108:1
109:8 110:4
119:11 122:5
it 5:3 41:22 42:5,10
67:7 77:18 90:15
92:10 95:25
108:25 109:1
115:2
Slair 54:13
LS 109:24 110:1
Slum 2:15 14:10,10
15:2 24:3,3 32:13
32:14 38:20 39:1,5
39:10 69:19,19
71:14 86:13,14
87:23 88:16,19
90:6 103:9 113:21
113:21 116:2,21
117:8,24 121:15
121:16
oard 32:18 33:13
34:1,2,4 39:13
41:25 42:20 89:25
100:16
```

boards 123:17
bodies 75:24
body 10:5,10 14:6
30:10,25 31:5,8
32:19 33:4,6 36:17
36:23 37:1 38:14
38:15 39:10,23
43:10 44:1 50:24
79:19 82:2 108:8
126:6 129:22,25
130:24
Boots 6:23 7:1 76:25
125:25 126:7,11
BOS 50:10
boss 68:22 70:9
99:12 116:14
bought 47:13
boundaries 99:16
bounds 53:7
brag 37:16
breach 104:15
break 20:13 96:8,9
98:18
breakfast 125:14
breaking 86:24
Brian 4:23
brief 93:8 94:17
125:20
briefing 113:20
briefly 7:11 121:17
briefs 70:18
bring 39:3 41:9
87:18 121:8,11
129:1
bringing 61:4 112:5
119:21
broad 110:19
broaden 14:17
broader 41:22 42:1
broadly 14:24 24:6
•
111:3
broke 29:12
Brooklyn 127:21
brought 64:1,2,11
64:20
bubbled 68:12
bucket 107:3
bucketed 43:18

Budget 3:25 15:2
86:13 103:5
build 8:4 105:9
building 34:5
104:11 118:10,13
built 13:13,18,20
34:7
Bullard 4:5
BULLARD-MAR
4:5 71:24 72:3
74:15,22 82:9,16 83:5 95:12 129:10
bullet 11:18
bumper 125:25
bunch 117:19
Bunker 127:20
burden 52:19 62:12
86:8 87:21
burdens 85:20 86:3
122:1
Bureau 109:24
110:8 111:23
business 1:4,7 3:5
3:14,18 4:8,19 5:2
5:22 6:4,20,23 7:1
7:2 9:4 10:15
11:24 14:18 15:7
15:25 18:13,18
21:11,24 23:1,4
24:4,21 26:11,15
27:5 29:9,13,15,18
29:20 33:10,17
34:19,23 35:3,8
36:3,8 39:16 41:6
43:20,23 46:15,21
49:23 52:25 54:6
54:16,24 55:7 56:8
60:4,9 65:8 67:19
68:7,9 69:12,13,16
69:18 70:10,14,19
71:2,12 72:9,13,24
73:1,6,19,20,23,25
76:25 77:10,16
79:6 80:16 81:20
81:24 87:8 88:23
89:4,6,24 93:25
94:20 95:1 96:19
97:6 100:9 103:6

103:20 105:3,7,24 106:3,8,22 107:16 107:17 108:9 112:9,15 113:24 115:10 116:19 123:11 125:1,18 125:25 126:3,8,11 129:18 130:12,23 130:25 businesses 6:14 10:18 15:19 16:12 18:22 19:2,12 21:23 22:22 25:18 26:17 28:18 41:4,5 44:13,15,16 45:2 51:4 57:15,20 58:15 59:8,25 60:7 60:8,11,25 61:20 62:21 63:9,9 72:8 72:16,20 73:3 78:23 79:23 85:25 86:10,22 87:5,12 96:6 97:12 121:22 122:2 businessUSA.gov 76:16 busy 6:15 57:7 buy 72:6,19 buyer 47:14 buying 11:2 13:5
14:16
BVA 83:9
$\frac{\mathbf{C}}{\mathbf{C}^{2}\cdot1^{2}\cdot1}$
C 2:1 3:1 calculated 19:14
21:19 24:22
calculation 18:8
24:12
call 3:5 11:10,16
25:10 86:25 93:10
94:2 110:8 124:20 Call/ 2:4
called 6:11 20:23
60:20
capabilities 87:2
capital 10:19 19:4
80:16 128:2

care 16:19 72:9
Career 4:14
careful 18:20 32:9
Carson 2:5,21 3:3
3:13,13,23 5:1 9:2
9:8 12:22 14:4
15:1,12 21:3 26:5
27:17 29:2 30:22
32:11 34:20 36:20
39:14 43:8 53:9,25
54:8 55:24 56:3,7
66:25 72:1 75:2,18
76:22 77:22 78:4
79:11,13,17 80:13
83:7 84:3 85:21
87:15 88:12,17,20
89:2,10,20 91:10
91:15 96:20 97:23
98:1,10,19,22 99:1
99:4,8,15 102:13
106:21 107:2,25
109:10 110:23
111:15 112:8
113:6,10,15
118:14,22 120:7
121:14 122:4
123:23 124:8
129:16 130:18
case 11:25 25:4
35:25 45:22 56:14
57:1,6,9,10,19,22
57:23 64:2,11,20
65:6,11,19 66:16
66:18,21 67:18
108:22 115:6
cases 47:10 55:16
56:16 58:9,24,25
60:16 64:1 66:19
catching 29:19
categories 43:18
57:19
= : : =
category 57:23
caution 18:19
caveat 91:17
CBE 53:16 101:5,10
Census 110:25
111:23
Center 4:8 11:11
2 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

29:15 centered 53:11 centers 77:14 79:6,7 125:18 centralize 88:22 **CER** 1:25 132:18 ceremony 56:22 certain 10:1 16:13 104:6 certainly 25:24 32:25 34:15 41:25 42:15 65:18 73:14 81:18 90:4 98:5 **CERTIFICATE** 132:1 certification 53:17 97:10 98:7 101:22 101:25 102:4,20 114:20 certified 100:1 101:23 102:11,12 certify 45:25 97:14 97:21 132:4 cetera 73:4,4 100:13 100:13 **chain** 106:13,16 **chair** 39:16 Chairman 71:25 challenge 19:16 37:15 challenges 30:10 92:24 125:12,16 challenging 89:10 **Chamber** 111:18 **chance** 20:13,17 36:2 97:1 107:8 118:25 126:13 change 5:25 10:3,21 22:12 24:11,20 25:25 27:7 31:4,6 38:11 41:10,15 66:10 76:20 78:7 79:2 104:8 108:5 108:11 109:19,23 128:2 **changed** 30:13 49:21 130:4 **changes** 51:17 113:3

113:4 130:5,7,10
changing 34:16
38:12 68:16
charged 118:23
chart 63:1,2
check 45:2 83:1
113:11
Cherylynn 4:7
Chicago 127:20
chief 6:5 9:3 56:20
chime 91:24
choose 45:19
Circuit 58:5
circumstances
64:14
citation 51:1
cite 74:6
cities 123:18
Claims 58:4 64:3
66:16
clarification 21:3
70:5 104:24
130:19
clarified 45:6 46:17
47:15 68:10 70:4
85:16
clarify 45:14 47:23
48:16 67:6,16 69:3
73:10 74:12
108:16 111:5
clarity 109:12 110:5
118:18
class 6:23 74:15
classes 15:17 19:10
26:2 127:11
clause 59:1 104:10
clauses 106:2
clean 8:12
clear 19:10,10 21:8
21:15 42:16 50:8
61:17 67:8 68:15
74:19 97:10 116:2
clear-cut 76:7
clearance 5:19
clearly 4:2 105:13
close 76:13 83:25
111:15
closed 9:23 10:2,13

80:1 97:7
closes 118:22
closest 7:7
closing 2:21 126:15
closure 10:24 51:18
87:16
co-sponsorship
126:16
Coalition 5:3 54:7
cohesive 112:5
collaboration 97:3
112:10 120:9
124:1
collaborative
120:19
collateral 112:16
colleague 27:22
89:12
colleagues 75:19
collect 17:11,13
collectively 49:16
combine 82:7 85:9
85:17,20 88:5
combined 5:14
combining 85:11
come 12:20 17:15
24:1 26:3 35:10
38:14 51:10 63:23
65:18,21 82:1,8
84:5,11,15 93:7,16
94:8 95:10 98:2
109:14 110:14
111:11 117:21,22
118:19 124:14
127:2
comes 7:4 23:8 26:7
40:23 67:8,12
112:2
comfortable 113:19
coming 8:3,18 20:22
40:1 41:18,19
72:14 76:24 89:25
92:15 125:7
126:24 129:12
comment 11:7 15:22
27:17 30:18 34:20
38:8 74:21 83:12

86:3 87:16 88:25

00 600 10 101 1
89:6 98:13 101:4
108:3,24 109:18
111:16 129:11,20
129:21
comments 2:21 15:9
32:12 76:20 81:17
96:20 98:22
107:20 113:6
118:14,20 119:2
129:9
Commerce 111:18
commit 4:11 15:5
39:15
committee 34:23
35:15,18,19 36:4
39:16 42:20 77:9
83:6 112:9 129:22
130:6,17,22
committees 31:13
112:11
common 8:13 52:16
commonality
130:11
communicating
19:17,21
communities 30:3
community 10:15
24:19 31:3,3 42:1
85:4 103:20 105:7
108:9 113:3 120:5
123:16,16,19
companies 12:8
19:4 22:21,24 27:6
78:21,24 86:20,22
92:21 106:7
company 13:18
22:25 43:2 47:12
54:20 100:2,8
116:17 117:11
compare 11:21,24
102:18
compared 98:3
102:21
comparison 11:16
98:3
compensation 101:1
112:14
compete 23:14

29:10 32:3,3,4,4,4
32:4 47:1 122:2
competing 116:19
127:19
competition 32:2
42:7
complain 35:10
complaining 91:20
complaints 94:20
95:4,9
complete 82:25
131:2
completed 5:14 8:24
43:17 83:3 85:14
105:17,22 129:6
completely 99:25
complex 23:6
complexity 33:23
74:20 86:19
compliance 94:2
115:8,8 122:1
complicated 103:17
component 79:3
components 34:7
comprehensive 24:5
comprise 16:6
comprised 113:25
compromise 25:9,19
computed 15:20
17:4 22:3
computes 16:14
concept 83:21
concern 30:12 51:23
54:18
concerned 10:19
17:24
concerning 16:5
66:1
concerns 16:1 27:5
50:23 60:8 72:24
89:16
conclude 125:4
concluded 125:21
conclusion 25:1
49:6 97:24 114:6
conclusions 57:25
conclusively 10:1
concur 99:4,17

```
106:19
concurrence 88:13
conditions 61:12
conduct 33:8 34:17
 76:17 81:23 83:15
 86:4 97:19
conducted 22:20
 101:2
conducting 99:17
 109:21
conferees 121:19
conference 19:1
 110:7 117:19
 129:12 131:3
conferring 70:10
conflict 23:24
conflicting 42:14
confuse 74:8
confusing 21:7
confusion 69:22
Congress 6:11 24:8
 32:3 44:11,13 59:3
 59:22,25 70:1 87:3
 108:6 114:11,14
 129:24 130:1
conjunction 30:4
connected 51:19
Connecticut 111:18
connection 120:13
cons 81:24
consensus 9:9 78:17
consequence 117:1
consider 14:9 48:20
consideration 14:18
 15:8 114:3
considered 16:17
 48:18 60:23
considering 36:17
 64:10 115:22
consistency 125:22
consultation 122:23
contact 51:24
context 107:18
 115:7
contingency 20:8
continue 30:10
 47:18,21 48:14
 51:12 77:8 80:6
```

106:25 110:15

00.2 06.02 110.16
82:3 96:22 118:16
119:13 122:18
123:6 126:24
128:8,18,18 129:2
continued 5:17 9:23
122:12 123:13
continues 88:21
122:11
continuing 51:13
115:18 118:1
126:15
continuous 104:19
contract 16:3,17,20
16:21,21,24 17:3,6
17:21 22:1,1 47:6
52:17,18 57:22
59:8,16 60:11,20
60:24,25 61:6,10
61:13 64:23 65:2
66:9,15 67:13
69:13 94:23 96:8
102:10 104:10
128:7
contracting 2:11
13:1 20:10 26:12
28:2,6,21 29:7
35:24 36:9 42:12
42:17 56:16 57:17
58:13 59:6 60:19
64:8 65:24 67:18
67:19 68:13 70:6
72:7,15,18 73:4,25
79:23 80:18,24
85:25 88:3,24 89:5
89:6 90:19,24
95:14,22 97:4
127:24
contractor 46:12
96:11 115:8
contractors 28:24
93:4,15 94:20
104:6,13,17
113:18
contracts 15:17,18
16:6,11,18 17:1
19:10 21:20,22
24:4 26:2 28:21
42:25 58:15,19

59:23,24 60:2 61:16 64:17,18 65:23 66:5,7 69:14 71:4,8,10 78:13,23 78:24 90:24 93:23
114:18 115:9 contradict 74:10 contribute 9:14 103:2 110:24
contribution 9:6 79:15 contributions 6:2 control 55:12,19 92:5 99:7
controlled 16:1 103:22 controls 55:9 69:7 104:11 convention 129:7 conversation 32:16
33:4,7 34:4 41:18 96:22 97:15 108:17 110:9,15 110:21 114:12 115:18 116:10,24
118:16 conversations 14:23 87:24 convert 13:22 cooperative 126:6
coordinate 88:22 coordinated 34:3 coordinating 53:16 coordination 76:2 79:20 96:23 110:7
corporation 53:5 Corps 4:21 correct 39:4 70:13 70:17 82:10 100:14
correction 55:23 correctly 24:7,23 32:23 cost 33:23 couch 37:19
Council 15:7 counsel 2:12 53:2

```
70:25 104:8,22
                      115:6 132:7,11
                    counsel's 24:9 70:23
                    counseling 107:16
                    count 95:17 127:14
                    counterpart 91:23
                    country 57:5 77:16
                      112:21 113:2
                      123:21
                    counts 32:1,6
                    couple 29:12 68:8
                      73:11 89:11 96:24
                    course 20:11 38:13
                      47:5,7 50:16 73:2
                      106:3 114:12
                    court 16:19 56:13
                      56:16,17,19,20,24
                      57:2,7,11 58:1,3,4
                      58:4,5,7,8,23,24
                      59:10,16 61:2,5,9
                      61:14,17 62:2,5,9
                      64:3,5,21 66:4,16
                      66:18,21 69:23
                      71:7
                    courts 58:18
                    cover 128:13
                    craft 34:11 43:10
                    Craig 3:17 25:7 36:1
                      41:13 79:3 81:16
                      108:16
                    create 26:15 44:14
                      44:16 59:4 76:10
                      85:2 87:4 93:1
                      108:1 115:12
                    created 44:11,12
                      52:17
                    creating 33:9,22
                      35:3 116:7
                    creation 34:19
                      76:15 83:17
                      107:16 112:15
                      113:24
                    credence 33:18,18
                    cringe 120:15
                    criteria 109:14
                      114:15,16 117:1
                      117:10
56:9,11 70:3,11,11 | criticized 62:2
```

criticizing 61:3 cross 120:20 cross-fit 6:21 7:8 **crossed** 121:20 culpability 104:16 **current** 30:7 38:16 80:17 109:20 116:14 118:9 currently 24:25 25:14,21 39:17 46:16 85:23 105:24 118:12 curricula 76:25 77:19 78:2,8 curriculum 77:25 85:6 122:20 126:12 cyber 86:21 103:7 103:14,18 cycle 29:20 78:5,7 94:5

D **D** 3:1 **D.C** 124:2 **dancing** 19:12 data 11:14,14 12:11 17:11,13,13 49:12 91:18,19 92:3,4,11 92:14,16 99:7 106:10 109:24,25 110:1,1,11 111:21 112:4,5 124:25 126:18 date 8:7 121:6 dates 98:18 **Dave** 53:19 day 6:19 34:24 45:4 56:18,25 57:6,7 60:5 **DCMA** 93:25 **DD-214** 100:24 **deal** 16:5 96:19 dealing 71:3 **deals** 71:4 debarment 50:6 **December** 14:8 36:23 39:15

112:24 118:19 123:25 127:9 129:4 130:13,23 131:1 decent 96:21 126:4 **decide** 10:1 46:24 48:13 decided 81:22 decision 2:12 16:19 34:25 43:25 51:13 58:1 59:11 62:15 62:18,24,24 63:24 65:19 66:9 67:9 68:1,10,17 70:13 95:20,21 128:1 decisions 9:10 95:23 declared 51:20 declining 35:25 deconflicting 105:7 **deeper** 92:10 default 128:13,15 **Defense** 3:20 4:13 11:22 18:8 68:19 81:5,9 106:4 deferring 116:23 **define** 11:20 84:21 defines 66:9 definitely 27:24 35:13 44:23 54:3 108:5 definition 61:6,7 definitively 116:5 degrees 65:19 **deliver** 18:14 126:7 deliverables 43:13 124:23 demo 13:11 **demoed** 14:20 demonstrate 87:1 denied 50:1 **Dennison** 54:5,6,9 55:22 denominator 22:16 25:11 **department** 3:19,21 3:24,25 4:13 11:10

11:16,22 12:13,18

			_	
18:8 23:6,12 31:22	46:15 77:10 78:11	31:15	105:23 106:2	earlier 57:2 58:24
37:16 57:9,15	79:7 80:16 81:20	disagreement 22:5,6	107:21 108:2	72:15 80:4 83:9
61:18 68:19 71:1	81:24 83:17 84:24	23:20	110:25 111:1	95:15 97:15
76:24 81:5,9	85:2 87:1 97:6	disaster 6:13 9:6	113:8 125:15	108:17 109:2
100:23 102:9,9	127:5 131:1	51:21	126:22	early 8:22
106:4,8,11 107:5	developments 127:2	discharged 100:19	DoD's 16:12 94:24	earned 35:13
109:18 111:6	devices 16:14	discourages 32:7	Dodds 2:10 44:3,10	ease 52:19 76:10
112:12 113:12	DFAR 106:2	discovery 25:11	52:13 54:3 55:4	easiest 84:6
122:5,19 129:19	DHS 51:8	discretion 45:19	56:4 69:4	easily 105:6
departments 15:16	dialed 91:24	47:7 73:25	doing 6:18 12:3,3,5	easy 41:19
25:13	dialogue 130:8	discuss 29:5 65:16	12:6 14:21 19:12	easy-to-flow 63:2
deputy 7:14,16	dictionary 61:6	70:12 78:13	32:19 33:21 35:9	EBV 111:18
103:10	64:23 65:1	108:20	36:2 38:15 39:9	Econ 111:17
Derek 4:9	died 56:19	discussed 71:16,23	41:14 42:23,24	economic 111:3,20
derived 90:16	Diego 127:22	83:9 98:11 105:22	45:12 47:3 49:16	112:6
describe 39:21	difference 42:11	114:15	81:3,25 85:1 91:6	economically 26:16
described 14:14	73:16	discussion 10:24	93:21 94:9,16	27:9 33:10 83:18
describing 38:22	differences 23:21	18:20 26:20 28:14	98:12 104:4 106:7	economy 129:1
39:6	different 34:8 38:19	34:3 40:25 43:17	119:12 120:22	edit 106:22
description 44:3	43:18 71:6,11	52:4 53:10 64:19	121:3 124:21	education 4:14
designate 73:23	73:21 77:19 87:19	65:22 66:3 73:21	130:12	107:15 120:12
designed 13:18	89:11 90:22 92:23	74:18 81:20 90:23	DoL 2:13 79:8,10	Edward 4:21
103:24	109:1 113:23	101:8 118:7	109:23	effect 10:21 71:9
designs 10:17	119:19,20 123:17	128:10	dollar 60:11 61:24	effective 44:19 51:2
desire 88:7	126:13 128:10	discussions 18:25	62:7 72:25	51:16
desiring 93:9	differently 12:6	68:8 119:21	Dominion 4:8	efficient 97:10
detail 95:25 126:5	84:9	123:10	double 83:1	efficiently 130:20
details 74:23 129:3	difficult 45:11 49:11	disparate 41:8,23	Doug 7:14,16	effort 7:20 78:7
determination	digested 105:6	dissent 57:4	Dr 105:18 106:24	99:18 109:20
50:11 64:15 101:2	digging 92:10	distinct 60:20	draft 43:9 78:2	118:24
determine 25:21	digital 86:20	distinctions 65:24	83:12 98:15	efforts 42:1 86:23
74:25 83:22	digress 118:3	distinguishes 71:10	114:13,14	123:15
determined 8:6	direct 28:24 41:11	distribute 113:1	drawback 33:17	eight 57:12
66:23 100:14	42:24,25 43:5	distribution 73:16	drawbacks 33:9	either 24:15 25:3
develop 11:1 38:21	51:15 109:20	distributors 73:20	34:17 36:22 37:2,3	34:7 53:20 56:22
91:25 97:9 104:10	directed 24:8	district 50:10	37:4,5 83:16 97:20	60:22 69:13 78:6
112:16	direction 32:10 40:3	DIUx 81:7	drive 40:3	91:12 95:8 100:18
developed 11:10	81:15 85:10 96:17	diverse 23:6	driver 81:21	107:24
14:12 20:23,25	directly 90:25,25	document 32:1 35:2	driving 81:6	election 125:5
46:4 47:1	91:18 119:11	104:9	dropped 99:18	electronic 44:24
developing 27:4	130:1	documents 53:3	due 7:20 111:10	electronically 44:21
34:3 111:20	director 34:10	DoD 2:14 11:8 14:7	130:13	element 92:8
development 1:7 3:5	disability 99:22,23	16:10 18:5 36:10	duty 18:15 23:13	elements 62:6 63:7
3:14,18 5:22 11:5	disabled 100:12	42:17 51:8 72:6,16	100:13 121:2	64:24 87:18 89:14
24:4 26:12,15	disadvantaged	73:8 79:10 82:12		98:3,14,19 102:23
29:13 33:10,17	26:16 27:9 33:11	89:8 92:7 93:22	E	elevate 88:10
34:19 35:3,8 36:3	83:18	99:3,17,24 101:6	E 2:1 3:1,1	eligibility 51:18
37:19,21 38:3 41:6	disagree 27:21	102:8,25 105:16	e-book 123:1	eliminate 116:18
51.17,21 50.5 71.0	uisagi CC 21.21	102.0,23 103.10		cillinate 110.10
	I	I	I	1

9/15/2016

117:11
eliminating 115:19
116:16
elimination 117:3
else's 69:15
email 5:6 44:7,8
75:14
emergency 62:4
emerging 87:5
103:7,13,17
121:22
employed 114:21
132:8,11
employee 40:13,14
47:24 100:4
115:25 132:10
employees 45:9,10
77:13,17 114:22
employers 77:17
employing 117:2
employment 3:22
109:22,23 113:17
114:20 120:12
122:20,25
enacted 95:3 109:15
128:4
encapsulates 91:12
encompass 59:17
encompasses 37:22
38:3
encourage 78:12
129:15
encourages 77:2
encouraging 119:8
end-of-year 124:25 endorse 114:11
endorse 114:11 enforce 90:20
enforcement 93:20
93:21
enforces 93:17,18
engaged 70:3,4
engagement 6:7
123:16 129:18
Engineering 127:21
ensure 5:5 15:16
25:20 29:8 125:22
127:18
entire 7:4

entities 86:11 114:8 entity 46:6,9,12 48:21 52:8,8,12,23 entity-owned 50:23 entrants 121:22 entrepreneurial
126:19 127:5 entrepreneurs 10:9 13:2 77:9,20 125:8 125:11,13 128:25 entrepreneurship 7:5 76:12 77:1 78:11 79:4 120:12
120:23 125:17 126:25 entry 10:9,19 environments 20:8 equivalent 36:7 era 126:13
especially 10:9 122:21 125:23 ESRS 91:18 92:23 essentially 16:20 establish 37:1 52:6 81:13 96:13 97:13 98:6
established 16:2 97:22 100:10 et 73:4,4 100:13,13 evaluate 17:13 evaluating 30:5 115:7
evaluation 117:3,9 evening 5:3 53:10 event 53:14 121:1 125:22 events 126:20 eventually 70:1
everybody 6:9 7:12 7:15,18 8:15 38:8 39:19,19 117:21 120:16 evidence 53:3 evolve 92:25
ex-service 112:14 exact 41:14 43:8 exactly 8:11,13 16:6 16:23 17:3 19:5

41:2,9 43:14 72:14
83:16 118:18
exam 101:2
examine 37:4
113:16
example 11:21 16:7
18:4,10 20:19
24:10 29:16 32:22
33:6 60:21 64:8
68:18 73:9 74:12
80:20 86:23 89:15
92:13 96:2 100:15
115:11 120:9
examples 73:12,14
74:5 123:9 124:3
Excellence 11:11
excellent 14:17
108:15
exception 52:17
61:17 122:12
exceptions 105:12
excited 94:24
exclude 21:11 114:8
excluded 15:17
16:12 25:14,17,21
25:22 26:3,3
excluding 17:20
exclusion 20:5,6,6
23:22,23 25:3
exclusions 18:7 20:7
exclusively 72:12,23
executive 86:5
103:24,24
exemplars 125:11
exist 11:6 19:8,15
22:21,23 30:6
37:23 38:6,17
39:17 40:8 80:25
84:25 96:6
existence 46:22
existing 30:4 39:24 60:25 76:11 103:7
112:17 113:16
exists 12:25 22:20
expand 75:25 76:9
expand /5:25 /6:9 expands 51:18
expands 51.16 expansion 29:15
121:25
141.43

expect 5:19 expectation 59:3 expected 104:9 **expensive** 13:19,22 **experience** 48:17,21 48:25 expert 14:7 experts 74:9 explaining 21:5 **explore** 109:24 112:13 116:7 Express 128:7 expressed 103:11 **extend** 48:4 extension 48:12 **extent** 104:7 external 66:11 extra 122:22 128:12 extremely 106:9 eye 87:21 F

face 70:19 fact 12:7 28:9 33:16 54:23 66:18,21 71:1 90:17 104:15 105:5 112:20 114:8 factor 114:15,16 117:3,3 facts 6:12 62:3 **fair** 57:21 103:22 114:5 fairly 63:2 76:7 **fallen** 10:20 **falls** 18:5 familiar 28:3,4 54:1 familiarize 77:2 **Families** 126:18 fantastic 7:25 far 10:19 12:18 18:9 20:5,5,7 23:22 25:16 29:25 37:20 45:16,21 55:17 60:14 61:7 64:3,24 67:9,9 70:3,6,11 70:25 73:22 86:19 104:8,10 115:6

117:22 fashion 8:24 fast 128:4 **faster** 107:7 favorably 28:17 feature 28:23 February 56:12 FedBizOpps 60:21 Federal 10:10,11 12:2,5,18 13:9 14:11,22 15:15 25:13,22 30:2 53:11 56:15 57:13 58:4,5,12 59:17,21 60:17,19 64:3 65:24,25 66:4,10 66:16 67:14,18 76:19 86:6 87:6 88:24 90:18 97:4 97:12 101:14,16 101:18 103:8 106:5 112:22 113:4,18 121:23 123:11.12 Federal-Wide 13:25 fee 128:5,19 129:2 feedback 76:8 85:21 108:24 119:1 127:14 feel 29:7 79:14 105:25 109:4,11 feeling 40:7 101:10 feels 109:10,11 fees 128:11,14 feet 87:5 121:23 fellows 109:13 Ferguson 57:6 fight 86:21 figure 8:11 12:6 17:20 33:4 36:13 40:24 42:23 90:13 93:6 **figured** 81:10 **figuring** 119:17 **filed** 95:10 fill 56:17 **filter** 36:2 final 5:18 44:18

51:15 76:2 107:3
129:9,11
finalize 127:7
finalized 105:8
finally 26:10 29:22
113:11
= :
financially 132:11
financing 107:17
find 10:8 12:2 29:4
50:11 59:1 63:4
65:20 77:12 78:10
79:22 80:7 81:1
84:18 85:23 88:10
95:2 110:19 112:3
120:17 121:2
finding 9:9 61:5,22
111:11 119:16
finds 57:18
fine 14:3 25:23 26:4
91:9
fingers 121:19
firm 46:24 54:16
55:8 114:22,23
firms 35:20 51:21
113:25
first 6:20 7:12 8:1
9:9 15:10 18:3,4,5
20:15 21:13 24:17
32:12 38:6 44:11
56:15,18 58:2,9
59:9,15 61:3,4
63:7 68:8 76:9
80:22 81:13
101:12 104:17
105:13 109:19
117:23 118:17
124:20 126:18
127:15
fiscal 5:14 16:4 40:1
43:9,14 80:7 83:12
91:13 98:13
107:20 118:17
124:21 128:19
fits 113:4
five 37:24 38:4 95:9
five-page 35:2
fixed 70:1
flesh 71:15
HESH /1.13

Fletcher 4:16,18,18
flew 95:7
flexibilities 87:11
floated 114:3
flood 6:16
floor 116.7 120.9
floor 116:7 130:8
flow 30:13 63:1
focus 87:6,13 88:5 92:9 122:18,21
123:20 127:11
focused 60:9 88:2
90:21 95:13
110:20 119:9,22
125:5 127:16,17
folks 8:19 11:9
14:22 23:3 24:4,10
33:3 69:21 115:6
122:23 123:3
folks' 86:14
follow 54:1 68:3
70:7 85:15
footsteps 68:3
footstools 38:4,4
force 1:6 3:4 5:15,22
7:21 9:20 20:14
27:12,13,23 39:13
75:7,22 87:25
88:11 96:1,3
101:15 103:1,10
105:1,19 113:25
129:14 130:25
forces 20:11
forecasting 20:23
foregoing 132:5
foremost 104:18
forget 74:1
forgetting 117:21
forgive 10:16,21
form 22:15 37:19
52:5 53:6 89:14
formal 50:11
formats 123:2
former 7:14 47:24
forth 18:23 38:12
73:15 84:13
forward 8:13 9:11
10:8,25 63:22

```
71:18 75:22 86:7
 87:19 92:25 96:21
 102:23 105:10
 118:3 126:4
found 10:3 36:12
 40:12 55:13.18
 57:11 60:16 61:9
 62:5 65:11 86:23
 121:2
Foundation 4:12
 126:18
four 10:11 11:12,13
 38:4 107:5 127:4
fourth 11:14
FPDS 36:12 92:11
 92:14
FPDS-NG 92:17
fractions 96:7
fragmented 112:4
frame 98:12 124:22
Francisco 7:18
frankly 52:6
free 105:25 123:3
friend 33:14
Fronabarger 4:9,9
front 10:5 25:10
 90:2 117:18
 128:11
frustrated 34:1
FSA 73:5
full 60:23 74:6,20
full-blown 47:4
Fuller 3:15,15
fully 37:20 73:13
 74:18 125:5
 126:19
function 39:13
fund 40:24
fundamental 22:5,6
funded 40:20,21
 102:5
funds 13:14 81:8
 107:15
further 26:19 30:16
 42:5 63:23 64:6
 71:22 72:18 98:12
 98:18 104:23
 107:18 110:17
```

```
113:16 132:9
future 20:22 32:16
 32:20 34:12
 123:25
FY 7:23 8:12,16,16
 8:17,23
FY14/15 2:6
FYI 74:16 79:1 92:5
         G
G 3:1
Galvin 105:18
 106:24
GAO 49:9 64:11
 65:6,11,13,19
 67:23,25 69:23
GAO's 65:20
gaps 39:21 109:25
Garcia 89:12,22,22
 90:8 92:6
geared 86:19
general 2:12 21:12
 30:8 53:2 56:9,11
 104:2
generalities 85:2
generally 128:10
Generation 114:18
generations 125:9
gentleman 75:1
getting 10:25 30:13
 34:1 40:9 43:14
 44:21 47:25 48:6
 49:8 57:4 58:6
 78:9 98:11 126:2
GI 107:14,25 109:8
 119:10
give 13:15,17,19
 19:9 31:16 35:1.5
 38:8 54:16,19
 71:15 75:23 85:14
 89:18 106:20
 114:24 118:4,25
 123:14 124:9
given 25:1 37:7,8
 104:25 113:17
gives 73:25
giving 33:18 89:19
 117:10
```

```
[140]
gladly 120:5
Glowacki 4:21,21
go 4:4,16 8:12 15:6
 18:3 22:25 23:1
 31:17 32:12 33:25
 34:20 38:18 40:3
 42:20 43:12 44:11
 45:4 46:3 48:3
 50:2,21,22,25,25
 52:18 54:8,11
 55:17 64:14 67:2
 68:20 70:8 72:1,9
 73:5,9,24 75:25
 81:13 82:19,25
 83:1 84:22 85:10
 92:25 95:8 96:1,9
 96:16,18 100:6,17
 102:8 106:16
 110:3,17 111:7
 112:18 119:1
 124:15 126:9
goal 8:2 12:24 15:18
 15:25 19:13 24:25
 27:12 78:5 83:25
 90:4,11 91:8 93:3
 95:13 117:22
goaling 24:21
goals 5:17 24:13
 42:14,23 58:13,14
 58:20,21 59:4,7
 89:5 93:16,18
 95:24 96:3,13
 129:14
goes 24:19 37:15
 60:21,25 63:2 64:4
 91:18 92:23 97:15
 100:2 106:16
 108:16 120:17
going 6:6 8:14 9:8
 9:12 10:6 11:6
 14:4 15:12,21
 18:24 19:7 20:1,9
 21:3 22:16,18
 27:21 30:24 32:12
 36:16,18 37:11
```

40:24 41:3,20 42:3

45:3,23,24,25 46:6

43:10,20 44:22

9/15/2016

47:3,10 48:5,8,12
48:22,24 49:6,13
50:15,21 51:3
53:13 54:25 63:8
63:10,13,14,18,21
67:1 68:3 69:10,17
70:7 72:17 73:1,9
75:14,21,23 78:14
81:23 85:1,5,19,22
86:2 88:21 89:12
89:20 91:8 92:24
93:1,1,13 97:8
99:2,16,18 102:23
103:19 104:7
106:15,15 108:10
109:17 113:11
114:11,24 119:7
121:6 122:4 124:5
124:8,10 125:3
126:23 127:4
128:4,13 130:15
good 4:16,24 27:20
39:12,13 40:13
78:15 84:23 87:12
87:24 88:10 92:4
109:10 118:14
120:6 122:16
123:20
gotten 19:20
government 2:11
6:25 12:2,5 13:9
13:23 19:20 24:4
25:22 26:11 35:24
42:24 43:25 45:20
46:4 56:15 57:9
59:12,15,22 60:3,8
60:14,18 61:4,23
61:25 65:25 66:10
66:22 67:14,18
76:12 79:24 86:1
88:24 90:24
101:14,18 102:6
102:22 104:18
128:12
Government-own
13:21
government-wide
11:1 14:13 15:25

16:25 36:11 97:14	guys 35:1,10 102:10
97:21 98:7 101:8	130:4,8,14
101:16,21	gym 6:21,24 7:8
grab 90:6	
graduates 30:1	Н
grant 78:6 126:15	half 7:18
126:19	Halloween 8:23
granted 36:1	124:24 125:3
grants 127:5	hand 80:6 84:22,22 88:7
grateful 118:23 121:13 126:16	Handbook 123:1
great 16:5 77:12	handle 84:7
80:15 83:5,6 88:19	handled 104:3
93:16 109:13	handouts 105:23
110:18 122:7,13	happen 22:11,18
124:3 125:11	30:9 74:25 108:14
greater 25:3 87:2,6	126:17
87:13 120:6	happened 57:5
greener 7:17	82:18 94:8
group 9:17 13:6	happening 123:21
37:20 106:20	124:3 125:5 126:3
109:4 112:2 116:4	happens 39:7 66:14 66:15
118:13	happy 35:17 39:10
groups 19:22,22 37:8,9 40:9 97:5	109:15 121:12
106:6 125:12	130:10
grow 11:13 36:16	hard 5:17 9:8 79:25
77:12,21	81:6 119:17
growing 123:18	120:18 128:3
growth 43:19 76:1	harmed 18:22,23
79:20 80:10 96:23	Hawaiian 51:22
120:25	head 36:5 74:24
GSA 4:19 51:8	116:22
59:18,20 60:2 61:1	heading 81:14 headquarters
61:8,9,13,19,23	130:24
62:7,9,10,13 64:6	healthy 32:15
65:3,9,12,18 67:20 68:4,14 70:11	hear 4:24 5:1 9:17
72:10,17,19,21	10:6 24:23 94:12
79:13 91:22,23,25	94:20,24 119:14
92:7,11,13 124:6	121:12
Guard 126:14	heard 16:4,7,9
Gudger 82:11	17:19,23,24 32:23
guess 25:10 33:1	32:23 37:10 57:6
70:18 95:13	59:18 65:7
guessing 33:3	hearing 5:4 6:15
guidance 69:5,17	9:18 36:4 37:23
104:9	62:18,22,25 66:4

```
130:4,8,14
gym 6:21,24 7:8
        H
half 7:18
Halloween 8:23
 124:24 125:3
hand 80:6 84:22,22
 88:7
Handbook 123:1
handle 84:7
handled 104:3
handouts 105:23
happen 22:11,18
 30:9 74:25 108:14
 126:17
happened 57:5
 82:18 94:8
happening 123:21
 124:3 125:5 126:3
happens 39:7 66:14
 66:15
happy 35:17 39:10
 109:15 121:12
 130:10
hard 5:17 9:8 79:25
 81:6 119:17
 120:18 128:3
harmed 18:22,23
Hawaiian 51:22
head 36:5 74:24
 116:22
heading 81:14
headquarters
 130:24
healthy 32:15
hear 4:24 5:1 9:17
 10:6 24:23 94:12
94:20,24 119:14
 121:12
heard 16:4,7,9
 17:19,23,24 32:23
 32:23 37:10 57:6
 59:18 65:7
hearing 5:4 6:15
 9:18 36:4 37:23
 62:18,22,25 66:4
```

```
67:15 70:8 91:1,4
Heilman 3:17,17
 25:7 41:17 79:2
 81:16 83:20 85:10
 108:15
held 62:18
help 6:25 11:1 14:17
 22:16 30:15 41:3
 46:4 52:22 77:16
 78:25 86:21 89:9
 91:7 95:22,23,24
 96:12,19 103:5
 105:17 106:23
 108:9 120:6
 121:24 122:23
helped 121:1
helpful 24:14,16
 32:19 86:20 90:7
 105:1,2,15 120:13
helping 14:15 27:9
 78:21,23 85:6
helps 95:21,22 96:4
hereto 132:11
hev 38:2 40:10 54:5
 105:16
Hickey 4:20,20
highlighting 87:14
highly 117:12,14
Hill 22:8 27:16
 31:14 32:24 34:25
 82:22 99:10,11
hire 77:13
hiring 77:12 115:13
 118:7
history 90:16
hit 36:5
homeowners 6:13
honest 99:13
honestly 20:19
hope 127:25
hoped 127:3
hopefully 111:8
hoping 8:10,18,21
 87:3
host 20:14
hosted 129:18
hour 44:22 75:24
House 129:24 130:1
```

```
9/15/2016
             [141]
HUBZone 18:12
 47:9 49:21,23,24
 51:18,21,23 60:7
 69:24,25 114:10
HUBZones 44:15
 49:25
huge 42:10,11
human 77:4,11
hung 91:23
husband 121:1
IATF 2:4,6,7,8
 15:14
ice 72:6,8,17,19,21
 73:17,19,20 74:16
idea 15:3 35:1,11
 75:23 77:7,18 89:8
 89:19 92:13 95:13
 101:15
ideas 24:2
identifiable 104:1
identify 76:17 83:19
 84:4,12 104:14
identifying 85:5
 114:21
II 125:10
immediately 14:2
```

105:10

Immunizations

51:16 implications 62:24 64:20 65:23 66:24 68:4 **importance** 6:17

implementation

important 6:7 17:17 23:7 24:18 27:1 30:21 40:2 50:24 57:25 85:7,8 88:8

104:17 106:9	indicated 75:1	29:3 40:5,7,15	101:9 103:13
107:7 117:15	indications 126:2	59:2 80:2 96:9	104:10 109:21
120:19	indices 111:21	Interactive 4:23	110:18,20
improve 75:25 76:2	individual 35:18	interagencies 123:9	issuing 68:4 104:8
76:9 79:20 93:2	industrial 23:4,4	interagency 1:6 3:4	item 36:18
96:23 118:2	106:12	5:15,21 9:20 75:7	items 50:18
improvement 81:11	industry 11:3	75:22 78:6 103:1	iterate 106:25
118:4	ineligible 55:13,18	108:19 130:25	100.23
improving 86:5	influence 130:2	interest 45:20 54:16	J
in-depth 109:21	inform 32:20	103:11 115:17	J&A 42:7
inaudible 35:4	information 5:7	interested 5:25 7:6	jading 22:23
inaugural 6:23	11:2 37:3 44:21	112:5 132:12	January 126:2,21
incentive 28:20	49:12 51:25 77:8	interesting 69:20	Jen 1:25 132:4,18
incident 104:12	77:19 88:22	interestingly 58:25	jeopardize 55:20
incidents 104:14	103:23 104:1,1,11	interpret 45:17	jeopardy 54:21
include 10:13 16:13	103.23 104.1,1,11	interpretation 61:15	Jerome 4:18 79:15
17:1 34:5 37:5	104.19 103.8	70:14,18,20	Jerry 4:15,17
59:25 81:25 83:22	115:3 126:25	interpretations 60:4	124:15
98:1,13,14,18	informed 35:20	_	job 33:21 68:9 77:14
114:19 115:10,25		interpreted 60:15 89:11	85:13
123:10	informing 115:16 infrastructure		jobs 68:7,9,13 89:24
included 16:16 22:2	11:23	interpreting 67:8 interviews 122:22	95:1
22:7 79:7 94:21	initial 7:22,25 26:21	introduce 6:4 56:7	Joe 27:20 90:15
125:20			108:16
includes 21:20 61:7	initiative 14:12,19	investigate 25:13	John 54:13 70:9
	14:23 113:24	26:2 30:11 102:18	join 6:6 53:25 89:20
including 22:21	118:7 120:1	investigating 99:17	joined 44:5 129:8
24:12 58:24 61:16	initiatives 37:14,24	investigation 17:10	joining 3:7 5:10 9:2
66:9 115:11	86:16 91:7,9 93:2	102:24	75:19 127:12
121:21	93:8 94:17 119:19	investing 22:24	joint 45:7,8,10,13,24
income 111:24,24	121:18	investment 29:23	46:6 48:17,21
incorporated 52:10	innovation 81:8	invite 121:7	,
115:9	87:4,8 88:8 121:21	involved 35:12	49:16,21,23,24 50:9,12,14,17 52:3
increase 10:13 27:1	innovative 86:8,17	involving 58:25	
28:11 30:12 42:24	input 10:25 103:2	Iraq 20:14	52:6,9,16,20,24 53:4
81:2,7,14 86:10	107:12 119:2	issue 17:12,17 19:13	
89:5 90:9 101:17	129:7	24:6,18,24 36:3	Julie 4:11
113:17 121:24	insight 108:19	41:5 54:10,21 59:9	June 8:4,5 10:24
increased 29:18	instance 105:4	63:20 64:22 65:21	17:14 62:15
43:19 81:4 97:3	Institute 29:25	66:17 69:5 71:16	justice 56:19,20,21
125:19	126:17 127:10	71:16 72:22	56:22 57:3,11
increases 81:3	instruct 104:6	issued 44:18 57:15	59:11 70:10 71:1
increasing 29:16	insurance 18:14,14	59:11 60:1 61:7	justices 59:11
incredible 127:8	112:16	62:15,19,25 65:3	justification 31:25
incredibly 120:13	integrate 41:20,21	65:17 66:1	32:6 43:4
121:3	integration 41:22	issues 6:16 7:5 33:16	<u>K</u>
independent 129:22	intelligence 11:15	35:6 46:8 47:12	
129:25	intend 59:25	48:1 54:14 60:24	Kaufman 4:11,11
index 111:20,21	intent 23:25 25:25	87:25 100:24	keenly 7:6

keep 36:18 37:23 79:17 85:22 97:3,9 106:18 108:4,21 128:14 **keeping** 58:6 95:13 121:19 **keeps** 117:21 **Ken** 2:10 44:3 53:9 68:7 71:18 Ken's 70:9 Kenyata 2:14 3:19 11:8 18:2 24:17 30:19 33:15 36:5 38:20 41:2,10 70:2 73:8 82:10 95:14 key 28:23 31:23 41:24 42:22 49:5 67:9 91:16 **kick** 5:12 7:10 73:15 kicking 125:3,14 **Kidalov** 33:14 42:16 82:2 **Kidalov's** 35:22 kind 5:4 11:20 22:4 25:11 28:16 33:7 35:12 41:19 42:17 45:6,21,22 49:3 51:11 52:14 69:8 72:5 81:14 82:13 88:8 92:9 93:6 105:7 111:5 kinds 19:5 Kingdomware 2:12 16:18 34:25 43:25 56:1,9,13 57:9 58:1 62:3,3 63:24 64:20 65:7,17 66:8 66:24 67:9,17,23 68:5,17 70:13 75:16 128:1 kit 125:7 **Klein** 54:13 Klingelhofer 2:18 3:9,9 15:23,24 21:15 23:19 25:24 26:21 34:15 42:22 52:2 53:8 83:13,13 84:4,10 93:12

94:19 97:17,25
98:5,17,21,25
99:19,20 100:7,11
119:4
know 6:25 7:13,15
7:15,20 8:5,11,13
9:17 13:2 15:6
16:8,15,20,22 17:3
17:4,6,23 19:5
21:6,22,23 23:23
25:1,10,20 26:1
27:2,6,13 28:9,10
28:17,22 30:8
33:20,24 34:7,8,12
35:25 37:3 38:6,24
39:9,11 40:4,15,15
40:21,22 42:8,9,25
43:4,5 45:3,4,7,16
45:21 46:9,17
47:13,17 48:24
49:8,9,14,18 50:4
52:7,10,18 53:1
54:3 55:4,15 57:8
60:6 64:2,5 66:12
66:14,16,20 67:20
68:3,12,18 69:8,10
70:16,17,23 72:4
77:9 81:5,21 82:3
82:17,19 83:24
04.17,17 05.24
84:24,25 87:13,23
88:5,5,8,15 90:3
91:5,22,25 92:1,9
93:14,15,17 94:14
94:19 95:8 96:5
97:8 98:1 100:16
101:5 103:16,16
104:13,18 105:5
105:11,12,12,13
107:6 108:11,12
109:10 111:6,8,9
114:7,25 115:1,10
115:17 116:12,25
119:21 124:11,19
124:21,24 125:15
126:6 128:8
129:11
knowing 80:11
knowledge 27:3

known 6:12 7:19 77:3
knows 23:11 39:19 Kramer 7:14,16
103:11

L labor 3:22 13:9 76:24 102:9 107:6 109:18,25 110:3,8 112:12 120:22 122:6,19 123:11 Labs 127:20 lack 33:16 36:5 lady 74:11 laid 41:7 landscape 76:18 lane 99:6,14 language 25:9,20 36:9,18,21 45:21

91:12 109:5 large 55:7 73:1 91:3 106:13 111:10 larger 62:8 96:7 116:10

70:19 71:8,20

83:20,21 86:7

77:19 78:2 79:2

lastly 8:15 123:6 late 8:19 18:25 44:5 44:13 law 10:3 15:24

20:16 35:20 51:7 52:16 55:15,17 66:5,11 73:22 95:3 95:6 128:4,24

laws 115:8 lays 112:25 Le 2:12 56:7,10 67:16

lead 14:25 18:21 79:9 89:8

leadership 5:9 105:18

leading 22:12 123:15

leads 74:8

learn 13:8 113:2

120:11 learned 26:13 36:24 learning 39:20 110:24 leave 107:12 124:17

led 97:23 103:23 Lee 4:13

left 52:14 75:24 96:24

leg 31:16 32:19 **legal** 52:5,7,8 57:25

59:4 67:22 **Legion** 6:1

Legion's 107:10 legislation 26:14

27:14,23 30:24 31:1 32:18,24 33:8

33:25 34:6,13 35:16 49:2,3 97:13

97:18 102:17 108:11,13,20,23 114:6 116:10

125:12 128:16 129:23

legislative 23:24 31:4,6,13 33:5 38:11 41:10 87:3

121:18 130:5,6,10 130:15

legitimate 25:16 **Leney** 12:15,19 29:21 53:20 62:19

114:15 **lengthy** 33:14

let's 44:11 48:3 50:22,25,25 85:10 125:5

letter 100:21 **level** 49:17 60:11

95:25 104:2

112:17 122:10 123:8,8

levels 50:3 leverage 42:19 76:10

lie 92:24

life 29:20 46:25 **liked** 13:23

likes 97:8 limit 46:25 limitations 64:16 limited 43:6 52:15 53:4 line 5:7 53:13,20,23 67:2 75:4 79:14

67:2 75:4 79:14 100:12 113:13 124:5 125:1

lines 122:24 link 77:16

linkage 88:17 **list** 40:22,23 90:3

listed 18:9 37:14 107:22 113:8

127:11 **listen** 14:23

litigation 59:14

63:23 64:13,19 66:14,17

little 5:3 6:12 7:22 41:22 42:5,10 67:7 84:9 90:15 92:10

95:25 98:18 103:14 107:7

108:25 109:1 115:2

lively 10:24 53:10 LLC 52:8,14 53:5 LLCs 52:9

loans 6:13 10:17 128:6,20

lobbyist 119:10 local 110:20 123:8

locally 124:2 long 7:5 53:1,2 57:3 60:12 63:2 68:10

96:18 117:21 longer 7:16 8:7 52:24 83:8 87:20 96:14 107:11

look 9:19 10:25 21:6 21:19 23:22 24:1

24:24 29:6 37:1 38:16 63:10,18

64:9 80:7 82:19 85:18,19,20 89:12

101:12 109:15

115:4 123:20 124:13 125:17 126:4 lookback 86:4 looked 37:12 41:21 70:24 94:15 110:16 looking 7:9 10:8 24:9 30:8 31:10 44:5 63:22 64:22 72:15 84:19 90:5 101:8,16,19 111:3 looks 16:20 44:22 63:17 114:10 115:1 124:16 **loop** 111:15 **loosen** 42:9 lose 53:17 lost 57:10 58:7 76:4 **lot** 7:15 19:4 27:3 41:3 47:25 48:1

41:3 47:25 48:1 50:3,20,22 55:16 56:25 66:23 80:19 87:10 89:13 108:23 110:19

115:22 119:7,7 123:20 127:14

130:3,11 **loud** 42:15

Louisiana 6:15,16 **love** 68:21,23 121:8 **lower** 58:3 122:9,16

lumped 96:12 Lydia 111:13

M machine 72:6,8,17

73:17,20 machines 72:19,21 73:21 74:16 macroeconomic 109:21 110:18 macroeonomic 112:3 Maduros 6:5,8 9:4,7 Maggie 4:5 71:24 72:1,2 75:2 82:9

95:12 129:10

main 11:23 81:21
maintain 75:12
maintain 73:12 maintained 10:20
maintaining 122:9
major 16:8,10 18:4
makers 18:18 21:24
making 7:22 69:9 87:7,11 95:22
105:2,4,14 126:17
130:15
manage 107:25
manageable 86:24
management 3:25
15:2 66:1 86:13
103:5 109:13
manager 47:24
mandatory 64:3
65:11 68:22 71:20
90:17
manner 12:12 32:2
37:18 104:3
manufacturers
73:19
manufacturing
73:17
map 125:20
mapping 76:18
March 17:13 124:1
Marcus 126:18
mark 21:13
market 11:2,11 13:6
22:19 63:16 69:11
69:16 74:2,3,3
96:5
marketing 77:10
marketplace 87:6
Marshall 4:5
massive 40:13
Mathew 2:15 14:10
15:1 24:3 32:13
69:19 86:13 90:4
105:16 113:21
121:14
Mathew's 106:19
matter 13:10 14:7
16:21 18:11 26:21
52:4 69:15 70:12
71:22 104:2
, 1, 10 1,_

matters 106:12
max 33:14 35:22
maximum 11:17
19:24 124:18
MaxPrac 11:17
McMAHON 4:13
4:13
mean 21:25 33:19
39:1,2 42:22 52:13
65:1 73:2 81:16
93:13 98:6 100:7
104:16 108:10
117:20 118:24
meaning 94:5 100:4
meaningful 80:8
123:24 129:7
means 55:7 89:17
90:24 117:10
128:10
meant 27:7,8 100:8
measurable 80:22 83:24 98:11
83:24 98:11 measure 12:24 30:5
80:3,8,10 112:6
measures 29:8
30:15
measuring 112:2
media 125:7
medical 16:14 18:14
100:16,18,24
101:1
medically 99:23
100:18,19
mediocre 117:13
meet 21:13 45:25
52:6 59:4 90:20
91:7,8 103:7 114:9
126:10
meeting 1:9 5:6 8:4
8:5 13:10 14:3,8
17:14,16 24:16
33:13 44:7 46:1
58:14,20,21 67:4
74:23 75:12 78:1
86:15 90:11
103:10 112:19,24
114:13 118:19
123:25 130:22,24

meets 34:24
member 15:13
78:12 102:25
125:24
members 6:23 10:10
11:7 14:6 18:15
33:25 34:1 43:11
44:1 48:17,22,23
75:8,11 76:4 83:11
96:25 107:8
112:14 119:2,24
120:3,4,10 124:19
125:16 126:1
127:22 130:19
memo 63:1,1
memorandum 65:3
memories 86:15
114:4
mention 51:15
111:24 119:15
mentioned 33:20
53:15 70:12 71:18
80:24 119:18
mentioning 70:9
mentor 2:10 30:3
46:10,18 47:2,12
47:14,24 48:7
49:18 50:2,5,6,13
54:17
Mentor-Protege
43:24 44:4,12 46:7
46:14 47:19,19
49:10,16 50:14
51:3,6,9 52:1,3,5,9
53:18 54:12,15
55:25 56:5 127:25
mentors 46:22
mentorship 54:25
merely 23:22
message 39:11
messages 67:5
111:11
messaging 39:3
messenger 117:7
met 5:2 54:3 58:12
59:6 109:7
Metcalf-Razzino
1:25 132:4,18
,

```
3:21 77:6,7,23
 79:8,8,12 110:4,4
 112:19 122:5,7
methodology 82:1
metrics 92:3,17
Michael 34:22 41:1
microloans 10:14,17
microphone 27:19
mid-July 65:4
Mike 111:17 112:8
military 2:19 3:12
 10:12 120:4
 126:14,17,22
 127:23 130:20
million 128:21
mind 43:22 80:5
 83:4 106:18 108:4
 124:15
mine 13:15
minimum 23:15
 79:11 90:17
Minneapolis 129:17
Minnesota 129:17
minor 106:22
minority 55:14
minority-owned
 92:21
minutes 75:5 96:24
 124:18 128:3
misleading 25:18
mission 23:6,6,12
missions 14:16
Missouri 57:6
MOAA 109:3 119:6
 120:7
modeled 26:17
 33:11 83:18
modification 26:6
 112:15
modified 97:19
modify 79:18
moment 27:18
 53:21 76:4 89:21
 102:13
money 22:24 23:14
 127:18 128:15
monitoring 104:19
```

Metheny 2:13 3:21

month 5:20 monthly 126:23 months 8:3,9 mood 56:20 morning 4:25 6:6,7 6:12,16 27:20 54:11 move 9:10 12:23 15:12 27:25 55:25 56:1 105:9 109:17 **moved** 7:17 moving 10:8 22:16 26:14 32:9 71:18 79:19 86:2 88:20 89:2 107:3 112:12 **MRCOE** 11:11 20:19 95:15,19 96:4,9,15 **multiple** 59:19,20 60:1,6 Museum 120:10 **muted** 9:16 **Myron** 3:15 **MyVA** 123:16

N N 2:1,1 3:1 **NAICS** 46:20,20 name 4:2 5:5 34:22 94:21 127:8 names 5:4 **NARA** 103:23 narrow 103:12 **nation** 20:14 national 5:2 54:6 97:4 123:8 125:1 129:17 **native** 51:22 nature 12:9 Naval 6:1 navigation 76:10 **NDA** 49:3 51:17 **NDAA** 121:19 **NDAAs** 24:7 necessarily 13:6 28:13 74:9 98:9 111:1 need 8:20 12:6 21:6

				[==0]
22.7 9 22.5 9 0 17	niaht 54.11	offerors 114:19	anonis: 102.5	53:3,11 94:1
22:7,8 23:5,8,9,17 23:21 27:14,23	night 54:11 Nimmo 4:23,23	offers 28:6	openly 102:5 opens 60:22	111:10 119:9,16
28:12 29:13 30:16	NIST 104:12	office 2:11 3:13,17	opining 71:7	119:23
35:14,15 37:4	non-manufacture	3:24 4:19 15:2	opining 71.7	organizations 2:9
38:12 40:4 41:9	64:12	24:9 26:12 46:15	69:23 70:17,20,24	5:23 10:12 11:21
45:2 55:1 66:19	non-manufacturing	46:16 50:10 52:4	71:14	12:1 31:14,22
73:11,13 74:4,18	73:15	53:2 56:8,11 70:23	OPM 100:6	35:18 51:22 81:7
78:14 84:24,25	nonrecovery 64:18	86:12,16 92:9 97:5	opportunities 4:14	121:9 130:21
85:4 91:5 93:10	normal 106:2	103:4 104:21,22	11:17 19:5,10,14	organize 37:18
108:19 109:5	normally 105:18	109:12 111:1,6	19:24 20:22 21:11	organized 53:12
110:17 111:5,7	note 39:5 120:25	127:17	22:17 28:1,7,11	original 9:19 42:4
114:6,11 116:10	notes 90:2	officer 20:10 72:7	43:19 65:16 72:12	89:23 113:22
117:18 127:7	noticed 130:3	72:15,18 73:25	73:1 76:18 80:17	114:2 116:5,6
needed 43:3 70:5	noticing 92:6	officers 2:19 3:12	90:10 97:4 101:17	ought 83:23
72:6 82:3 85:6	November 8:23	13:1 28:21 36:9	110:25 118:9	outcome 57:8 80:22
110:5	44:25 125:4	42:12,17 65:4	120:11 121:11	82:8 90:9,13
needle 27:25	126:21 129:13,17	68:14 70:6 73:4	125:12	132:12
needs 17:10 22:11	number 8:5 32:1,7	80:18,24 95:22	opportunity 22:20	outline 37:22 39:17
22:12 24:20 25:5	35:6,20 36:7 53:12	104:23	22:22 23:15 28:10	outlined 37:24 48:7
27:24 37:1 40:25	69:20 73:2,3 86:16	Oh 116:11	28:25 29:10 30:14	outreach 4:8 13:1
41:15 70:4,5 71:17	112:20 114:21,22	okay 13:7 15:12	33:2 39:20 54:11	29:15 38:21,24
71:22 74:18 85:4	115:25 130:14	30:19 37:7 51:1,6	77:12 88:24 91:2	39:1,2 76:17 88:14
91:1 102:4,5	numbers 22:21 27:2	53:8,25 55:16 56:3	111:3 129:13	112:16 125:18
104:18 107:23	29:18 36:15 92:18	75:18 77:7 79:12	opposed 37:2	outside 45:15,18
111:4	92:18,19 122:8,17	79:17 85:21 88:20	115:19	47:21 63:14,21,25
negative 32:2	72.10,17 122.0,17	89:2 90:22 91:9,14	opposite 69:6	64:21,25 65:5 74:8
negatively 32:7 55:2	0	98:22 103:3 111:4	option 68:17,19,22	outstanding 123:23
negatively 52:7 55:2 negotiated 61:12	O 2:1 3:1	113:15 118:22	68:22,25	overall 122:10
neither 132:7	object 31:8	124:4,8 125:2	options 13:24 14:1	overcome 84:6
network 99:25	objection 34:16 88:4	Old 4:8	112:21,25	overseas 24:12
125:23 127:21	obligation 103:2	Omaza 54:13	oral 16:5 56:12 87:2	owned 16:1 26:24
Networks 4:24	obstacles 29:4	OMB 2:15 5:18	order 33:7 37:1 46:7	97:12
neutral 128:9,14,17	obviously 12:3	14:10 32:13 34:10	57:23 60:24 61:9	owner 6:24 116:19
never 12:12 80:1	16:11 33:23 92:12	42:9 65:25 70:3	64:22 65:23 66:10	owners 29:9 77:16
new 8:7 15:13 39:22	93:22 110:19	75:1 100:6 104:8	69:13,14,15 71:17	106:23
45:13 47:14 49:12	119:14	115:14	84:23 85:13 86:5	ownership 55:6,9,19
52:20 54:15 59:13	occur 97:24	OMB's 70:23	91:7 103:24 114:7	
60:20,22,24 61:15	occurred 104:15	once 94:22 100:16	128:12,17,18	P
76:17,23 81:4,24	OCONUS 24:12	100:17	orders 16:18 57:14	P 3:1
86:10 87:4,4 91:25	October 12:20	ones 10:1,13 48:23	58:12 59:18 60:1	p.m 131:3
103:4 107:11	44:20,23 51:2,16	ongoing 37:15,25	60:17,18 61:7,16	packed 124:1
113:18,24 121:22	121:7	52:23,25 82:23	61:20,24 62:7,8,9	packet 112:6
121:22 122:20	offer 28:7,20 52:21	online 126:25	62:11 64:17,18	PAGE 2:3
127:20	120:10,22 122:25	open 13:10 52:14	65:9,12,14 67:12	pages 96:24
news 122:8,13,16	offered 63:17	60:23 70:22 99:25	67:25 69:8 71:5,10	paid 46:5
nice 63:1 80:2	122:24	130:7,8	organization 4:3	pamphlet 105:20
112:10	offering 70:23 71:14	opened 45:22 115:6	12:5 32:24 33:3	paper 34:3,5,9 40:6
Nicolas 6:5 9:4	86:3	Opening 2:4	40:12,17 41:13	44:25 112:24
		_		

papers 90:5 118:25 paramount 6:17 42:2
parity 69:2,22
part 14:22 17:2
24:10 40:25 41:24
42:3 60:3 61:13
63:11,12 65:6 68:1
72:22 74:7 76:14
77:14 82:6 83:9
92:2 94:17 98:5,9
98:9 102:25
106:12 107:22
110:17 112:1
116:24 124:11
128:6
participants 49:13 77:2
participate 46:7
participates 105:19
participating 75:18
82:16
participation 7:20
15:25 35:24
129:15
particular 43:2
57:19 64:24 66:15
79:14
particularly 7:13
28:22 57:5 59:12
64:16 77:13
parties 46:5 61:11
132:8,11
partner 13:12
partnering 12:14
123:9
partners 29:17 79:6
partnership 52:7
120:8
parts 44:23 82:22
partway 74:20
pastures 7:17
path 18:21 19:7
96:21
pause 43:20 53:22
pay 46:9,10,11
128:11
paying 105:3

payments 46:5 Pearl 4:23 pending 82:21 Penson 18:25 people 8:6 11:16 17:24 21:8 22:13 29:19 31:7 32:7 39:9 40:8 74:1,8 78:13 85:4 88:14 95:4 99:23 101:10 106:15 111:11 117:2 119:17 127:18 128:11,15 people's 114:4 perceive 39:21 perceived 80:13,18 percent 12:3 15:18 16:2,7 17:4,20,22 21:18 22:2 25:14 26:22,24 49:15,19 54:16,20 55:6,7
72:25 90:17,21 114:1,9 116:1,6,8
118:1 122:9,12,15 percentage 81:2,11
101:14 perform 49:19
57:21 performance 45:25
46:2 48:17,21,25 49:14 115:7
performed 23:13 performing 20:21
48:9,23,24
period 31:1 52:19 periodically 31:24 45:3
permanent 128:5
perpetual 52:24 person 40:11,14,17
127:14 personal 27:3
120:25
personally 68:20 104:1 121:2
perspective 42:9 54:14,22

ph 54:13

DI 04 00 00
Phipps 34:22,22
36:21,25 41:1,1,23
129:20,21
Phoenix 126:20
phone 4:1 9:12,15
11:9 15:10 37:12
44:5 56:4 74:11
124:20 129:8
phones 55:25
-
phrased 10:5 13:5,7
pick 65:1
picked 95:20
piece 40:6 69:3
77:11 115:5
pieces 39:6 86:24
PII 104:1
pillar 88:8
piloting 14:21
pivot 10:23 11:4
20:2 76:13,17
87:17 93:10 97:8
97:13
pivoted 86:2 91:1
pivoting 115:18
pivots 10:6 12:23
place 9:14 108:19
109:1 120:16,17
places 23:2,14,14
plan 55:2 69:4 94:6
planned 6:11
plans 93:16 115:13
platform 11:12
13:20
play 107:22
players 123:17
playing 17:19
plays 105:13
please 4:2 5:6 6:7
10:15 70:16 122:6
pleased 6:4 56:7
59:12
pleasure 5:1 56:12
plenty 37:3
plug 123:14
plural 44:9
PO 21:21 22:12
point 5:12 9:11
_
25:15 32:16 36:24

```
46:25 49:11 59:13
 61:22 68:15 77:23
 80:4 89:13 96:17
 99:15 102:19
 108:16 113:20
 115:14 119:4
 121:10
points 7:10 52:2
 102:15 105:20
policies 15:15 25:12
 66:1 67:20
policy 10:2 63:1,5
 63:11 65:4 67:17
 67:20,21 76:3
 107:4 109:20
 125:14 129:23
popular 126:25
populated 45:8,13
population 112:7
 125:24
portal 76:11
portion 35:4 58:18
 75:20
portions 17:20
position 23:19,24
 44:1 45:18 53:6
 67:22 69:5,6 74:3
 107:11,12 115:15
positive 38:9
possession 73:24
possible 25:1 26:4
 34:18 83:17 97:2
 126:7
possibly 56:15
post 124:12
Post-Graduate 6:2
potential 53:17
 77:17 79:1 110:24
 117:13 121:23
potentially 11:13
 13:12 22:24 25:9
 25:17 34:14 42:10
 42:11,18 63:20
 116:16,25 117:11
potentials 63:23
practical 11:17
practice 113:3
practices 14:16 86:8
```

```
86:17 112:21,25
precise 83:20,21
precisely 23:21
predecessor 101:6
prefer 66:7
preference 64:7
 69:25 117:10
premature 105:11
prepared 29:9
prerequisite 58:19
 59:2,5
prerogative 32:25
 128:22
present 34:9 36:23
 43:16 109:16
presentation 14:7
 24:15 26:10 33:15
 39:24 67:1
presentations 44:6
presented 34:10
 39:12
preserving 119:10
presidential 109:13
 125:4
pretty 116:14
previous 60:3,16
 69:2
previously 83:3
 101:7
price 13:20 40:23
 57:21 61:11
prime 16:3,11 17:5
 89:6 90:18,23,25
 91:1 92:18 93:3,15
 94:7,8 95:7,14,23
 96:11 106:13
primes 90:19,20,21
 91:3,7,19 96:10,14
 96:17 106:15
principle 57:17
prior 34:24 45:9
 109:7 125:6
priorities 64:8
privacy 104:20,22
 104:23
private 126:16
Privateer 4:17
proactively 8:22
```

1 11 770	01.04.02.17.10		1. 01.11	. 01 2 122 0 10
probably 7:7,8	81:24 83:17,19	protections 55:14	pushing 81:11	rate 81:2 122:9,10
13:19,22 17:12	84:20,24 85:2	55:17 103:8,18	put 10:5 17:8,25	122:14 126:4
19:25 31:7 32:25	95:15 97:14,21	protege 2:10 45:11	22:8,11 37:25 38:1	rates 10:20
54:20 57:8 59:13	98:7 101:6,9,11,12	46:11,19,21 47:6	38:12 43:10 54:20	rationalize 71:19
64:1,13 65:20,22	101:13,17 111:2	48:6,8,9 49:15	89:23 91:6 105:19	re-invent 121:10
66:19 75:4 84:6	114:10,11 122:19	proteges 46:18	106:23 114:16,16	reached 8:21 56:16
122:7 123:25	126:8 128:7	protested 47:6	118:2,24,25	114:6
problem 22:14	programs 30:6 41:3	50:10,16	120:16 122:25	reaches 24:25
55:12 102:12	41:8,12,23 45:15	prototypes 87:1	127:18 130:16	reaching 8:20 29:19
problems 80:14	49:22 51:7 76:19	provide 6:13 21:10	puts 108:25	124:19
93:13,14 104:18	77:1 78:3,6,11	48:8 50:3 75:11,11	putting 5:24 35:14	reactions 62:23
procedures 122:1	79:4 80:19 108:9	106:22,24 107:18		read 9:12 63:5,6
proceeding 35:4	112:22,23 119:20	107:19 109:12	Q	76:24 83:14 97:19
proceedings 132:5,9	progress 7:15,22,23	110:1,15 112:24	quacks 16:21	reads 73:22
process 32:16 62:10	7:24 8:4 9:25	119:23 121:9	qualified 117:12,14	ready 127:13
70:2 97:10 100:17	project 47:23	126:23	qualify 18:16 46:19	real 7:23,24 19:16
101:21,22 102:1,4	promote 86:7,17	provided 61:20	51:21 100:25	93:12 125:18
113:5	120:3,6	83:11 107:12	116:8,20	128:4
procured 62:4	proper 33:18	126:12 127:1	qualifying 116:17	realistic 96:13
procurement 15:7	properly 48:15	provides 128:5,24	quandary 16:23	reality 35:21
16:10 29:24,25	64:15	providing 86:20	quarter 17:14	realize 27:11
30:2 53:12 66:5	property 107:14	121:25 128:20	question 21:4 30:21	really 6:9 7:21,25
74:9 79:5 95:20	proposal 15:13,15	provision 31:19	47:25 53:21 66:8	12:2 13:5 19:9,14
97:12 113:24	31:13 33:5 83:7	32:8 38:13 67:13	68:7,16 70:22,24	19:25 22:7 25:25
115:24 116:9,18	86:12 87:15 94:21	71:2 95:1 128:16	75:4,10,15 80:16	30:14,21 35:20
127:10,13	94:22 102:16	128:18	84:15,17 93:22	36:9,17 38:15
procurement-ready	107:13 113:22	provisions 53:18	109:3 110:11	41:13 42:12 46:11
29:21	114:2,23	67:11 71:3 80:25	115:21	47:8,9 50:24 52:10
procurements 118:6	proposals 87:2	PTACs 78:20,21	questionable 47:8	55:19 60:5 62:12
produce 89:3,17	121:20	public 1:9 5:6,20	questions 49:9	62:16 72:14 73:12
93:1	propose 25:8 27:23	15:24 26:8 75:8	51:25 55:24 56:4	75:5 79:25 80:2
produced 86:11	32:18 33:25 34:13	77:3,14 102:2,3	64:7 67:2 71:13	92:8 100:5 101:4
products 86:21	91:11 102:16,24	111:22 115:16	96:2 99:22 106:1	101:19 111:22
professionals 94:1	108:18 110:6	116:12 123:18	110:16 124:14	119:1,9,17,23
program 6:24 26:15	112:23	124:12	127:13 129:9	128:3,25 129:6
26:18 27:8,9,24	proposed 2:8 9:21	publicly 23:10	quick 3:5 84:17	realm 9:24 107:5
28:4,8,11,16,19,23	26:9,18 27:15 28:8	120:15 127:3	87:16 105:20	reason 22:5 23:11
29:16,23 30:1,7	36:22 44:18 49:2	pulling 27:22	108:3 119:1 124:9	26:22 31:20,23,24
33:10,12,22 34:8	76:20 103:4	pulls 123:18	125:18 129:21	54:10 60:5 68:6
34:19 35:3,8 37:2	108:22 112:15	purchase 55:10	quite 28:5 34:2	101:6
37:6,19,21 38:3,16	113:18 115:23	pure 17:7,22 18:6		reasonable 57:21
38:22,24 39:2,22	117:23 118:18	56:15	R	78:5 102:17
41:6,14,16 43:24	proposes 17:11	purpose 8:13 52:15	r 3:1 72:5	reasons 25:16 27:3,5
44:12 46:8,23	proposing 33:8	53:4	raised 54:10 58:2	35:6 36:7 104:4
47:16,20,22 49:10	83:14	purposes 58:14,18	raising 59:12 70:3	reboot 76:25 126:11
49:22 50:14 51:4,9	pros 81:24	59:1	ramifications 104:5	recall 24:7,11
51:12 53:18 54:12	protect 106:10	pursue 27:15 32:24	range 20:9	receive 98:15
54:12,15,25 55:25	protecting 106:11	119:13	rapid 81:8	100:22

received 82:2	reducing 96:22	129:2	represents 56:8	118:21 124:7
127:24	refer 59:20 63:8	relieved 58:11,22	request 21:13 50:1	responsibilities
recognize 97:17	85:22 120:21	relook 65:16	requested 43:16	104:13,24 105:14
104:14	reference 32:17	remain 39:21 128:9	109:23	responsibility 58:22
recognizing 103:16	85:12,14 105:20	128:17	requests 108:24	115:12
recommend 27:13	referencing 95:15	remaining 38:10	require 45:23 49:6	responsible 57:20
39:23 97:18	referred 123:7	remains 68:2	49:13 50:18 55:5	rest 7:19 17:8,25
recommendation	referring 41:23	remarks 15:3	64:9 104:7	102:21
25:6,8 27:15 29:3	67:22	Remarks/Roll 2:4	required 15:18 48:9	result 31:7,8
34:16 39:7 41:2	reframe 53:21	remember 10:11	49:24 50:3 59:7	resulted 24:9,11
42:4 43:21 55:1	refresh 86:14 114:4	56:21 64:21 69:22	requirement 43:4	results 8:22
76:13,15,23 79:21	refusal 20:15	82:16,17 83:10,16	46:1,12 57:21	resume 122:22
83:8,10 85:23 88:6	refuse 20:16	89:23 117:22	58:11 59:5 60:22	retired 99:23,23
97:6 99:16 105:9	refused 72:21	remind 8:15 115:5	63:17 87:17	100:19
106:19 112:13	regard 27:8 52:11	reminder 129:16	104:19 114:7	retooling 25:5,8
113:16,19 115:20	65:3 100:8 108:8	remove 108:2	116:1	75:7
116:6 119:12	regardless 59:6	rep 111:7	requirements 11:4	return 11:6 75:21
recommendations	114:19	report 2:6 5:15,16	46:2 49:14 52:7	76:6
2:8 7:25 8:6,10,11	regional 110:20	7:22,23 8:16,17,18	103:7,18,19 109:7	revamping 20:3
9:20 17:15 26:4	regs 50:18	8:19,23 35:22	115:10 116:1	36:18
39:25 43:18 75:6	regular 18:22 36:8	42:16 43:9,14 46:1	requires 10:23	review 15:15 24:5
75:21 76:5,6 84:5	68:18	49:7 79:25 83:12	21:21 31:25 32:6	25:12 43:21 48:6
88:1,18 89:23	regulation 86:6	84:2 87:22 89:14	52:11 57:13	48:13 51:7 73:18
97:22 103:12	regulations 74:6,7	90:6 91:13 93:7	114:18	86:6 95:11 100:18
107:4 108:5,8	regulatory 10:3	94:24 95:18 97:1	research 11:3,11	113:1
124:25 129:23,24	23:23 85:20 86:3,4	98:13,16 107:20	13:6 22:19 42:21	reviewed 101:1
130:1,4,9,15	86:6 87:21,25	111:23,24 114:14	63:16 69:11,16	reviewing 50:14
recommended	104:7	114:20,24 118:17	74:2,3,4 76:2	reviews 46:16 94:2
10:23 76:16 86:6	reinforce 78:16	124:21 130:13	81:25 82:13 89:13	revise 98:17
86:12 87:16	rejected 58:23 61:2	REPORTER 132:1	96:5 107:4 109:19	revision 112:13
109:19 121:21	62:2 67:25	reporting 92:1,8,14	110:25 114:5	revitalized 7:21
127:6	related 35:1 132:7	92:22,24 104:12	reserve 72:12	rewritten 31:1
recompeted 126:8	relates 82:5	104:15 105:21	126:14	right 3:3 11:6,12
reconsideration	relating 17:12 34:18	126:4	reserved 72:23	12:21 14:1 18:11
50:1	83:17 97:20	reports 2:9 89:11	resource 13:2 29:17	18:12,17,19 19:13
record 5:6 14:5	relationship 47:11	92:17 115:17	77:20 78:9,15,22	19:25 20:8,9,15
23:10 62:6 68:21	47:18 48:4,14 49:6	represent 4:2 43:12	83:6	21:1 22:5 23:2
75:9 102:2,3	126:15	representation	resources 19:7 30:4	29:7 30:23 31:15
116:12,12 124:4	relationships 120:19	43:11	76:12,16 77:4,11	31:16 35:14 37:11
124:12 132:7	123:7	representative	119:24 120:14	39:8 40:14,20
recorded 1:25 132:5	relative 132:10	15:21 79:13 99:19	121:8 125:9,23	43:14 45:16 46:5
records 99:24	relatively 103:12,15	107:10 113:12	respect 25:8 33:16	48:2 52:15 55:22
100:19	relayed 111:12	representatives	42:4 75:8 111:10	67:11 68:25 70:15
recurring 123:10	release 82:24	43:22 62:19,20	respond 108:20	71:3,5 73:6,10
reduce 76:1 79:20	released 111:23	110:8 123:13	response 15:11	81:6,15 93:4 94:13
79:22 84:19 85:24	relevant 8:7 76:14	represented 37:9	53:24 56:2,6 75:12	94:14 95:7 98:23
86:3,8,18 128:19	relied 58:24 60:3	representing 3:10	75:17 76:21 79:16	99:3,5 101:22,25
reduced 122:1 132:6	relief 9:6 128:5,19	3:11	89:1 113:14	102:18 106:6

107.2.14.100.17	50.16.60.13
107:2,14 109:17	58:16 60:13
116:9,22 117:24	127:25
117:25 120:17,23	Savannah 12
126:9	save 113:15
rights 57:3 75:8	saw 40:14 12:
risk 53:15 80:19	saying 7:6 13
Roberts 56:20	16:20 21:7,
rocking 118:1	24:23 40:8,9
role 82:11 107:23,23	84:8,13 108
roll 3:5	118:10
roof 41:15	says 17:5 20:
room 3:6,23 11:7	38:9 48:2 6
15:10 27:17 34:20	68:13 89:17
37:10 55:24 56:3	101:20 102:
67:1 111:16 113:7	111:1
120:24 121:8	SBA 2:5,11,1
129:8	6:13,21 10:
Rosie 127:21	12:22 13:25
roughly 58:13	21:4 24:5 2
roundtable 123:10	26:5 29:2,1
rule 31:4 44:18,18	34:9 36:20
45:7,13,14 46:13	39:14 42:20
47:23 48:1,16 49:2	53:9,16 54:
50:21 51:1,5,15,15	54:25 60:5
57:16,17 58:16	62:22,23 65
60:12 61:21 64:12	67:23 68:3
64:14,18 100:21	70:25 75:2,
rulemaking 104:5	77:25 78:4
rules 45:9 49:21,24	79:10 81:16
54:22 55:5 66:6	99:25 102:1
73:14 74:4,12	108:16 110:
ruling 69:2	118:15 121:
run 65:5 75:13	124:9 126:2
running 45:5	127:4,15,16
runup 66:3	128:9 129:2
	130:2,23
S	SBA's 3:13 9
S 2:1 3:1	14:24 26:17
sad 7:22	44:1 56:11
Sagester 4:7,7	71:19 83:19
Sam 2:12 46:3,7	SBCs 21:17 2

S
S 2:1 3:1
sad 7:22
Sagester 4:7,7
Sam 2:12 46:3,7
52:1 53:1 56:7
66:25 70:8
San 7:17 127:22
Sapphire 20:24
sat 73:18
satisfactory 93:11
satisfied 57:16

58:16 60:13 61:21 127:25
Savannah 126:20
save 113:15
saw 40:14 122:7
saying 7:6 13:7
16:20 21:7,16,17
24:23 40:8,9 68:21
84:8,13 108:7,10
118:10
says 17:5 20:14 38:2
38:9 48:2 60:21 68:13 89:17 90:23
101:20 102:8
111:1
SBA 2:5,11,12 5:9
6:13,21 10:17
12:22 13:25 15:6
21:4 24:5 25:7
26:5 29:2,17 32:11
34:9 36:20 37:17
39:14 42:20 52:11
53:9,16 54:13,23
54:25 60:5 62:16
62:22,23 65:6 67:8 67:23 68:3 70:10
70:25 75:2,19
77:25 78:4 79:3,6
79:10 81:16 89:22
99:25 102:14
108:16 110:23
118:15 121:13
124:9 126:22
127:4,15,16 128:6
128:9 129:25
130:2,23
SBA's 3:13 9:6
14:24 26:17 33:11 44:1 56:11 69:2
71:19 83:19 97:5
SBCs 21:17 26:23
33:11 83:18
SBIR 81:8
scalability 40:15
scale 110:19
Scalia 56:19,21,22
10

57:12

schedule 57:14

50.10 50.10 10 10
58:12 59:18,19,19
59:20,21,21 60:2
60:17 61:1,8,10,13
61:19,24 62:7,9,10
62:13 63:13,14,15
63:17,18,19,20,21
64:6 65:9,12 68:14
75:6 94:6
School 6:2 127:21 scorecard 81:18
89:15
Scott 54:5
screamed 95:5
screaming 117:20
screen 124:13
SDB 30:9
SDVCs 78:22
SDVO 21:17 26:23
33:11 83:18 97:21
SDVOs 44:15
SDVOSB 63:10
97:14
SDVOSBs 63:19
64:10 82:12
sealed 66:6,7
search 36:12,13,14
57:2
seat 116:13
second 42:3 53:14
53:22 58:25 59:9
61:2,22 63:12
72:11 80:15 115:5
secondary 46:20
section 11:14 60:9
60:15 65:8 93:24
sector 126:16
security 103:8,18 104:2,11,19
see 8:3 10:19 12:10
12:10 13:17,21
22:20 40:17 45:3
48:6 53:22 55:17
63:18 64:1,13,19
65:1,22 67:1 72:16
79:24 83:1 84:21 85:8 89:7 98:20
85:8 89:7 98:20 107:23 113:11
107:23 113:11 115:3 120:24
113.3 120.24

-
seeing 30:9 66:14,14
72:24
seek 26:14 27:6
30:25 33:21 97:13
97:18 104:23
110:1
seeks 31:4
seemingly 7:18
seen 12:12 16:15
52:13 57:1 68:2
87:10 93:15
segments 96:6
seizure 57:2
self 109:22
self-certification
98:8 102:22
self-certify 98:3
sell 72:8,16
selling 72:21 73:17
Senate 62:18
send 44:7 75:10
120:2
sense 8:13 12:11
15:4 23:18 31:17
32:10 33:5 63:15
84:1 87:23 115:3
sentence 128:11
separate 60:19 71:2 85:22 100:5 118:7
September 1:12 3:4
17:16 124:20
series 82:20 105:22
served 29:11
serves 112:8 127:22
service 2:9 3:22 5:23
10:12 18:15 64:17
64:17 99:23
119:16 120:10
125:16,24 126:1
127:22
service-disabled
11:25 15:19 16:1
19:3,11,15,22
22:17 23:8 26:16
27:25 28:1,7,12,16
28:22,25 31:2,18
35:7,23 36:9 38:2

41:4 43:1 54:19,24

```
55:6 60:7 63:8
 78:24 79:22 80:25
 85:24 86:9 88:23
 90:10 92:19,20
 93:3 97:11 100:9
 100:12,21 101:18
  102:10 103:6
 115:24 116:17
  127:4
services 35:4 86:21
 86:21 116:16
serving 30:3 119:25
set 5:18 7:3 13:15
 20:10 37:18 43:2
 54:22 57:22 58:11
 58:14 59:8,24
 60:10 62:13 65:8
 65:11 69:1 78:7
 81:1,6 91:8,9
 95:23 96:3 110:7
 121:5
setaside 36:8 45:14
 45:17 57:13 58:22
 59:5 60:15,16
 63:20 64:4,6,7,9
 64:13 67:24 69:24
 87:4 121:21
setasides 60:6 63:13
 65:5 68:14,18 71:3
 71:20
setting 58:19 61:19
seven 19:19 36:12
 36:16 82:22
 125:19
Shannon 82:25
  111:13
shape 22:15 85:6
 123:19
shapes 110:21
share 4:3 9:23 14:6
 35:17 54:2 82:13
 106:4
shareholder 55:14
sharing 83:4 115:2
sheet 105:5
ship 23:16
shooting 117:7
Shoraka 70:9
```

short 5:12 35:5 shortly 5:10 62:18 62:25 65:7 show 13:11 81:14 shows 63:16 96:15 side 115:25 122:5 125:2 sign 77:24 105:16 significant 24:11 29:23 significantly 29:19 122:15 signing 91:10 silent 70:14 similar 14:16 41:12 55:5 103:25 104:3 126:11 simple 105:5 simplification 121:18 simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 43:14 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22 51:1.5,14,24	
shortly 5:10 62:18 62:25 65:7 show 13:11 81:14 shows 63:16 96:15 side 115:25 122:5 125:2 sign 77:24 105:16 significant 24:11 29:23 significantly 29:19 122:15 signing 91:10 silent 70:14 similar 14:16 41:12 55:5 103:25 104:3 126:11 simple 105:5 simplification 121:18 simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	short 5:12 35:5
62:25 65:7 show 13:11 81:14 shows 63:16 96:15 side 115:25 122:5 125:2 sign 77:24 105:16 significant 24:11 29:23 significantly 29:19 122:15 signing 91:10 silent 70:14 similar 14:16 41:12 55:5 103:25 104:3 126:11 simple 105:5 simplification 121:18 simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	
show 13:11 81:14 shows 63:16 96:15 side 115:25 122:5 125:2 sign 77:24 105:16 significant 24:11 29:23 significantly 29:19 122:15 signing 91:10 silent 70:14 similar 14:16 41:12 55:5 103:25 104:3 126:11 simple 105:5 simplification 121:18 simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	
shows 63:16 96:15 side 115:25 122:5 125:2 sign 77:24 105:16 significant 24:11 29:23 significantly 29:19 122:15 signing 91:10 silent 70:14 similar 14:16 41:12 55:5 103:25 104:3 126:11 simple 105:5 simplification 121:18 simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	62:25 65:7
shows 63:16 96:15 side 115:25 122:5 125:2 sign 77:24 105:16 significant 24:11 29:23 significantly 29:19 122:15 signing 91:10 silent 70:14 similar 14:16 41:12 55:5 103:25 104:3 126:11 simple 105:5 simplification 121:18 simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	show 13:11 81:14
side 115:25 122:5 125:2 sign 77:24 105:16 significant 24:11 29:23 significantly 29:19 122:15 signing 91:10 silent 70:14 similar 14:16 41:12 55:5 103:25 104:3 126:11 simple 105:5 simplification 121:18 simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	
sign 77:24 105:16 significant 24:11 29:23 significantly 29:19 122:15 signing 91:10 silent 70:14 similar 14:16 41:12 55:5 103:25 104:3 126:11 simple 105:5 simplification 121:18 simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	
sign 77:24 105:16 significant 24:11 29:23 significantly 29:19 122:15 signing 91:10 silent 70:14 similar 14:16 41:12 55:5 103:25 104:3 126:11 simple 105:5 simplification 121:18 simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	
significant 24:11 29:23 significantly 29:19 122:15 signing 91:10 silent 70:14 similar 14:16 41:12 55:5 103:25 104:3 126:11 simple 105:5 simplification 121:18 simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	125:2
significant 24:11 29:23 significantly 29:19 122:15 signing 91:10 silent 70:14 similar 14:16 41:12 55:5 103:25 104:3 126:11 simple 105:5 simplification 121:18 simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	sign 77:24 105:16
29:23 significantly 29:19 122:15 signing 91:10 silent 70:14 similar 14:16 41:12 55:5 103:25 104:3 126:11 simple 105:5 simplification 121:18 simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	_
significantly 29:19 122:15 signing 91:10 silent 70:14 similar 14:16 41:12 55:5 103:25 104:3 126:11 simple 105:5 simplification 121:18 simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	C
122:15 signing 91:10 silent 70:14 similar 14:16 41:12 55:5 103:25 104:3 126:11 simple 105:5 simplification 121:18 simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	
signing 91:10 silent 70:14 similar 14:16 41:12 55:5 103:25 104:3 126:11 simple 105:5 simplification 121:18 simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	significantly 29:19
signing 91:10 silent 70:14 similar 14:16 41:12 55:5 103:25 104:3 126:11 simple 105:5 simplification 121:18 simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	122:15
silent 70:14 similar 14:16 41:12 55:5 103:25 104:3 126:11 simple 105:5 simplification 121:18 simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	
similar 14:16 41:12 55:5 103:25 104:3 126:11 simple 105:5 simplification 121:18 simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	
55:5 103:25 104:3 126:11 simple 105:5 simplification 121:18 simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	silent 70:14
126:11 simple 105:5 simplification 121:18 simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	similar 14:16 41:12
126:11 simple 105:5 simplification 121:18 simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	55.5 103.25 104.3
simple 105:5 simplification 121:18 simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	
simplification 121:18 simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	= :
121:18 simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	simple 105:5
121:18 simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	simplification
simplified 62:1,11 62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	
62:14 71:21 73:24 86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	· -
86:18 121:24,25 simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	
simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	
simplify 72:5 simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	86:18 121:24,25
simply 17:6 single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
single 41:6,16 97:14 97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	
97:20 112:5 sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	
sir 21:12 26:19 27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	single 41:6,16 97:14
27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	97:20 112:5
27:18 52:1 91:11 97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	sir 21·12 26·19
97:16 sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	
sit 55:1 74:17 129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	
129:22 sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	97:16
sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	sit 55:1 74:17
sitting 22:14 31:14 116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	129.22
116:22 situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	
situation 17:10 69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	
69:20 situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	116:22
situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	situation 17:10
situations 43:6 48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	69:20
48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	
six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	gituations 12.6
size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	
50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	
50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	48:19
114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	48:19 six 47:20 124:18
skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10
slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11
slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19
slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22	48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19
48:3 50:2,8,22	48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2
	48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12
51:1.5.14.24	48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3
C 1 1 1 , C , 1 1 , — 1	48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22
127:15 129:3.5	48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3
	48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22 51:1,5,14,24
	48:19 six 47:20 124:18 size 47:4,5 50:8,10 50:11 77:11 114:19 skill 43:2 slate 8:12 slide 44:11 46:3 47:3 48:3 50:2,8,22

slides 9:13 50:22 slightly 109:19 small 1:4,7 4:19 5:2 5:22 6:4,20 9:3 10:15,18 11:24 14:18 15:7,19,25 16:11 18:13,18,22 19:2,12 21:11,23
21:24 22:22 23:4 24:21 25:18 26:11 26:17 27:5 29:9 36:8 42:10 43:20 43:23 44:14,16 45:2 46:19 47:8 49:23 51:4 54:6,15 56:8 57:14,19
58:15 59:8,24 60:4 60:7,9,11 61:20,24 62:7,9,21 63:8,9 65:8 67:19 68:7,9 69:12,12,16,17 70:10,14,19 71:1 71:12 72:8,9,13,16 72:20,24 73:3,5,19 73:20,23,24 78:23
79:6,23 85:25 86:9 86:22 87:5,8,11 88:23 89:4,5,24 93:25 94:20 95:1 96:6 103:6,20 105:3,6,23 107:15 112:14 113:24 115:10 116:18
122:2 123:11 125:1 129:18 130:25 smalls 90:25 96:10 96:15 social 125:7 socializing 14:24
socioeconomic 47:4 47:5 SOFA 20:11,12 soft 103:15 software 86:25 sole 30:7 31:19 32:5 36:6 38:13,18

41:11 80:20,24

solicitation 48:19
solution 80:5 112:1
Solutions 4:20
somber 56:20
somebody 14:25
101:24 107:22
111:9
soon 22:9 104:8
sorry 38:20 113:21
116:2,3
sort 7:3 8:6 38:21
82:2 112:25 114:7
Sotomayor 57:3
sound 54:1
sounded 101:7
sounds 12:25 21:5
32:23 41:17 71:18
74:24
source 30:7 31:19
32:5 36:6 38:13,19
41:11 79:1 80:20
80:24 126:25
sources 11:3 66:11
110:2,12 111:21
sourcing 66:2
space 10:10 13:9,13
14:14 104:20
120:9 121:23
122:3 130:12
spaces 103:17
speak 31:22 33:1
53:14 70:18 92:12
100:17 107:8
116:4 124:8
speaking 27:3 70:22
specific 9:22 12:24
21:9 89:13 98:11
103:13 104:4
106:1 115:15
116:25
specifically 19:3
20:18 24:6 29:24
50:18 61:14,25
71:5 87:21 88:2
90:2 92:11 99:24
119:12
specificity 77:24
79:24 85:3
, ,

```
specifies 109:6
specs 34:6
speculation 16:5
 17:2,8,8,18,23
 18:6
spend 19:6 75:5
 80:15 127:9
spending 23:1,14
spoke 54:13 70:20
spokes 120:20
spot 106:24
Spouse 4:14
spouses 120:11
 126:1,14,22
 127:23
spread 67:14
staff 6:5 9:3 78:14
 78:25
staffed 102:6
staffing 77:5 93:23
stakeholders 42:2
 81:19
stalled 7:23
stand 30:18
stand-alone 83:8
standard 92:14,16
 92:17
standing 117:18
standpoint 12:19
 63:22
stands 20:12
start 3:8 7:2 9:8
 14:21,24 24:11
 27:1 51:3 81:4,10
 93:5 115:7 119:2
 122:4
started 6:22 35:9
 94:16
starts 126:3
state 33:24 34:16
 55:15,17 76:18
 112:17,22 123:11
stated 58:13 79:21
 99:16
statement 59:2,3
 67:17
states 56:14 60:10
 113:2 123:12
```

```
stating 59:23 61:3
 65:4
statistics 11:3,15
 109:25 110:9
stats 101:12
status 20:11,13 47:5
 47:5 52:12 97:14
 97:21 99:22
 114:19
statute 24:6 58:13
 58:18 59:7,23 60:6
 65:10 67:10 70:21
 71:6,9,11 128:8
stay 9:16 10:22 75:1
 79:21 88:21
staying 10:16
stays 89:3 96:12
steering 23:16
step 14:11 24:17
 42:11 103:16
 105:4,13 125:17
steps 104:21
stick 26:9 102:24
sticker 125:25
stood 93:24
stop 43:15
stopped 35:7
stories 11:4 19:23
 40:9 74:5
story 6:20 38:18
 74:6,19
story-telling 39:3
straightened 95:7
strategic 66:2
strategy 41:24 95:21
strawman 114:3
streamlined 86:18
 97:10
street 122:21
strengthened 120:8
strike 96:18
strongly 66:22
  106:19
structure 58:10
 61:10 80:17
Student 4:9
studies 82:20
study 26:12 42:5
```

92.2.21.22.92.2
82:2,21,22 83:2
123:5
stuff 50:20
sub 96:12 106:13
sub-focus 88:6
subcontract 16:3
17:6 93:2
subcontracting
64:16 91:2,18,25
92:22 93:3,16
95:24 96:4,13
subcontractor 16:15
49:19
subcontracts 21:20
subject 14:7
submarine 123:4
submit 129:25
submitted 8:9 15:13
subset 60:23
subsidy 128:9,14,17
success 9:5 11:4
19:23 30:13 80:11
115:1 125:8
successes 80:3 95:17
successful 29:23
30:1 102:19,21
109:9 118:10,13
125:13
successfully 58:17
successor 117:13
suddenly 94:23
suggest 20:3 36:25
106:8
suggested 11:4 20:2
93:8
suggesting 38:21
suggestions 8:8
117:17 130:6
suggests 66:23
summary 26:8
summoned 22:8
supervision 132:6
supplier-based 11:3
supply 57:14 58:12
59:18,21 60:17
64:18 106:13,16
support 11:2 27:7
27:24 31:10,11,12
21.27 31.10,11,12

21 15 17 77 5
31:15,17 77:5
84:20 101:15
102:3 108:8
112:14,17 116:15
121:13
supported 49:1
101:7
supporting 78:8
84:19
supposed 27:13 66:7
72:23 94:10,11
Supreme 16:19
56:13,16,24 57:2
57:11 58:7,8,23,24
59:10,16 61:2,5,14
61:16 64:5,21 66:4
66:18,21 71:7
sure 19:17 21:19
22:10 33:22 39:7
43:12 46:4 48:8
50:17 55:2 67:3
74:4,19,22 78:20
87:7,13 94:9,10
96:25 103:25
105:1,2,4,14
111:14 116:14
117:16 128:7
surpassed 101:13
surprised 94:12
95:2
surveillance 94:3
survey 82:12 94:9
suspect 79:9
sustain 108:2
sustained 107:13
swim 99:6,13
switch 94:25
sworn 56:24
sync 34:14
system 16:10 62:4,5
74:9 77:3,15 92:4
systems 11:5 16:8
18:5 123:19
T
T 2:1,1

T4NG 114:18

tab 106:1

teaches 77:2
team 6:19 13:11,12
67:4 82:14 109:14
114:14 118:13
teams 31:14 123:12
technical 42:6 79:5
117:14
technically 117:12
technologies 56:14
81:5 86:10
Technology 114:17
teeth 90:20
tell 7:8 18:6,24
19:23,24 20:4,8,19
20:20 21:1 31:21
45:11 51:11 59:10
69:10 74:6,19
81:19 92:19
107:23 116:11
127:8
telling 20:20 32:3,4
41:20 74:5
tells 6:20
tens 93:22
tenure 6:3 7:4
term 34:18 59:16,23
60:1 61:6 66:5
termination 50:4
terms 14:14 39:1
41:19 59:19 61:12
70:2 81:18 83:20
86:25 105:11
108:19 116:23
130:12
test 64:3
testified 34:24 42:17
62:22 70:9
testify 6:12
testimony 9:6 22:9
Texas 3:15
thank 5:10,15 6:1,8
7:12 8:1,8,25 9:2,7
12:22 14:4 15:1,3
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
18:1 21:2,5 26:5
26:14 27:16 29:1
36:19 44:10 54:9
55:22 66:25 72:3
73:7 75:19 77:7

```
79:18 86:1 87:15
 90:8 91:10,15 92:6
 96:20 98:22 103:3
 103:9 106:21,21
 110:23 111:15
 113:6,10 118:14
 118:22 120:7,25
 121:5,14 122:4
 123:22,23 129:6
 130:18,21 131:2
thanks 4:4 6:9 32:14
 56:10 75:2,18
 84:17 85:21 121:3
 121:16 124:16
 127:12 129:16
theme 125:8
thing 18:5 21:9 33:6
 38:7,10,19 45:1
 59:20 62:17 68:2
 84:9,13 92:15
 94:14,14 101:19
 117:18 119:15
 120:17
things 7:11 9:12
 11:9 12:8 16:9
 19:2 20:24 22:10
 25:16 29:12 34:6
 40:5 41:18,20 42:6
 42:8,19 45:6 49:5
 49:13 55:16 57:4
 67:10 73:3,11 81:8
 89:25 92:2 105:5
 120:16 121:9
 123:21
think 7:3 8:2,17
 14:16 17:7,9,16,17
 17:18,23 18:3 19:9
 19:22,25 20:18
 21:13 23:20 24:8
 24:10,16,20 25:5
 26:1,23,25 27:14
 28:3,12 30:21 31:7
 31:12,15 32:15
 33:24 34:6 35:15
 37:2,15,17,25
 38:15 39:12,20
 40:2,19 41:13,22
 42:18 47:8 48:4
```

40 2 50 7 22 51 14
49:3 50:7,23 51:14
51:24 53:1,5,6
55:4,4 56:21 61:3
61:16 63:7,10,22
65:13,13 66:5,12
66:13,18 67:6 68:1
69:4,23,24 70:12
70:20 73:12,13
75:9 77:24 78:1
80:21 81:12,22
82:5,9,11 83:5,25
· · ·
84:4,8,13,15,23
86:14 87:10,20
88:7 89:25 91:12
91:22 92:8 96:1,21
98:5,8 99:20 105:1
105:11 108:15,25
109:6 110:5 111:4
111:5,22 113:22
113:23 114:5,12
115:2 116:9,21,24
117:7 118:8,12
123:24 124:2
130:9
thinking 22.15
thinking 22:15
thinking 22:15 117:16
117:16
117:16 third 79:19 90:12
117:16
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11 thought 24:21 32:22
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11 thought 24:21 32:22 67:24 71:8 72:11
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11 thought 24:21 32:22 67:24 71:8 72:11
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11 thought 24:21 32:22 67:24 71:8 72:11 87:12 88:9 103:14
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11 thought 24:21 32:22 67:24 71:8 72:11 87:12 88:9 103:14 104:25 115:15
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11 thought 24:21 32:22 67:24 71:8 72:11 87:12 88:9 103:14 104:25 115:15
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11 thought 24:21 32:22 67:24 71:8 72:11 87:12 88:9 103:14 104:25 115:15 thoughtful 112:11
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11 thought 24:21 32:22 67:24 71:8 72:11 87:12 88:9 103:14 104:25 115:15 thoughtful 112:11 thousands 93:22
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11 thought 24:21 32:22 67:24 71:8 72:11 87:12 88:9 103:14 104:25 115:15 thoughtful 112:11 thousands 93:22
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11 thought 24:21 32:22 67:24 71:8 72:11 87:12 88:9 103:14 104:25 115:15 thoughtful 112:11 thousands 93:22 three 11:12,13 21:18
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11 thought 24:21 32:22 67:24 71:8 72:11 87:12 88:9 103:14 104:25 115:15 thoughtful 112:11 thousands 93:22 three 11:12,13 21:18 37:9 38:4 46:18
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11 thought 24:21 32:22 67:24 71:8 72:11 87:12 88:9 103:14 104:25 115:15 thoughtful 112:11 thousands 93:22 three 11:12,13 21:18
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11 thought 24:21 32:22 67:24 71:8 72:11 87:12 88:9 103:14 104:25 115:15 thoughtful 112:11 thousands 93:22 three 11:12,13 21:18 37:9 38:4 46:18 48:5 52:18,18
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11 thought 24:21 32:22 67:24 71:8 72:11 87:12 88:9 103:14 104:25 115:15 thoughtful 112:11 thousands 93:22 three 11:12,13 21:18 37:9 38:4 46:18 48:5 52:18,18 59:13 75:4,24
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11 thought 24:21 32:22 67:24 71:8 72:11 87:12 88:9 103:14 104:25 115:15 thoughtful 112:11 thousands 93:22 three 11:12,13 21:18 37:9 38:4 46:18 48:5 52:18,18 59:13 75:4,24 79:18 95:9
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11 thought 24:21 32:22 67:24 71:8 72:11 87:12 88:9 103:14 104:25 115:15 thoughtful 112:11 thousands 93:22 three 11:12,13 21:18 37:9 38:4 46:18 48:5 52:18,18 59:13 75:4,24 79:18 95:9
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11 thought 24:21 32:22 67:24 71:8 72:11 87:12 88:9 103:14 104:25 115:15 thoughtful 112:11 thousands 93:22 three 11:12,13 21:18 37:9 38:4 46:18 48:5 52:18,18 59:13 75:4,24 79:18 95:9 three-year 8:19 48:4
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11 thought 24:21 32:22 67:24 71:8 72:11 87:12 88:9 103:14 104:25 115:15 thoughtful 112:11 thousands 93:22 three 11:12,13 21:18 37:9 38:4 46:18 48:5 52:18,18 59:13 75:4,24 79:18 95:9 three-year 8:19 48:4 48:11,12
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11 thought 24:21 32:22 67:24 71:8 72:11 87:12 88:9 103:14 104:25 115:15 thoughtful 112:11 thousands 93:22 three 11:12,13 21:18 37:9 38:4 46:18 48:5 52:18,18 59:13 75:4,24 79:18 95:9 three-year 8:19 48:4 48:11,12
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11 thought 24:21 32:22 67:24 71:8 72:11 87:12 88:9 103:14 104:25 115:15 thoughtful 112:11 thousands 93:22 three 11:12,13 21:18 37:9 38:4 46:18 48:5 52:18,18 59:13 75:4,24 79:18 95:9 three-year 8:19 48:4 48:11,12 threshold 62:1,11
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11 thought 24:21 32:22 67:24 71:8 72:11 87:12 88:9 103:14 104:25 115:15 thoughtful 112:11 thousands 93:22 three 11:12,13 21:18 37:9 38:4 46:18 48:5 52:18,18 59:13 75:4,24 79:18 95:9 three-year 8:19 48:4 48:11,12 threshold 62:1,11 62:14 65:10 71:22
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11 thought 24:21 32:22 67:24 71:8 72:11 87:12 88:9 103:14 104:25 115:15 thoughtful 112:11 thousands 93:22 three 11:12,13 21:18 37:9 38:4 46:18 48:5 52:18,18 59:13 75:4,24 79:18 95:9 three-year 8:19 48:4 48:11,12 threshold 62:1,11
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11 thought 24:21 32:22 67:24 71:8 72:11 87:12 88:9 103:14 104:25 115:15 thoughtful 112:11 thousands 93:22 three 11:12,13 21:18 37:9 38:4 46:18 48:5 52:18,18 59:13 75:4,24 79:18 95:9 three-year 8:19 48:4 48:11,12 threshold 62:1,11 62:14 65:10 71:22 73:24 114:9
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11 thought 24:21 32:22 67:24 71:8 72:11 87:12 88:9 103:14 104:25 115:15 thoughtful 112:11 thousands 93:22 three 11:12,13 21:18 37:9 38:4 46:18 48:5 52:18,18 59:13 75:4,24 79:18 95:9 three-year 8:19 48:4 48:11,12 threshold 62:1,11 62:14 65:10 71:22 73:24 114:9 121:24
117:16 third 79:19 90:12 third-tier 49:18 thirdly 104:20 Thomas 53:19 59:11 thought 24:21 32:22 67:24 71:8 72:11 87:12 88:9 103:14 104:25 115:15 thoughtful 112:11 thousands 93:22 three 11:12,13 21:18 37:9 38:4 46:18 48:5 52:18,18 59:13 75:4,24 79:18 95:9 three-year 8:19 48:4 48:11,12 threshold 62:1,11 62:14 65:10 71:22 73:24 114:9

tie 81:22 88:12 ties 84:18 time 9:5,14,25 12:4 22:8 28:5 30:18 44:2 46:18 52:20 53:19 59:9,15 61:5 68:10,15 73:7 74:23 75:13 98:12 109:16 114:23 119:17 122:22
124:18,24 126:9 127:9
timeline 12:25 14:3
26:9 39:25
timely 8:24
times 90:19 99:10
99:12 102:3
119:19
timing 104:12 today 8:2,10 71:15
81:1,19 93:7
108:17 118:23
129:7 130:3
told 6:24 38:18
Tom 12:15,19 29:21
53:20 62:19
114:14 129:11
tone 7:3
tool 12:16 13:11,16
14:17 20:20,23
29:7 33:18 68:23
92:1 95:19 96:4,15
toolbox 68:24
tools 11:1 12:20
33:19,20 88:14
119:20
top 43:22 125:1 topic 15:9 25:11
36:4 42:21 127:9
topics 43:21 97:1
130:14
total 16:3 17:5 22:1
22:13 113:25
114:17,21,22
125:20
touch 120:18
touched 67:7
track 58:6

tracks 50:17
traffic 3:7
train 40:3
training 3:22 11:5
29:18 54:12 76:17
77:1 79:4 125:21
126:20 127:5
transcribed 132:9
transcript 26:7
transferability
119:11
transferred 47:11
100:20
transferring 109:8
Transformation
114:17
transition 47:17
111:2 121:1
122:18
transitioning 120:10
125:24
transparency 25:3
75:13
transparent 21:6
37:20 39:18 73:13
Treasury 3:24 13:9
102:9 124:6
trend 122:11
TRICARE 18:10,16
21:16
tried 30:9 40:10,10
trouble 5:4 55:8
true 118:4
truly 19:15 23:3
127:14
trump 69:25
truth 18:6
try 12:23 34:2 36:13
45:5 49:17 52:19
63:13 69:10 88:10
91:6 98:18 103:24
120:15,16 128:4
trying 27:1 28:5,15
30:20 40:3 42:4,23
44:20 52:22 81:2
81:12,25 83:15,22
90:13 91:25 99:20
110:10 123:6
110.10 123.0

tuned 75:1
turn 11:23 15:21
26:19 32:5 43:21
44:2 52:1 53:13
67:1 77:6 97:15
121:14 124:5
turned 64:23,23
102:2
turning 30:2
tweak 38:9 93:10
tweaking 38:12
Twenty-One 114:17
twice 58:6
two 5:21 31:2 32:12
37:9 41:17 43:21
46:21,22,25 50:6
57:16,17,18,25
58:9,16,17 60:12
61:11,21 63:7
64:14 71:3 72:7,20
73:22 76:5,6 80:17
82:7 85:9,20 90:11
107:5 109:17
112:11 128:3
two-year 52:19
twofold 43:7
tying 123:17
type 25:2 28:6,8,19
28:19 31:3 38:11
53:5 92:15 108:4
types 15:16 16:13
26:2 103:25
typewriting 132:6
typically 89:17
111:13
tyranny 86:19
U
U.S 1:4 45:15,18
79:24 86:1
ultimately 70:4
umbrella 11:22 41:9
unanimously 57:10

57:11 58:7

unbundling 86:25 unchanged 65:5

unclassified 103:22

unclear 47:13 52:11

```
underneath 96:7
 111:6
understand 3:6
 23:19 36:3 69:5
 71:17 84:18 103:6
 105:14 106:9
understanding 40:5
 116:3
understands 40:19
understood 53:22
 60:19
undertaking 86:16
unemployment
  109:22 112:13,16
 114:16 122:8,9,10
 122:14,17
unintended 116:25
United 56:14
University 4:8
University's 127:20
upcoming 127:11
update 2:6,12 5:12
 78:2 106:24
 114:25 121:17
 127:24
updates 2:4,8 9:23
 119:14 122:6
 124:9
use 10:20 13:13 26:7
 33:4,17 36:10
 63:15 66:5 69:12
 69:17 73:5,12,14
 74:5 77:4 86:7
 87:2 95:6 96:9
 105:25 107:15
 121:25 126:13
uses 87:11 128:15
usually 52:14,16
Utah 56:25
utilization 10:14
  11:24 12:1 18:16
 81:7,18 89:6 90:23
utilized 29:6 30:6
         \mathbf{V}
v 56:14
VA 16:13 53:14
```

54:19,20,23 55:1,3

50.0 6 0 11 10 14
58:2,6,9,11,12,14 58:17,20,20,21
59:3,6,7,23 61:15
62:3,6,8,13,17,19
62:22,23,25 63:3,6
63:7.12.17.24.25
64:1,9,15,21,25,25
65:5 67:11,20 68:3
70:21 71:11 99:6
99:25 100:2,15,15
100:20,25 101:2,2
101:6 102:4,5
113:18 114:15
115:23 116:3,23
123:14 129:12,12
VA's 55:5
vacancies 2:7 5:21 vacate 107:12
vacate 107.12 vaccine 18:18 21:24
vaccines 18:18
vague 109:5
valid 102:15
validate 91:19
valuable 39:20
78:10 120:21
121:3
value 17:5 22:1 29:3
72:25
values 61:24 128:25
variety 29:8 104:9
123:2
various 111:21
115:8 VBOC 3:16
vehicle 28:6,19
vein 10:7 87:19
venting 91:20
venture 45:7,8,10
45:13,24 46:6
48:18,21 49:17,21
49:23,25 50:9,12
50:15,17 52:6,16
52:20,25 53:4
ventures 52:3,9
venue 75:10
verification 47:4
54:21 55:3 92:1
93:13 101:5,11

verified 54:18 63:19
63:19
verify 93:14
version 12:15,20
13:13
versus 20:7 67:13
84:19 117:14
vet 19:11,22 23:8
31:2 54:19 78:24
92:19 93:3 100:21
102:10 114:20 115:24 116:16
126:17
vet-first 67:11 68:19
68:21 69:1
VET-Force 27:21
vet-owned 116:17
veteran 3:25 4:7,21
10:9,11 11:25,25
13:2 18:12 19:3
29:9,15,17,24 31:3
34:23 37:8 38:2,3
39:16 40:16,17
53:11 54:14,19,22 54:24 77:1,8,20
79:4 85:4 88:22
100:7,12 106:22
108:1,9 109:20,22
112:3,9 113:12
116:19 117:11,22
122:8 125:8,13,18
126:13,19 127:5
127:10 128:25
129:19 130:12,23
130:25
veteran- 97:11
veteran-owned 10:15 15:19 19:3
26:17 28:18 35:8
41:4,5 43:2,20
54:24 57:14,19
58:15 59:24 60:7
61:20 62:20 63:8,9
79:23 85:25 86:9,9
88:23 89:4,5 100:2
100:9 103:5,6
veterans 1:7 2:18
3:4,10,14,17,22

Interagency Task Force on Veterans Small Business Development

4.10 5.2 22 22 7.4
4:10 5:2,22,23 7:4
12:14 13:6 15:14
16:2 18:15,22 19:1
19:11,15,15,21
20:21 26:18,24,25
27:2,4,10 35:23
37:9,19,21 38:24
39:2 44:9 54:6
55:6 57:10,12,15
58:10 59:17 61:18
61:19 76:11 77:13
92:11,14,18,20
97:5,5,7,11 99:7
101:11 106:6
107:15 111:18,20
113:17 114:1,20
114:21 117:20
118:7 119:3
123:16 124:6
127:17,23 128:6
128:20 129:18
veterans' 102:8
111:24
veteransbusiness
5:8 44:9 75:15
vets 22:17 23:8
27:25 28:1,8,12,16
28:22,25 31:18
80:25 90:10 92:20
101:12,18 125:1,5
vetted 74:18
Victor 2:18 3:8,9
15:23 18:2 21:7
83:13
Vietnam 2:18 3:10
15:14 26:18 35:10
97:7 119:3
Vietnam-era 26:24
26:25
view 71:19
viewed 28:17
virtually 93:18
visited 6:21
voice 4:24 103:1
voluntold 107:1,2
VOSBs 57:24 63:19
64:9
VOSD 63:10
, 0020 00.10

```
VSOs 2:17 130:21
VVA 15:22,24 17:11
 21:7 25:12 26:9
 27:21 43:12 98:12
 99:19
VVA's 21:13
         \mathbf{W}
wait 8:21 20:15
 72:11
waiver 74:16
want 7:10,12 19:9
 22:10,25 23:4 24:1
 24:24 31:3 33:1
 42:13 46:10,11
 67:6,7 69:3 75:8,9
 77:24 80:21 87:20
 92:12 94:13 96:11
 96:25 98:19 102:7
 105:25 107:22
 114:10 115:4
 116:18 117:13,16
 118:2,6,8,12,25
 119:15 120:2,3,7
 120:17,25 121:10
 121:11 123:4,5
 124:11,18 125:9
 126:6 129:6
wanted 5:10 13:25
 19:4 34:10,13
 48:20 51:15 72:18
 74:12 88:4 130:16
wants 13:8 123:20
War 125:10
Washington 6:22
wasn't 19:19 46:9
 72:15 105:10
 129:11
waste 19:7
wasting 22:24
way 7:1,6 10:4 13:4
 13:7 14:17 17:25
 22:15,19 24:8,24
 30:23 38:5 39:11
 42:23 45:16 46:3
 48:20 49:25 50:6
 63:5,6 66:10 73:22
```

74:12,19,24 75:22

```
80:1,7,8 84:6
 85:12 88:10 91:19
 92:24 95:8,16
 104:6,14 106:17
 111:7 117:21
 118:11 123:3,8
 124:10
ways 32:18 86:19
 89:11 113:16
we'll 3:8 69:9 83:1
 93:5,7 97:3 125:14
we're 8:14 9:17 10:8
 12:3 15:12 16:23
 19:12,17 21:16
 26:14 28:13 29:19
 35:14 44:20 47:10
 48:5,12 49:6,13
 50:14 51:2 69:10
 72:24 74:5 75:21
 79:19 81:3,14 84:8
 84:13,14,20 85:22
 87:3,14 88:20 91:6
 91:8,17 93:1,1,9
 94:4 96:16 98:24
 99:18 108:10
 109:17 110:10
 111:3 117:25
 118:1 119:11,16
 119:22 120:19
 123:6 124:20
 125:20 126:2,23
 128:13 129:25
 130:11 131:2
we've 5:18 8:12 9:10
 9:10 16:7,9,15
 17:19,24 18:24
 19:19 29:18 32:8
 43:12,17 44:17
 45:6,18 46:8 47:12
 47:25,25 48:18
 49:8 53:6 55:15
 82:20 83:25 87:24
 90:4,11 91:20 92:9
 93:14 95:8 96:21
 99:13 105:22
 117:21 119:21
 120:8,20,21
 125:10,21 129:5
```

weapon 16:8,10
-
18:4
web 76:11
webinars 126:23
website 45:3 63:3
65:20 105:24
106:7
websites 38:1,1
119:20
week 125:2,6
weekend 56:22,23
ŕ
weeks 110:7
welcome 3:3 4:3
9:14 33:2 75:10
went 81:10 94:15
weren't 20:25 28:14
Wesley 2:14 3:19,19
11:8 13:4 14:9
18:2,2 21:14 22:4
25:12,23 30:19,19
30:23 37:7 38:23
39:4,8 40:2 42:6
67:3 68:6 71:13
73:8 74:17 78:19
80:9,21 82:7,15,19
84:8,11,22 89:7,16
00.0 22 01.14 16
90:9,22 91:14,16
92:16 93:19 94:25
95:19 97:8 98:23
99:2,5,9 100:1,10
100:14 101:20,25
105:16 107:1,21
109:11 111:4
113:8 115:21
116:11 117:6,9,25
124:4
Wesley's 25:15 80:4
wet 87:5 121:23
whatnot 105:12
whatsoever 18:7
wheel 120:20 121:10
White 34:3 129:24
130:1
widely 31:23,25
willing 12:13 91:11
106:4
wills 19:6
win 47:6 78:23,24
- 7

wind 94:7
winning 78:13 127:19
wins 87:10
wish 9:5 40:21,23
withdraw 27:14
woman-owned
18:12,23
Women 126:19
women-owned
44:14
won 58:3,6 127:16
wonderful 129:13
word 5:24 78:9
92:25 97:8
worded 30:23
wording 26:1 43:9
83:11 84:3 110:6
words 56:21 70:16
114:10
work 5:11,17 6:18
7:12,24 9:9 12:19
20:13,16 24:8,12
24:12 31:16 32:20
32:21 36:1 38:5
39:15 45:12 48:10
49:15 69:12 75:24
79:19 81:3 86:24
87:3 88:10 89:13
96:7,9,11 98:10
103:22 104:11
109:11 112:2
113:25 121:3
122:2 129:5
worked 69:24
126:22
workforce 77:3,15
123:19
working 9:21 30:14
33:22 44:17 45:4
82:3 87:9 92:7
111:19 114:23
118:5,5 121:19
129:14
workplace 122:23
works 22:18,19 28:4
47:15
Workshop 122:20
7, 0113110p 122.20

123:1
world 7:19 18:13
125:10
worthwhile 29:6
worthwine 25.0 wouldn't 38:13
116:8 124:15
write 44:6,8 55:20
99:18
writes 93:22
writing 45:8 54:2
102:1 107:19
118:17 122:22
written 24:25 30:18
45:16 74:7 76:22
80:1,6 88:21 89:3
91:3 102:16
wrong 19:7 23:2,16
26:25 36:15,15
65:13 70:13 82:10
91:3
wrote 43:3 48:19
57:3
Wynn 27:20,20
30:12 31:11 84:15
84:16,17,22 85:8
85:17 90:15,15
101:3,4,23 108:3
X
<u>Y</u>
yeah 39:8 54:3,9,10
55:4 69:4 74:22
93:19 94:19,25

Y yeah 39:8 54:3,9,10 55:4 69:4 74:22 93:19 94:19,25 98:6 100:10 117:6 year 5:14 7:13 16:4 17:16 20:25 21:1 40:1 47:20 48:12 51:10 57:2 64:12 80:7 90:12 93:20 93:23 94:2,6 118:2 122:16 126:24 128:4 year's 121:6 years 16:5 19:18,19 35:7,9 42:25 48:5 50:6 52:5 59:13 68:8 69:21 87:24

```
90:12 91:21 93:15
95:9 101:10
111:19
yesterday 54:11
111:23
yesterday's 77:9
York 127:20
young 74:10
younger 27:4
```

Zacchea 111:17 ZAKRCHLCHEA 111:17 zero 10:17

0

0.1 122:12

011 122.12
0.5 117:23,25
1
1 12:20 44:20,23,25
51:2 129:17
1.4 81:23 82:6 83:9
83:14 84:18,19
85:11,12,14,23
108:7
10 35:9
10,000 36:16
100 2:19 123:18
104 2:16
106-50 15:24 17:5
21:21
110 2:13,20
114 2:16
12 2:14
12:10 131:3
120 2:20
122 2:13,16
125 2:21
13 7:23 8:16
13563 86:5
14 5:14
14-'15 8:16 15 1:12 2:15 5:15
91:20
15(j) 60:9,15 65:8
13(1) 00.3,13 03.8

65:12,14 67:24

69:7 71:4,20 72:4

15(r) 69:6 71:5 150,000 60:12 62:1 65:10,15 67:25 69:7,8 71:21 72:13 72:23 122:3 15th 3:4 16 2:18 8:18,23 43:9 43:14 83:12 91:13 98:13 107:20 118:17 17 8:12 17:14 128:19 18 7:25 122:14 19 2:14 1974 35:2

2,500 77:15 **20** 12:3 19:18 125:20 **20-something** 35:6 2006 57:13 59:17 61:18 2010 44:13 68:9,13 89:24 95:2 **2011** 9:21 **2013** 44:15 126:2 **2016** 1:12 3:4 14:8 36:23 49:3 51:17 58:10 124:21 **2017** 17:13,16 51:11 24-month 122:11 **24-year-olds** 122:15 **24th** 44:19 51:2,10 **25** 2:15 **26th** 124:20

3 3 15:18 16:2,6 17:4 17:20,21 21:18 22:2 25:14 90:17 90:20 118:1 129:17 3,500 60:12 65:9,15 67:25 72:22

3.1 83:23,23 84:18 84:18 85:11,12,15 85:17,23

			[155]
	<u></u> _	 	<u></u> _
3.2 85:18 86:2	50:20 60:8 83:19		
3.6 97:6 98:23,24	800 30:1		
31 2:14	84 2:18		
33 2:15	87 2:15		
35 2:18 12:5 42:25			
114:1,9 116:1,6,8	9		
350,000 128:6,23	9.2 122:16		
	9:00 1:13		
129:2	90s 44:13		
38 2:14			
3rd 51:16	94 2:19		
4			
4 2:5 125:4			
4.1 107:12 108:2			
119:12			
4.3 122:9			
40 49:15,19 54:16			
54:19 55:7 72:25			
96:24			
45 2:11			
48,000 126:1			
40,000 120.1			
5			
5 128:21			
50,000 10:18			
500,000 122:3			
128:21 129:3			
51 55:5			
53 2:18			
57 2:12			
6			
6 2:6,7			
6.5 122:15			
68 2:14			
7			
7 2:8 130:23			
70 2:15 26:22,23			
78 2:13			
70 2.13			
8			
8 131:1			
8(a) 26:17 27:6 28:4			
28:16,23,24 29:6			
30:7 31:3 33:11			
34:7,8 41:12 44:12			
45:24 46:16,23,23			
47:16,20,21 50:9			